

This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines


Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + Refrain from automated querying Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at http://books.google.com/



:7







The Relations of General Intelligence to Certain Mental and Physical Traits

CYRUS D. MEAD

Assistant Professor of Elementary Education, College for Teachers,
University of Cincinnati

SOMETIME FELLOW IN EDUCATION, TEACHERS COLLEGE, COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

Submitted in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy, in the Faculty of Philosophy, Columbia University

PUBLISHED BY

Teachers College, Columbia Aniversity

NEW YORK CITY

1916



CONTENTS

CHAPT	er.	Pages
I.	Introduction	I
II.	THE AGE OF WALKING AND TALKING IN RELATION TO GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	3
III.	HEIGHT AND WEIGHT OF CHILDREN IN RELATION TO GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	29
IV.	STRENGTH OF GRIP AND DEXTRALITY IN RELATION TO GENERAL INTELLIGENCE	44
V.	Perception and Memory in Relation to General Intelligence	
VI.	Individual Records	79



THE RELATIONS OF GENERAL INTELLIGENCE TO CERTAIN MENTAL AND PHYSICAL TRAITS

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

This series of studies represents data collected and tests made upon about 430 feeble-minded children of the Indiana School for Feeble-Minded Youth at Fort Wayne and 480 normal children of the Caldwell, New Jersey, public schools. The first group was measured during the spring of 1910, the second group the last week of May and first week of June 1912. Among other data and tests, physical and mental, the following were collected at the Indiana School while the author was principal of the schools of the Institution:

Age and mental classification.

Age at which these children began to walk and talk.

Height in inches.

Weight in pounds.

Strength of grip with right and left hands.

Dextrality, or the preference or superiority of one hand over the other.

Pulse.

Temperature at the beginning and close of a half-day school. Muscular control by maze tracing, balance beam walking, ball rolling, and tracing over a scale.

Ability in perception by marking A's and a-t words.

Memory of related and unrelated words.

Ability to form abstract notions with the "noun" test.

Power of association.

The Caldwell school children were measured or examined by the author under as nearly similar conditions as were possible.

The following tests were given:

Age and school grade classification.

Height in inches.

Weight in pounds.

Strength of grip with right and left hands.

Dextrality (in the sense used above).

Maze tracing.

"A" letter perception and a-t word perception.

Memory of related and unrelated words.

In addition to the above, the ages of walking and talking were obtained for twenty-five boys and twenty-five girls, sons or daughters of graduate men in Columbia University. The strength of grip was taken with thirty-three graduate students, also of Columbia. For the purposes of this study the material was organized into four chapters, as follows:

The Age of Walking and Talking in Relation to General Intelligence.1

Height and Weight of Children in Relation to General Intelligence.2

Strength of Grip and Dextrality in Relation to General Intelligence.

Perception and Memory in Relation to General Intelligence.

The results with the balance-beam and ball-rolling and a-t perception do not appear. The other data not bearing directly upon the studies above have been included in the tables of Chapter VI, with the hope that some one interested may find material for a further comparative study or for purposes of correlation of the various physical and mental traits.

The numbers for bibliographical references refer in each case to the bibliography at the end of the chapter in question.

The writer wishes to acknowledge his obligations to Professor Edward L. Thorndike for helpful suggestions, aid, and encouragement in this study. He is indebted to the Editor of the Pedagogical Seminary for courtesies in connection with the reprinting of Chapters II and III.

¹ This chapter has appeared elsewhere in Ped. Sem., December, 1913.

This chapter has appeared elsewhere in Ped. Sem., September, 1914.

CHAPTER II

THE AGE OF WALKING AND TALKING IN RELATION TO GENERAL INTELLIGENCE

It is an accomplishment long to be remembered in the family circle when the child first stands on his feet, and takes the "first step," or when he first babbles an intelligent word in which the idea is associated with the object. May it not well cause concern if the ripening of either of these instincts be long deferred? The writer was impressed, while examining the entrance blanks of children in one of our state institutions for feeble-minded, with the apparent lateness at which these children walked and talked. The question arose as to whether or not "general intelligence" played a role in the development of these tendencies. Kirkpatrick (4) says that "philologists and others interested [in the origin of language and the development of intellect find very striking analogies between the development of speech and (intelligence in the race and in the child." It shall be the purpose of this study to offer data obtained on the point in question. In order to establish "norms" for comparison, the results of a subsequent study will be given first; viz., the age of walking and talking as manifested in the "bright, normal child."

The children of the first group, referred to hereafter as "normal" children, are 25 boys and 25 girls, chiefly of Teachers College graduate men, with a few children of undergraduate men and several of Columbia College graduate men. A few are children of professors. The data were obtained during the springs of 1911 and 1912. Only seven children were over 9 years of age, none was over 15 years of age, the average being a little less than 6 years. These children represent thirty different families. The question and instructions given to the father were:

"At what age, nearest month, did your child begin to walk and talk?

The answers were in almost all cases verified by the mother. It might be remarked that, of the first forty children obtained at

[&]quot;Walking means: to take a step unassisted.

[&]quot;Talking means: to use a word intelligently: i.e., to associate the idea with the object."

4 Relations of Intelligence to Mental and Physical Trait

random, 22 were boys and 18 were girls. Preference was then given to girls in order to make the distribution equal. Table I shows the age (years) of the child when the data were obtained, and the nearest month at walking and talking.

TABLE I
NORMAL CHILDREN

							
Boys	Age	Walked Nearest Mo.	Talked Nearest Mo.	Girls	Age	Walked Nearest Mo.	Talked Nearest Mo.
1	4	14	18	1	4	14	17
2	2.5	13	18	2	3	18	16
3	14	14	17	3	6	13	16
4	8	15	20	4	2.5	15	18
5	12	16	9	5	15	13	18
6	7	11	9	6	9	17	14
7	9	12	18	7	1.5	14	17
8	7	15	21	8	2	12	17
9	9	15	20	9	2	13	15
10	12	13	16	10	7	11	18
11 12 13 14 15	7 5 5 8 7	12 30 12 13 11	12 25 18 10 11	11 12 13 14 15	2 2 3 15 6.5	12 14 15 13	18 18 10 12 15
16	1.5	14	14	16	11	12	14
17	10	14	15	17	died	12	16
18	2	14	15	18	3	12	24
19	9	15	17	19	5.5	13	12
20	7	14	16	20	2.5	16	10
21	4	13	19	21	7	11	9
22	2	14	15	22	6	14	12
23	5	16	13	23	4	13	12
24	6	13	18	24	5	12	10
25	2.5	14	10	25	4	15	14

Notes on Table 1. Walking and Talking.

Three began in the same month; these three were boys.

- 2. Boys 3 and 4 are brothers. The latter learned to walk on hard wood floors, while the former had matting. Girl 2 had polished floors
- 3. Boy 12 began to walk and talk later than usual for normal children. During his early years he was not a very strong baby. At 4.5 years, adenoids and tonsils were removed. His father says for two years previous, the boy had never had a restful night. He is known personally to the writer and is an especially bright lad.
 - 4. Girl 25 was a very heavy child.

^{1.} Of 50 boys and girls, 17 began to talk before they began to walk, 11 girls and 6 boys.

TABLE II
FREQUENCY TABLE. NORMAL BOYS

Wa	alking	Ta	alking
Months	Frequency	Months	Frequency
11 12 13 14 15	2 3 5 8 4 2	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	2 2 1 1 1 3 2 2 5 1
3 0	1	19 20 21 25	1 2 1
M Guessed Av True Av 25 perc	to 11.5 months. N=25 edian=13.875 rerage=14 rerage=14.28 A.D.= 1.64 entile=12.75 entile=14.69 Q.= .97*	Guessed Av True Av 25 perc	N=25 edian=16.5

^{*} Approximate P.E. obtained by calculating the semi-inter quartile range.

From Table II we see the age that normal boys begin to walk and talk; and from Table III the same for normal girls. Figures 1 and 2 show the distribution curves for the same. Judging from the general tendencies of 50 cases, we might say that:

- 1. Boys begin to walk at 13.875 months (using the median as a measure of central tendency), with a probable error of .97 month; and begin to talk at 16.5 months, with a probable error of 2.75 months.
- 2. Girls begin to walk at 13.21 months, with a probable error of 1.12 months; and begin to talk at 15.5 months, with a probable error of 2.68 months.
- 3. Girls walk and talk a little earlier than boys. The same is true if we judge from the average accomplishment of 50 children. Using the A.D. as a measure of variability, boys are more variable than girls.

6 Relations of Intelligence to Mental and Physical Traits

TABLE III
FREQUENCY TABLE. NORMAL GIRLS

Wal	lking	Talking		
Months Frequency		Months	Frequency	
11	2 6 7 4 3 1 1	9	1	
12	6	10	3	
13	7	11	0	
14	4 1	12	4	
15	3	13	0	
16	1	14	3	
17	1	15	2	
18	1	16	1 3 0 4 0 3 2 3 3 5	
		17	3	
	i	18	5	
		24	1	
1 means 10.5 to	11.5 months.	9 means 8.5 to 9		
	N=25		N=25	
	dian= 13.21	Me	dian = 15.5	
Guessed Aver	rage=13	Guessed Ave		
	rage=13.48	True Average=14.88		
	A.D.= 1.28		A.D.= 2.76	
	ntile=12.21		ntile=12.06	
75 percer	ntile=14.44	75 perce	ntile= 17.42	
	Q= 1.12		Q = 2.68	




Fig. 1. Surface of frequency (months) for Walking
Normal Boys—— 25
Normal Girls 25




Fig. 2. Surface of frequency (months) for Talking

Normal Boys—— 25

Normal Girls.... 25

TABLE IV FREQUENCY TABLE. NORMAL CHILDREN-BOYS AND GIRLS

w	alking	Te	lking
Months	Frequency	Months	Frequency
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	4 9 12 12 7 3 1 1	9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	3 5 1 5 1 4 5 5 5 10 1 2 1 0 0 1
Medi Guessed Avera True Avera	ge=13.88 D.= 1.56 ile=12.44	Media Guessed Avera True Avera	N=50 an=15.80 months: ge=16.32 D.= 3 de=12.20

Table IV shows the age that 50 normal boys and girls, combined, begin to walk and talk. Figures 3 and 4 show the distribution curves for the same. It may readily be seen that children in general (judged from 50 cases at random) are more constant in beginning to walk than in beginning to talk. One is a much more evident trait than the other. There is more

¹ By the formula		P.E.
	P.E. = t. avobt. av.	√ <u>n</u>

the chances are 999 to 1 that the true median will not differ from the median obtained by more than .72 months.

See Mental and Social Measurements (1904) Thorndike, p. 139, on the "Reliability of an Average" (or median).

The chances are 999 to 1 that the true median will not differ from the median obtained by more than 1.92 months.

chance in the latter of the observer being uncertain or biased. Again, there is a more constant performance in physical traits for people in general than for all people in the more intellectual traits. The beginning of speech might be classed as more of an intellectual characteristic than beginning walking. That comparison might be had between the performance of normal children in general, and individual children in particular, the abbreviated diaries of the maturing of the walking and talking instincts in several "bright" children are recorded. That of Preyer (14 and 15) is given first.




Fig. 3. Surface of frequency (months) for Walking 50 Normal Boys and Girls




Fig. 4. Surface of frequency (months) for Talking 50 Normal Boys and Girls

Walking, pp. 267-278:

"In the 22d week the child (boy) actually raised himself to a sitting posture . . . but it was not till the 39th week that he could sit alone for any length of time; then he liked sitting, but not without support. . . . Finally in the 42d week the child sits up in the bath, without support. . . . From the 11th month, sitting becomes a habit for life."

- 2. "The first successful attempts to stand, . . . without support, but only for a moment, were made in the 39th week, . . . In the 11th month he can stand without any support, and even stamps with his foot, but for all that he is not at all sure on his feet."
- 3. 48th week-(11.2 mo.) pushes a chair.
- 4. 53rd week-creeps, but can not walk alone.
- 5. 63d week—"The child still walks only when he can hold on with both hands."
- 6. 65th week-"Cannot yet walk alone."
- 7. 66th week—"Suddenly, on the 457th day (15.2 mo.), the child can run alone. The day before he was entirely unable to take three steps alone. . . . Now he can run around a large table." ("And from that day forth he could walk upright." p. 275.)

Talking, pp. 77-165: (see "Conspectus" by Brown at beginning.)

- 1. Does not repeat monologue syllables after any one at 10th month.
- "Some syllables emphatically pronounced to child were for first time correctly repeated in 11th month."
- 3. Ability to discriminate between words in 12th month.
- 4. "The most important advance consists in the now awakened understanding of spoken words." 13th month.
- 5. "Here at the beginning of the 14th month is the idea of a definite stationary object associated with a sound heard, as so strongly that it is able to produce an independent act of locomotion, the first one."
- 6. Advance in repeating syllables in 15th month.
- 7. Touches eye, ear, etc., when these are named, not with certainty.

 Understands "bring," "give," etc., in 16th month.
- 8. "Astonishing progress in understanding what is said. Few expressions of his own with recognizable meaning." 21st month.
- "The 23d month brought at length the first spoken judgment." (Said "heiss" when his milk was too warm.)
- 10. Combination of two words into a sentence at 24th month, 707th day.
- 11. 810th day (27th month), gave his own name for the first time in answer to a question.

WHIPPLE, G. M. (25)

Walking:

 Richard, son of Prof. W., "crept backwards, 6 mo.; creeping forward perfected, 7.5 mo.; first stood by holding with but one hand, 9.5 mo.; walked by holding with hands, 9.7 mo.; stood alone, 11 mo.; first step alone at 13.5 months; ten steps alone, 5 days later."

Talking:

- 1. "Said 'mama' at 7.5 months but this may have been mere accident. Imitation began to be very active at 9 mo.; date of pronouncing first word unknown. Meaning of a phrase understood at 9 mo.; four words pronounced at 11.5 mo.; 15 words had been used at 1 year; 6 word sentences were used at 20 mo."
- 2. Words known and used by R. at 3d birthday about 1,800.1

¹For a condensed table of the number of words used by individual children from 16 mo. to 4 yrs. of age, see Whipple, p. 17, Ped. Sem., Vol. 16, 1909.

Also see Heilig, p. 1, Ped. Sem., March, 1913; Rowe, p. 187, Ped. Sem., June, 1913.

10 Relations of Intelligence to Mental and Physical Traits

Major, D. R. (10)

Notes on son's learning to stand and walk-pp. 347-350:

- "Stood in a leaning, tottering position," holding to chair, in 46th week (316th day).
- 2. "Stood unsupported two or three seconds during 60th week."
- 3. "Walked ten feet, holding to crib, on 385th day." (12.8+month.)
- 4. "Two or three steps without support, 434th day." (14.5—month.)
- 5. "Walked about 3 feet, without support, 445th day." (14.8+month.)

Talking: p. 318.

1. "A great advance in the 15th month was in the appearance, for the first time, of the independent use of words or sounds to designate things the child saw or heard. The sight of the object or the sound which the child recognized called forth the name or word which had been associated with it."

HALL, MRS. W. S. (2)

Notes on son-Walking, pp. 403-404:

- 1. Crept forward at 405th day-(57th week-13.5 month).
- 2. Stood with support of finger at 38th week.
- 3. Stood at chair for five minutes at 48th week.
- While standing by a chair (364th day—52nd week—12.13 month) accidentally pushed it forward and followed it to keep his support."
- 5. "On same day he stood alone for half a minute."
- 6. "In the 60th week (415th day—13.8 months) he could walk quite steadily when supported by one hand."
- 7. "Took first unaided step," 428th day (14.2+month).
- 8. "Took ten independent steps," 435th day.

Talking, pp. 467-591:

- 1. "Recognized and imitated sounds from street"—44th week.
- 2. The word "bye-bye" used unexpectedly at 260th day. (33d week; 8.6+month.)
- 3. "Said 'papa' as father entered room." 291st day. (9.7 month.)
- 4. "Bath, box, shoe (326), gone (331), and paper (333) were first imitated and in a few days used independently. The next word, doll, was first used spontaneously as a doll was put into his hands." (335th day—11.2 month.)
- 5. "First sentence, 'Papa gone,' 338th day."

SHINN, M. W. (19)

Notes on niece: Miss Shinn says: "She was born two weeks late—a point that may have some bearing on the rapidity of early development." p. 5.

Walking, pp. 344-360:

- "On the 267th day (8.9 month), the last of the 38th week, some one looked up from dinner to see the baby standing by a lounge, merely steadied by one hand pressing it, while she waved the other with joy and pride."
- "On the 279th day (9.3 month), for the first time, . . . she repeatedly stood quite alone for several seconds."
- 3. "On the 285th day (9.5 months), she deliberately experimented in standing alone as long as she could."
- "On the 292d day (9.7 months), kept her balance for about a quarter of a minute."
- 5. "She appears to have continued to edge along a few steps now and then when holding by a chair, and after the 309th day (10.3 months), would step the length of a lounge, holding on with one hand."
- "On the 353d day (11.8 months), I was told that she had walked three or four steps, and this time spontaneously."
- "On the first day of the 54th week (372d day; 12.4 months) I saw her walk about three feet alone."
- "On the 376th day (12.5 months) I was told that she walked alone across a room, some twelve feet, quite spontaneously."

"Good Observations (concerning the acquirement of speech) were first supplied in Germany by Berthold Sigismund in his pamphlet 'Kind und Welt,' 1856; but his observations were scanty. . . . The observations of Sigismund are remarkable for their objectivity, their clearness of exposition, and their accuracy." (Preyer, "The Development of the Intellect," Appendix A, p. 221-23.) A few of his observations are given.

"The first imitation of sounds, proved to be such, were made after the age of eleven months."

"At the age of nine months he distinguished accurately the words 'father, mother,'" etc.

"The first word imitated by the child of his own accord (after fourteen months) was the cry of 'neuback' (freshbake), as it resounded from the street; it was given back by the child, unsolicited, as ei-a."

For comparison, the individual observations on these five children are given in table form. A much wider range, and of course uncertainty, is noted in the beginning of words. In the data collected on the 50 normal children, judging from such expressions as "bye-bye" and pa-pa" was discouraged.

The children of the second group, referred to hereafter as "feeble-minded" children, represent the results of an examin-

	Median Age (Months) Beginning to Walk ¹	Median Age (Months) Beginning to Talk ²
50 Normal Boys and Girls.	13.50	15.70
	P.E. 1.06	P.E. 2.83
Baby ShinnBaby WhippleBaby HallBaby Major.Baby Preyer	11.8 13.5 14.2 14.5 15.2	11.5 (4 words) (11.2) 14.+ 22.+

^{1 &}quot;Beginning to walk," meaning "to take a step unassisted."
2 "Beginning to talk," meaning "to use a word intelligently, associating idea with object."

ation in 1910 of something less than 400 personal descriptive entrance blanks of "schoolable" cases (lower grades were eliminated) in the Indiana School for Feeble-Minded Youth, an institution of something over 1,200 enrollment. Many of the entrance records did not give the age at which the child began to walk and talk, but from those complete, the age was obtained for:

	Walking	Talking
Boys	84	56
Girls	60	36
Total	144	92

The tendency of the parent, physician, or guardian filling the blank was to answer the questions:

in half and whole years, as "1½-2-2½," etc., or, "between 2 and 2½," etc. Only a few records gave the month, hence the age was taken from the records at the nearest quarter year. For example: if the month was given as "17," entry was made "1½" years; if the month was given as "19," entry was made "1½" years. The quarter years are thrown, half and half each way, in the frequency tables, and in plotting. In case of an odd number of frequencies on the quarter year, the "larger half" was thrown to the lower, or earlier group, hence the figures tend

[&]quot;At what age did the child commence to walk?"

[&]quot;At what age did the child commence to talk?"

to be more conservative. Such answers as, "at the common age," "at the normal age," "very slow," were not used. Cripples of course were not counted.

That the peculiarities of speech in feeble-minded children in general might be seen, the first 100 boys and girls, all grades, "schoolable" and "non-schoolable," as taken from the institution entry book, Jan. 1, 1908 to Sept. 20, 1908, are here tabulated. In answer to the questions on the application blanks: "Is the speech perfect?" "What peculiarities of speech are there?" "Is he dumb?" the following was noted:

Number	Defective in Speech but not Dumb	Per cent.	Dumb	Per cent.
61	22	36	7	11.5
39	15	38.5	7	18
	61	but not Dumb 61 22	but not Dumb Per cent. 61 22 36	but not Dumb Per cent. Dumb 61 22 36 7

Table V shows the age, nearest quarter year, at which feeble-minded children commenced to walk and talk.

TABLE V
FEEBLE-MINDED CHILDREN

Boys	Walked Nearest ¼-Year	Talked Nearest ½-Year	Girls	Walked Nearest ½-Year	Talked Nearest ½-Year
1 2 3 4 5	2 3.5 4 1.25 3.5	Dumb 5 3 3	1 2 3 4 5	1.5 	4 4 1.5 2
6 7 8 9 10	3 4 1.25 3 2	Dumb 2 5 4 1.5	6 7 8 9 10	3.5 6 1 1.5 2.5	Dumb
11 12 13 14 15	2 4 4 3 1	3 2 3 1.5	11 12 13 14 15	4 5 1.5 2	3 7 2 4 13
16 17 18 19 20	1.5 4 2.5 1 2	1.5 6 2.5 2	16 17 18 19 20	1.5 2 2 .75 2	2.5

14 Relations of Intelligence to Mental and Physical Traits

TABLE V—Continued

		TABLE V—	Continue	d	
Boys	Walked Nearest ¼-Year	Talked Nearest ¼-Year	Girls	Walked Nearest ¼-Year	Talked Nearest ¼-Year
21 22 23 24 25	1.25 1.25 3 2 1.5	4 2 Dumb 3 4	21 22 23 24 25	1 2 1 1.5	2 1
26 27 28 29 30	3 2.5 2 1.5	1 1 3.5 1.5	26 27 28 29 30	4 2.75 2 1.5 1.5	
31 32 33 34 35	1 2 1.5 3 1.5	1.25 3	31 32 33 34 35	1 1 2 1 4	1
36 37 38 39 40	2.25 2.25 1.5 1.5	3.5 5 	36 37 38 39 40	3 1 1	1.5 3 5 2
41 42 43 44 45	2 2.5 1 1.25 2		41 42 43 44 45	1 2 2 1.25 1.5	3 4 1.5
46 47 48 49 50	1.5 1 1.5 3 1.5	3 2 2 4 3	46 47 48 49 50	1.5 1.25 2.5 4	3 2 2 2 6
51 52 53 54 55	1.5 3.5 1.25 4 1.25	1 3 2	51 52 53 54 55	1 1 2 2.5 2.5	3 7 2
56 57 58 59 60	2 1.25 1.5	 5 	56 57 58 59 60	1 1.5 1.25 4 1	1 2
61 62 63 64 65	1.75 1.5 4 1.5 1.5	3 2 4 2 1.25	61 62 63 64 65	1.5 2 2 1.5 1.75	2 Dumb 2 4
66 67 68	5 2.5 1.5	8 7 	66	2	••••

TABLE V—Concluded

Boys	Walked Nearest ¼-Year	Talked Nearest ¼-Year	Girls	Walked Nearest ¼-Year	Talked Nearest ¼-Year
6 9 7 0	1 2				
71 72 73 74 75	2 2 1.25 1.25	4.5 2 9 3			
76 77 78 79 80	1 1.5 2.5 2 2.25	1 4.5 9			
81 82 83 84 85	4 3 1.25 6 2.5	 6 3			
86 87	1.25 1.25	1.25 1.5			

TABLE VI
FREQUENCY TABLE. FEEBLE-MINDED BOYS

Walking		Talking		
Years	Frequency	Years	Frequency	
1	14	1	5	
1.5	25	1.5	6	
2	17	2	11	
2.5	7	2.5	1	
3	8	3	12	
3.5	3	3.5	2	
4	8	4	7	
4 .5	<u>o</u>	4.5	2	
5_	7 8 8 0 1 0	5 _	12 2 7 2 4 0 2 0 1 0	
5.5	Q	5.5	Ų	
6	1	6	. 2	
		6.5	Ų	
		7 .	1	
		7.5 8	Ų	
		8.5	7	
		9.5	y	
		8	•	
1 means .75	1 means .75 to 1.25 years.		1 means .75 to 1.25 years. N=56	
M	N=84		$ \begin{array}{ccc} N = 50 \\ \text{Median} = 2.98 \end{array} $	
	Median= 1.85		Guessed Average= 3	
True Av	Guessed Average 2		True Average= 3.28	
	True Average= 2.15 A.D.= .78		A.D.= 1.37	
	25 percentile= 1.39		25 percentile= 1.89	
	75 percentile= 2.75		75 percentile= 4.14	
vo poro	Q= .68	Q= 1.125		

TABLE VII
FREQUENCY TABLE. FEEBLE-MINDED GIRLS

Walking		Talking	
Years	Frequency	Years	Frequency
1	17	1	4
1.5	14	1.5	4 3 11
2	13	2	11
2.5	5	2.5	1
3 -	2	3	7
3.5	1	3.5	õ
4	6	4	ð
4.5	5 2 1 6 0 1 0	4.5	Ų
5 5.5	4	5 5.5	1
6.5	1	6.5	1
U	•	6.5	ń
	•	7.0	7 0 5 0 1 0 1 0 2
		13	1
1 means .75 to 1.25 years.		1 means .75 to 1.25 years.	
3.6	N=60	N=36	
Median= 1.73		Median= 2.50	
Guessed Average= 2		Guessed Average 2.5	
True Average= 2.02 A.D.= .82		True Average= 3.11 A.D.= 1.42	
25 percentile= 1.19		25 percentile= 1.84	
75 percentile= 1.19		75 percentile= 3.85	
Q= .58		Q= 1.00	

From Table VI we see the age that feeble-minded boys begin to walk and talk; and from Table VII the same for feeble-minded girls. Figures 5 and 6 show the distribution curves for the same. Judging from the general tendencies of 144 feeble-minded boys and girls in beginning to walk, and 92 feeble-minded boys and girls in beginning to talk, we might say that:

- 1. Feeble-minded boys begin to walk at 22.2 months (1.85 yrs. median) with a probable error of 8.16 months; and begin to talk at 35.76 months, with a probable error of 13.5 months.
- 2. Feeble-minded girls begin to walk at 20.76 months, with a probable error of 6.96 months; and begin to talk at 30 months, with a probable error of 12 months.
- 3. Feeble-minded girls walk and talk a little earlier than feeble-minded boys. This is true of normal girls and boys. The same is true in both groups if we judge from the average accomplishment. Using the A.D. as a measure of variability,

girls are a little more variable than boys. The P. E. would show the reverse.

Table VIII shows the age that feeble-minded boys and girls, combined, begin to walk and talk. Figs. 7, 8, and 9 show the




Fig. 5. Surface of frequency (half years) for Walking Feeble-Minded Boys—— 84 Feeble-Minded Girls.... 60

distribution curves for the same. Comparing Tables IV and VIII, we see from the data at hand that normal children:

Begin to walk at 13.54 mo. (P. E. 1.06); begin to talk at 15.8 mo. (P. E. 2.83).

Feeble-Minded Children:

Begin to walk at 21.6 mo. (P. E. 7.56); begin to talk at 34.4 mo. (P. E. 12.8).

In other words, the median mentally defective child (schoolable) walks 8 months, and talks 18.6 months later than the median normal child walks and talks.

Many of the investigations into children's walking and talking have been physiological in nature; they have dealt with the manner of acquiring locomotion or speech, and children's vocabularies at two, three, four years of age, etc. The writer knows of no other study in which the time of the ripening of the walking and talking instincts in groups of children has been made the basis of a statistical research. Some years ago Dr. Ireland (3) in England calculated the average time that "idiots" began walking and talking. The term "idiot" has, in time past, in Europe, been used to represent a low form of mental deficiency.




Fig. 6. Surface of frequency (half years) for Talking
Feeble-Minded Boys — 56
Feeble-Minded Girls 36

The term is still used broadly to include even high-grade defectives, while in this country milder and softer terms are applied, that of "moron," "mentally defective," or "imbecile" children. The "idiots" in the institutions of England were generally of

¹The following reference came to the author after this article had been submitted to *Ped. Sem.* for publication:

Table III showing the average ages at which five different classes of feeble-minded children commenced to walk and talk. "Good," "Medium," and "Bad" refer to the mental capacity as estimated by the teacher. "Defective speech" comprises consonantal anomalies (excluding "f" for "th") lisping and marked stammering.

Classification of Case	Average age, Walk	Average age, Talk
61 cases classed as "Good"	1.8 " 2.2 " 1.6 " 2.2 "	1.8 yrs. 2.0 " 3.5 " 1.9 " 3.2 "
C. Paget Lapage: Feeblemindedness i	n Children of	School Age, p. 80,

C. Paget Lapage: Feeblemindedness in Children of School Age, p. 80 Manchester University Press, 1911.

the lower types of feeble-mindedness, none of which type would be included in the group of "schoolable" feeble-minded children who constitute the second group of this study. That the conservative nature of this research might be seen, and that, in a broad way, three groups of children representing differing degrees of mentality might be contrasted, we note the observations of Ireland (p. 323).

"He (the healthy child) generally begins to walk from the 12th to the 18th month. The first appearance of speech is variable: words generally come from the first to the second year, but if the child is mute after two years we may suspect there is something deficient. Such is the ordinary course in healthy infancy; but with idiots this evolution of the senses and motor powers is much slower, and often irregular. . . . In general, imbecile children are awkward in their motions and slow at beginning to walk."

"Merely backward children are widely distinct from idiots. They are of slow growth, physical and mental; they are late in walking and in speaking, but show no sign of brain disease."

TABLE VIII
FREQUENCY TABLE. FEEBLE-MINDED BOYS AND GIRLS

Walking		Talking	
Years	Frequency	Years	Frequency
1 _	31	1 _	9 9 22 2 19 2 12 2 5 0 3 0 3
1.5	39	1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5	. 9
2.5 2.5 3 3.5	30 12	2 5	ZZ
2.0	10	2.0	10
3 5	10	3 5	19
4.0	14	. 4	12
$\bar{4}.5$	ō	$\bar{4}.5$	- <u>-</u> 2
5	$oldsymbol{2}$	5	5
4.5 5 5.5	4 14 0 2 0	5.5	0
6	2	4.5 5.5 6 8.5 7	3
		<u> </u> 6.5	Q
		7 -	3
		4.5	ų
		e s	Å
		7.5 8 8.5 9	0 2
		13	1
1 means .75 to 1.25 years. N = 144		1 means .75 to 1.25 years. N=92	
Median		Median = 2.87 (34.44 mo	
Guessed Average	= 2	Guessed Average =	
True Average	= 2.09	True Average =	
A. D.	= 1.8	A. D. =	1.4
25 percentile 75 percentile	= 1.31	25 percentile = 75 percentile =	1.50
75 percentile = 2.58		Q = 1.07 (12.84 mos.)	
Q = .63 (7.56 mos.)		Q= 1.07 (12.84 mos.)	

¹ Ireland, Mental Affections of Children. p. 395.

² By the formula P.E.

P.E. dis.

the chances are 999 to 1 that the true median will not differ from the median obtained by more than 3 months.

The chances are 999 to 1 that the true median will not differ from the median obtained by more than 6.43 months.




Fig. 7. Surface of frequency (half years) for Walking 144 Feeble-Minded Boys and Girls

1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 4.5 5 5.5 6

The average age at walking of III cases of "imbecile" children investigated by Dr. Ireland was 2.5 years. Only five began to walk at one year. He attributes lateness in walking to the de-

ficiency in the power of mental guidance, although in some cases physical weakness may be a cause. He says:

"If imbecile children are slow at learning to walk, they are still slower at learning to speak. The lower classes of idiots never learn to speak at all. Of 103 cases of which I have notes, 36 were found mute on entry, and 67 could speak more or less. The average time at which they began to speak was four years and three months. (4½ years.) Only four were noted as having begun to speak at one year. Sometimes they began to speak as late as ten or twelve. . . they had no ideas to express."

Tredgold (22) has made similar observations. He says (p. 90):

"A similar retardation of physiological activity is seen with regard to dentition, speech, and walking. Inquiries show that a large proportion of aments do not cut their first or second teeth until some considerable time after the ordinary period. Many of them do not attempt to stand until their third year, and walking is correspondingly late. In many cases the child is four or five years old before it says a word."




Fig. 8. Surface of frequency (half-years) Talking 92 Feeble-Minded Boys and Girls

In table form, the above findings and observations would be, letting I represent normal children; II, "schoolable" feebleminded children; III, "idiots":

	Number of Children	Age at Walking (Months)	Age at Talking (Months)
I	50	13.54	15.8
II	144—walking 92—talking	21.6	34.4
III	111—walking 67—talking	30.0	51.0

Of the many articles in print on the development of speech in the child, the following from Preyer (13), p. 106, is perhaps as good a summary as may anywhere be found:




Fig. 9. Figure 8 "Smoothed"—half years thrown, half and half, to the year
92 Feeble-Minded Boys and Girls—Talking

"The first thing with which the learning of speech begins is not, as was formerly assumed, the first cry of the newly born,

for this can have no other significance than that of a reflex, like sneezing for instance. In fact, it often occurs that children announce their entrance into the world by a sneeze instead of a cry. But when strong impressions of various kinds have alternated with one another—when feelings such as hunger. pain, cold, on the one hand, and satiety, pleasure, warmth, on the other, have been discriminated, then crying acquires a speech significance, and the mood of the child may be perceived through the variations in his voice. . . . But all loud utterances of this sort that express bodily, and very soon also, mental states, are the farthest possible from being portions of an articulate language; rather are they completely analogous to the language of animals. Nor have those syllables the least claim to significance as language which are heard sometimes as early as the seventh or eighth week, . . . as ba, ma, am, ab, gö (etc.). These are produced, just as are the later sounds, . . . in the babbling monologues of the infant, by the movements of the vocal muscles, often through pure accident; and they have at the beginning no more psychogenetic significance than snor-

"These utterances even in the third quarter of the first year are still almost wholly devoid of significance as language; but in the fourth quarter the character of them very often changes, and we may perceive that sounds uttered are influenced by the sounds heard from other persons, by words. With this is reached the critical point in the learning of language. That point is passed on the day when the child for the first time uses a word of verbal language independently and correctly."

That sensory impressions are prerequisite to audible expression there seems to be no question. That "ideas," translated into intelligent forms of expression, form the basis of speech in man there can be no dispute. That such ideas come slowly to the mentally deficient child we must admit. That speech is slow in such children, as a group, must be evident from the above findings. The individual exception may appear, but the fact seems apparent that, while in the individual physical weakness or adenoid growth, or other malformation, may be contributory

¹On the importance and effects of structural irregularities in the peripheral organs of speech, with clinical cases given, see references 6, 7, 8, 9. The substance is, that mental retardation may be the result, as well as the cause,

causes to lateness in walking or talking, the general tendency of the deferred ripening of the walking and talking instincts, as shown in large groups of children, may well be a matter of grave concern. By the slow, and more seriously, the impaired, maturing of these instincts, stimuli of greatest educational value in the earlier months are withheld from the child. If general psychic activity be sluggish, proper functioning does not occur, and conscious associations are not so readily formed as in the healthy normal child. If the child has a motor or sensory defect, his inability to "break up" sensations leaves him the longer in mental darkness.

That the ability of the child to form ideas is the vital thing in learning to talk, we would see from Preyer (13) p. 89—"ideas are the necessary previous condition for the understanding of the first words learned, and therefore for learning to talk. If these ideas are wanting, the development of languages is not attained." This qualification however follows, p. 94-"no special activity of intellect is proved by the quick learning of speech. . . On the contrary, excessive speaking argues less intelligence, because, of course, less time remains for thinking." Tracy (21), p. 131, would offer a similar observation. He says: "The wide differences among children make it unsafe to venture any generalizations, except one: viz., this second half year seems to be par excellence the period of the rise of imitation. Some children, however, are as far advanced at the beginning of this period as others are at its end. Perhaps it ought also to be remarked that the child who shows a great precocity in imitation, or in learning to speak, will not necessarily on that account turn out a more intelligent child. Imitation does not require a very high degree of mental acuteness, and the child who has been slow in this may in the end surpass his more precocious companion."

While too much importance should not be attached to individual cases, and while normal children in themselves vary greatly in the time of learning to speak, the accomplishment of groups of children of differing degrees of intelligence is not a

of defective or late speech. "Backwardness in children is not always due to a central lesion, but may be the result of arrested cerebral development due to some abnormality of structure in peripheral organs." (7) "Retarded development of speech always results in defective mentality." (8)

matter that can be lightly set aside. Man at present is more intelligent than primal man. He learns to utter the first inintelligent word sooner than did his ancestors. Phylogeny was slow in speaking. Romanes in his "Mental Evolution in Man" (16), ch. 16, says: "Lastly, if we take the growing child as an index of psychogenesis in the race, there can be no doubt that it points to a comparatively late origin of the faculty of articulation. . . . For even a precocious child does not begin to make any considerable use of words as signs until it is well on into its second year, while usually this stage is not reached until the third." Four years in fact, we might infer from Romanes, is an age corresponding better to the phylogenetic acquisition of language. Does the feeble-minded child then more truly represent phylogeny, in the faculty of speech, than the healthy, normal child?

As a final summary of the "Age of Walking and Talking in Relation to General Intelligence," the following is submitted as the findings of this study:

I

Data

Fifty "normal" children (25 boys and 25 girls), averaging less than six years of age, of graduate students of Teachers College and Columbia College. Ages were thrown to the nearest month.

Walking means: "To take a step unassisted."

Taking means: "To use a word intelligently, i.e., to associate the idea with the object."

Results

The median "normal" child begins to walk at 13.54 months, with a probable error of 1.06 months. The chances are 999 to 1 that the true median will not differ from the median obtained by more than .72 months—stated in another way, the chances are 999 out of 1,000 that the true median lies between 12.82 and 14.26 months; and 10 to 1 that it lies between 13.16 and 13.92 months. The extreme range is from 11 to 30 months. Ninety per cent of the cases fall between 11 and 17 months.

The median "normal" child begins to talk at 15.8 months, with a probable error of 2.83 months. The chances are 999 to 1

that the true median will not differ from the median obtained by more than 1.92 months—stated in another way, the chances are 999 out of 1,000 that the true median lies between 13.88 and 17.72 months; and 10 to 1 that it lies between 14.8 and 16.8 months. The extreme range is from 9 to 25 months. Ninety per cent of the cases fall between 10 and 21 months, with 18 months as the mode.

П

Data

One hundred and forty-four "schoolable" children (boys and girls) of the Indiana School for Feeble-Minded Youth, in reply to the question on the personal descriptive entrance blanks: "At what age did the child commence to walk?" and 92 children in reply to the question: "At what age did the child commence to talk?"

Results

The median feeble-minded child begins to walk at 21.6 months, with a probable error of 7.56 months. The chances are 999 to I that the true median will not differ from the median obtained by more than 3 months—stated in another way, the chances are 999 out of 1,000 that the true median lies between 18.6 and 24.6 months; and 10 to I that it lies between 20.03 and 23.18 months. The extreme range is from 12 to 72 months. Ninety per cent of the cases fall between 13 and 50 months.

The median feeble-minded child begins to talk at 34.44 months, with a probable error of 12.84 months. The chances are 999 to I that the true median will not differ from the median obtained by more than 6.43 months—stated in another way, the chances are 999 out of 1,000 that the true median lies between 28.01 and 40.87 months; and 10 to I that it lies between 31.09 and 37.79 months. The extreme range is from 12 to 156 months (only one case going above 108 months). Ninety per cent of the cases fall between 14 and 84 months.

Children in general learn to walk before they learn to talk. Boys, whether normal or feeble-minded, learn to walk and talk later than girls.

In decided cases of imbecility, children walk and talk later than in the less pronounced grade of mental defect.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. BARR, M. W. Mental Defectives. Philadelphia, 1904.
- Hall, Mrs. W. S. First 500 Days of a Child's Life. Child Study Monthly, 1896-97, vol. 2, pp. 401-407, 467, 590-591.
- IRELAND, WM. W. Mental Affections of Children. Pp. 323-340. Philadelphia, 1900.
- 4. Kirkpatrick, E. A. How Children Learn to Talk. Science, Sept. 25, 1891.
 - MacDougall, Robt. The Child's Speech. Jour. of Ed. Psy., vols. 3 and 4, 1912-13.
 - MAKUEN, H. The Training of Speech as a Factor in Mental Development. Bull. Amer. Acad. Med., Vol. 3, Oct., 1898.
 - Makuen, H. Speech as a Factor in the Diagnosis and Prognosis of Backwardness in Children. Jour. Amer. Med. Assoc., Oct. 12, 1901.
 - MAKUEN, H. Retarded Development of Speech in Young Children. Penn. Med. Jour., Vol. 8, June, 1905.
 - Makuen, H. The Essentials of Voice Production. N. Y. Med. Jour., Vol. 88, Sept., 1908.
- 10. Major, D. R. First Steps in Mental Growth. Macmillan, 1906.
- MEAD, C. D. The Age of Walking and Talking in Relation to General Intelligence. (Abstract of paper before the New York Branch of the Amer. Psy. Assoc.) Jour. of Phil. Psy. and Sc. Methods, Vol. 9, No. 14, pp. 379-380, July 4, 1912.
- Pelsma, J. R. A Child's Vocabulary and Its Development. Ped. Sem., Vol. 17, 1910 (q. v. for bibliography on children's vocabularies).
- PREYER, W. Mental Development in the Child. Trans. H. W. Brown. International Series. New York, 1893.
- 14. PREYER, W. The Senses and the Will. Part I of "The Mind of the Child." Trans. H. W. Brown. New York, 1888. (Observations concerning the first sitting, creeping, standing, walking, and running of his son.)
- PREYER, W. The Development of the Intellect. Part II of "The Mind of the Child." Trans. H. W. Brown. New York, 1889. (Observations on the acquirement of speech by his son in 1877-78.)
- 16. ROMANES, GEO. J. Mental Evolution in Man. New York, 1889.
- Rowe, E. C. The Vocabulary of a Child at Four and Six Years of Age. Ped. Sem., June, 1913. (A list of words used by a child at 4 and 6 years of age. About 4,000 at 6 years.)
- Seguin, Edward. Idiocy and Its Treatment by the Physiological Method. Pp. 41, 46, 74, 106. Teachers College Reprint, 1907.
- Shinn, M. W. Notes on the Development of a Child. Univ. of California Studies. No. 1-2, 1893: No. 3-4, 1899.
- Shuttleworth, G. E. Mentally Deficient Children. London and Philadelphia, 1900.
- Tracy, Frederick. Psychology of Childhood, Ch. V. (q. v. for bibliography).
- 22. TREDGOLD, A. F. Mental Deficiency. London, 1908.

- 28 Relations of Intelligence to Mental and Physical Traits
- TRETTIEN, A. W. Creeping and Walking. Amer. Jour. Psy., 1900, 12, 1-57.
- Trettien, A. W. Psychology of the Language Interest of Children. Ped. Sem., Vol. 11, 1904.
- WHIPPLE, G. M. The Vocabulary of a Three Year Old Boy. Ped. Sem., Vol. 16, 1909 (q. v. for bibliography).

CHAPTER III

HEIGHT AND WEIGHT OF CHILDREN IN RELA-TION TO GENERAL INTELLIGENCE

Mental dullness or brightness and general body growth have in the past been associated in various studies by investigators. That on the whole, in groups of children, there seems to be some correlation is evident. In a study on St. Louis children Porter (9) concluded that bright children are taller than dull children, and that "precocious children are heavier and dull children lighter than the mean child of the same age." Smedley (11) and MacDonald (6) have in substance made similar conclusions.

PROBLEM AND DATA


If such relation were evident among normal children, would the differing degrees of mentality as exist in children mentally defective be reflected, on the average, in the height and weight of these children? Would we find also a greater variability among such children? With such questions in mind two hundred eighty-eight boys and one hundred forty-one girls of the Indiana School for Feeble-Minded Youth were examined in February and March, 1910. Among other tests physical and mental, the strength of grip and dextrality were also taken. This will furnish the data for a study now in preparation.

In order to have norms with which to compare normal children and the mentally defective, two hundred thirty-six boys and two hundred forty-five girls of the Caldwell, New Jersey, public schools were measured in May, 1912,² as to the same traits by the same examiner and as nearly as possible under the same conditions. The height was recorded with shoes in both groups;

¹ For a condensed summary of several investigations, see Whipple (14) pp. 47-60. West (13) reaches an opposite conclusion. His classification of children was on the teacher's judgment, while Porter's was the school grade in comparison with age.

² For the use of the schools, the author is indebted to Superintendent D. C. Barnett and the Board of Education.

the weight, with ordinary clothing. All measurements and all calculations have been made by the author himself. The factor of variability has been reduced to the minimum. The ages are given in years and months. In reckoning the age from birth, from one to fifteen days inclusive were dropped, and from sixteen to thirty were added to the month.



Height—Inches—Caldwell School Boys (236)

" — " —F. M. Boys (280)

" — " —Goddard's 4500 F. M. Boys—without shoes

Weight—Pounds—Caldwell School Boys (236)

" " F. M. Boys (284)

" " Goddard's 4500 F. M. Boys

The defective children were classed from the experience and association of the teacher, attendant, and principal, into A, B, C, D, E grades. These would group approximately into the

common institution classes of morons (A-B); imbeciles (C); idiots (D-E). On account of the few cases, the tables and charts which follow show two grades of intelligence, the morons in one group, and the imbeciles and idiots in the other, in comparison to the normal group. The group of total defectives also is given. The individual measurements of each child are grouped according to sex, age, grade, and number of cases, with averages and deviations, in Tables IX-XII. The curves representing these data show in Figs. 10-14.

TABLE IX
Boys' Height—Inches (With Shoes)


		s A and Ioron	Grades C, D, and E Imbecile and Idiot		Total Defectives			Normal		
Age	Cases	Aver- age	Cases	Aver- age	Cases	Aver- age	A. D.	Cases	Aver- age	A.D.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26-48	5 10 10 11 13 10 14 15 8 4 5 3 3 1 0 1 9	45.76 48.49 51.61 52.46 53.89 56.51 58.18 63.01 65.75 65.75 67.00 67.07 67.97	1 3 4 4 7 7 9 8 6 11 8 9 6 2 6 6 7 5 10 2 4 4 2 5 143	40.80 46.83 47.12 48.65 50.47 51.20 56.28 57.50 59.52 62.62 62.05 65.83	1 8 14 14 18 22 18 22 17 25 23 17 10 7 9 9 8 5 14 2 5 34	40.80 46.16 48.10 50.76 51.68 52.79 55.55 57.51 61.09 62.45 63.92 66.54 66.21 66.04 65.85 66.12 66.69	2.4 1.4 2.1 1.6 2.4 3.1 3.4 4.5 3.8 4.2 1.5 1.4 2.6 1.5 2.0 2.2	8 14 32 29 18 19 24 14 25 26 17 6 3 1	47.57 49.01 50.94 52.67 54.16 55.99 57.92 59.37 62.06 64.99 68.17 68.53 70.30	1.9 1.5 1.9 2.3 2.4 2.2 2.8 3.0 3.1 7 2.0

² The moron has a mentality comparable to that of the normal child of from eight to twelve years; the imbecile, three to seven years; the idiot, two years or under. See Goddard (5) p. 221.

Six years of age means from six to seven.
In calculating the average deviation, decimals from one to six tenths were dropped, and those above added.

Interpretation of Graphs

In all the graphs the age appears at the bottom and also at On the average, the age will fall near the half year.



The scale of inches is marked on the left margin; the scale of pounds, on the right. The upper group of curves represent height, the lower group weight. The accomplishment in either trait is read from the same age point.

It should be noted that in the group of Caldwell school children a relatively high grade of children has probably been chosen. It is a little city of some five or six thousand, about an hour from New York City, and is a most healthful place with the advantages of country life.

TABLE X
Boys' Weight—Pounds (Ordinary Clothing)

	Grades A and B Moron Grades C, D, and E Imbecile and Idiot		Total Defectives			Normal				
Age	Cases	Aver- age	Cases	Aver- age	Cases	Aver- age	A. D.	Cases	Aver- age	A.D.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	5 10 10 11 13 10 11 14 14 8 4 4 3 3 1 1 0 1 1 9	51.80 59.60 64.90 68.27 73.30 80.38 86.00 95.21 113.85 125.25 139.75 140.25 143.66 146.00	1 3 3 4 10 9 5 12 8 10 7 7 2 6 7 7 5 10 2 4 2 5	39.00 52.33 52.33 53.75 60.70 63.88 75.11 74.80 77.16 86.25 88.20 104.14 132.00 118.66 127.86	1 8 13 14 21 22 19 16 26 22 18 11 6 9 10 8 5 14 2 5 34	39.00 52.00 57.92 61.71 64.66 69.45 77.84 82.50 86.88 103.82 104.66 117.09 137.50 127.00 133.30 129.50 129.50 129.50 123.80 143.80	6.0 4.0 7.3 5.8 7.4 12.0 12.1 19.6 19.9 22.1 26.3 8.8 29.8 17.3 7.2 18.4 13.6 13.5	8 14 32 29 18 19 24 125 26 17 6 3 1	49.12 52.82 58.04 61.39 68.51 76.31 84.03 95.53 99.57 113.63 134.52 133.83 143.16 140.00	6.5 4.6 5.2 8.7 12.2 12.7 18.2 14.8 16.7 12.4 11.55
	135		149		284			236		

From Fig. 10 we see the Caldwell boys higher at each age by from two to five inches than feeble-minded boys, the difference increasing somewhat gradually with age. All cases of feeble-minded over twenty-six years were grouped and the average height and weight both appear at the right margin, the average height of adult feeble-minded over twenty-six years being about

66.7 inches. The light continuous line of the upper group shows the average height of about 4,500 feeble-minded boys, six to twenty-six years of age, without shoes, as compiled by Goddard (5)6 in 1912. Allowing an inch for shoes, the general similarity of the two curves is evident.

The curves for weight are not as smooth as might be wished; a greater number of cases would of course tend to make them more regular. For ages seven to nine the two curves approximate, feeble-minded boys at nine years showing a little heavier than normal boys. After age ten normal boys are consistently heavier than defectives by from five to fifteen pounds, the difference increasing in a general way with age. The adult weight for thirty-four boys over twenty-six shows 143.8 pounds. The sedentary institution life and more regular dieting and sleep tend toward weight, especially in the more imbecile cases. The same might be said of all grades in the earlier years. Goddard's curve for weight for about 4,500 feeble-minded boys, six to twenty-six years of age, is shown in the lower light continuous line.


FIG. 11 shows again the curves of height and weight for normal and defective boys as separate groups and in addition, the defectives divided into two groups, the higher grade moron, and the lower grade imbecile and idiot. The heavy line in either group is the normal; the light line, the higher grade defectives; the broken dash line, all defective boys of this study; the broken dot and dash line represents the lower grade imbecile and idiot as a group. This graph shows the imbecile and idiot boy lower in stature and less in weight than the group of feeble-minded boys in general, excepting age seven. It shows the higher grade moron taller and heavier at each age, excepting seven, than the defective group whole. In weight the moron group more nearly approximates and at places exceeds the normal. At no age

⁶ This work is by far the greatest ever done and sets norms for years to come for the feeble-minded in height and weight.

⁷ Tarbell (12) says: "Feeble-minded children (boys and girls) are about two inches shorter and nine pounds lighter than normal children of the same age."

Goddard (5) p. 229 says: "If, however, we take the line representing defectives of all grades, we find that while 'he is not two inches shorter and nine pounds lighter' he nevertheless is one inch shorter and two pounds lighter up to the age of fifteen. After that he is three inches shorter and twelve pounds lighter."

in height, and at no age in weight excepting 8, 9, 17 and 19 years, does the high grade mentally defective boy reach or exceed the average normal boy of six to nineteen years in these traits.⁸ These exceptions may very probably be due to the few or exceptional cases, and the author wishes it clearly understood that



```
_______Height—Inches—Caldwell School Girls (245)
---- " F. M. Girls (139)
---- " " Goddard's 3300 F. M. Girls—without shoes
----- Weight—Pounds—Caldwell School Girls (245)
---- " F. M. Girls (138)
---- " Goddard's 3000 F. M. Girls
```

conclusions other than the most general based upon these data are not wise.

The record of girls is pictured in Fig. 12. The curves for height are more irregular than those of the boys. From this

⁸A greater number of cases would probably "smooth out" the exceptions at the two latter ages.

36

study mentally defective girls as a group are on the average from two to five inches shorter than normal school girls. This is about the same as with boys. It will be noted that there is a larger difference between the defective girls of this study and Goddard's 3,300 institution girls in regard to height than difference between boys (Fig. 10). In this study the two groups of higher and lower grades are about the same in number with boys, but with girls there are nearly twice the number of the higher grade.


TABLE XI

GIRLS' HEIGHT—INCHES (WITH SHOES)

		es A and Moron	Grades C, D, and E Imbecile and Idiot		Total Defectives			Normal		
Age	Cases	Aver- age	Cases	Aver- age	Cases	Aver- age	A. D.	Cases	Aver- age	A. D.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1 3 2 6 7 13 7 11 12 6 5 10 3 2	49.00 50.80 50.60 54.45 54.73 53.00 60.28 61.15 61.06 61.58 62.91 61.94 62.52 60.30 60.95	4 5 6 1 5 7 4 2 3 2 5 1 3 1 0 4 9	47.55 49.70 54.00 56.50 55.24 56.84 58.52 57.36 59.00 62.18 56.17 61.60	5 8 8 7 12 9 17 9 14 14 11 6 13 4 2	47.84 50.11 53.15 54.74 54.97 55.99 50.33 61.21 62.58 61.05 60.62 60.95	3.4 2.4 2.0 1.3 2.8 3.0 2.3 3.7 2.5 2.2 1.8 3.0 3.0 2.3	9 17 17 27 222 23 19 27 20 28 20 10 4 2	47.60 49.53 50.49 52.00 54.84 56.05 59.03 60.84 63.02 63.43 64.05 65.70 65.40	1.4 2.3 2.8 2.1 2.1 3.0 2.1 2.0 2.1 2.0 3.0 2.1 2.1 3.0 2.1

In weight it is very noticeable that the girls of the two large groups, normal and defective, up to nineteen years deviate less than do the boys. Weight would no doubt be subject to modification by regular habits of eating and sleeping much more than the individual's natural tendency to stature. These girls of institution care show the good effects of such regularity when it comes to bodily weight.

Fig. 13 shows the defective girls divided into the higher and lower grades of mental defect. These curves are very unsatisfactory, showing much irregularity. This condition may be due to one or several causes. First, the classing of the defective



```
## F. M. Moron Girls (90)

## All Grades F. M. Girls (139)

## F. M. Imbecile and Idiot Girls (49)

## Weight—Pounds—Caldwell School Girls (245)

## F. M. Moron Girls (90)

## All Grades F. M. Girls (138)

## ## H. M. Imbecile and Idiot Girls (48)
```

children into grades is chiefly empirical, an interchanging of one or two cases might modify the lines; second, it is to be regretted that the number of girls tested is much smaller than that of boys; third, there may be exceptional cases as number 123 at age 20 (Table X). The most peculiar feature of the lines as they are

is the crossing at age fourteen. From Fig. 11, high and low grade defective boys are distinctly apart at each age in height and weight. But with girls the curves cross at 14. Before this time (excepting 8 and 9 years in height) the lower grade girls are taller and heavier than the brighter girls; after age 14, the reverse is true. Can it be that high and low grade defective girls at about the fourteenth year reverse themselves in body growth? Again let it be understood that the condition is probably due to too few or exceptional cases.

TABLE XII
GIRLS' WEIGHT—POUNDS (ORDINARY CLOTHING)

	Grades A and B Moron		Grades C, D, and E Imbecile and Idiot		Total Defectives			Normal		
Age	Cases	Aver- age	Casea	Aver- age	Cases	Aver- age	A. D.	Cases	Aver-	A. D.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	1 3 2 6 7 2 13 7 11 12 6 5 10 3 2	52.00 59.66 60.00 74.71 65.00 99.00 110.71 120.09 119.50 121.18 143.20 120.09 113.66 111.00	5 5 1 6 6 4 2 3 2 5 1 3 1	56.75 61.00 73.20 79.00 99.00 99.00 98.00 116.50 121.80 90.66 98.00	8 7 7 13 8 17 9 14 11 6 13	55.80 60.50 69.43 74.71 75.69 82.00 99.00 108.11 1115.35 119.07 121.45 137.00 113.23 109.75	7.2 6.7 10.8 8.9 13.7 13.0 17.6 12.6 12.8 24.0 18.5	27 22 23 19 27 20 28 20 10 4 2	47.94 51.29 54.38 61.48 67.14 73.88 88.41 103.13 117.00 119.67 115.27 128.65	5.5 6.1 6.5 6.9 7.4 13.2 12.7 9.4 11.2 11.5 10.8 8.8 8.2
	.30		48		138			245		

SEX DIFFERENCES

Various studies with large groups of normal children have shown that from about eleven to fifteen years of age the girls were larger than boys in both height and weight. For example, Smedley (11, p. 1100); or MacDonald (6, p. 1023.)

In order to see whether or not this condition reflected itself in measurements of mentally defective children, Fig. 14 was made to show the records of both groups in both traits superimposed. The heavy and light continuous lines in the upper group represent the height of the Caldwell school boys and girls respectively.




Fig. 14. Comparison of Sexes—Normal and Feeble-minded—Height and Weight

B	leight-	-Inches-	-Caldwell School Boys (236)
	· ű	"	Caldwell School Girls (245)
	"	"	F. M. Boys (211)
•••••	"	"	F. M. Girls (139)
V	Veight-	-Pounds	—Caldwell School Boys (236)
V	Veight-	-Pounds	—Caldwell School Boys (236) Caldwell School Girls (245)
	_		

The broken dash and broken dot lines represent the height of feeble-minded boys and girls. The same comparisons are true in the lower group of lines as to weight. Excepting ages twelve and thirteen with defectives, in which there is an abnormal rise with boys and drop with girls, normal and feeble-minded girls are taller than normal and feeble-minded boys from ages ten to fifteen. In weight there is the same general condition. Except-

TABLE XIII

PER CENT OF DEFECTIVES REACHING OR EXCEEDING AVERAGE CALDWELL

NORMAL CHILDREN

ing age thirteen with normals at which both curves are irregular, the Caldwell girls weigh more than the Caldwell boys from about

	Воз	/8' He	eight	Boys' Weight			Girls' Height			Girls' Weight		
Age	Cases	No.	Per cent.	Cases	No.	Per cent.	Cases	No.	Per cent.	Cases	No.	Per cent.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1 8 14 14 18 22 18 17 25 23 17 10 7 9	0 1 1 5 2 3 5 7 6 7 3 1 0 1	0.0 12.5 7.1 35.7 11.1 13.6 27.8 41.2 24 17.6 10.0 0.0 11.1	1 8 13 14 21 22 19 16 26 22 18 11 6 9	0 5 5 7 6 4 7 3 7 6 2 5 1 3 6 1	0.0 62.5 38.5 50.0 28.6 18.2 36.8 18.7 26.9 27.3 11.1 45.4 16.6 33.3	5 8 8 7 12 9 17 9 14 14 11 6	2 3 3 2 1 1 3 3 2 2 3 2 0 2 5	40 37.5 37.5 28.6 8.3 11.1 17.6 33.3 14.3 21.4 18.2 0.0	5 8 7 7 13 8 17 9 14 11 6	2 4 3 4 3 3 6 4 4 5 7 4 49	40 50 42.9 57.1 23.1 37.5 35.3 44.4 28.6 35.7 63.6 66.6

beginning twelve to beginning fifteen years. Noting exceptions at the twelfth and thirteenth years in the lines for defectives, feeble-minded girls are heavier than feeble-minded boys from ten to seventeen years. Sex differences as to height and weight in normal children through adolescence is approximately the same with mental defectives.

Variability

As far as is known to the author only one other study has calculated the age variability in height and weight of mentally defective children. Wylie (16) in a study of about four hundred children of each sex, from one to thirty years of age, found the mean variation to be greater with defectives than with normals. Referring to Tables IX to XII, one can compare the variability at age of the two classes of children in this study. Excepting ages 8, 9 and 10, defective boys are more variable than normal boys in height. In weight, up to about age thirteen, defective boys are more constant; after thirteen they are more variable than normal boys.

From eight to nineteen inclusive, defective girls vary more than normal girls in height if we except ages 10, 11, 14, and 19. In weight, after the thirteenth year, defective girls vary more than normal girls at age. Very probably a greater number of cases, if distributed over the grades of defect, would show defective children more variable in height and weight than normal children, excepting possibly weight in the earlier years, if defective children had the regular care of institution life.

PER CENT OF DEFECTIVES REACHING OR EXCEEDING AVERAGE CALDWELL NORMAL

This is shown in Table XIII for each age. To interpret, take age seven for example: Of eight mentally defective boys, one or 12.5 per cent reached or exceeded the average height of Caldwell boys at the same age. Or taking the total: of 203 mentally defective boys from six to nineteen years of age inclusive, forty-two or 20.7 per cent reached or exceeded in height the average Caldwell boy at age. This is significant and means approximately that only one defective boy or girl in five reaches the average height for his age of a normal boy or girl. In weight boys make nearly a thirty per cent showing, while girls almost reach the average. Norsworthy (7) found these per cents to be considerably higher. She very probably had, on the whole, a higher grade of defective children.

⁹ He says, p. 6: "For height the curve of mean variation exceeds that of normal children except in two or three instances. In general the mean variation is greatest at times of fastest growth, but there are many marked exceptions to the rule. . . . The curve of mean variation for weight shows the same general features as that of height except that it is nearer normal up to ten years."

Conclusion

It seems a safe conclusion from the above data that not only is mental defect reflected on the average in the height and weight of children, but the more decided the defect the more checked the physical growth. (From Fig. 13 there may be an exception with girls before fourteen years.)

This is more evident in height than in weight, the first being probably less subject to modification by regular habits of sleep, diet, etc.

Feeble-minded girls more nearly approximate normal girls in weight than feeble-minded boys approximate normal boys.

The commonly known fact that girls are taller and heavier than boys during early adolescence shows itself also with mental defectives.

Defective boys and girls are more variable in height than normal boys and girls. Before thirteen years, defective boys and girls of institution life are more constant in weight than normal boys and girls.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Boas, F. The Growth of Toronto Children. Rept. Com. Ed., 1896-7, Vol. 2, pp. 1541-1599.
- BURK, FREDERICK. Growth of Children in Height and Weight. Amer. Jour. Psy., 1898, Vol. 9, pp. 253-326, q. v. for extended bibliography.
- GILBERT, J. ALLEN. Researches on the Mental and Physical Development of School Children. Yale Psy. Laboratory Studies, 1894, Vol. 2, pp. 40-100.
- GILBERT, J. ALLEN. Researches upon School Children and College Students. Univ. of Iowa Studies in Psy., Vol. 1, pp. 1-39.
- GODDARD, HENRY H. The Height and Weight of Feeble-Minded Children in American Institutions. Jour. of Ner. and Men. Dis., Apr., 1912, Vol. 39, No. 4, pp. 217–235.
- MacDonald, Arthur. Experimental Studies of Children. Rept. Com. Ed., 1897–98, Vol. 1, pp. 985–1204.
- NORSWORTHY, NAOMI. The Psychology of Mentally Deficient Children. Columbia Univ. Contrib., 1906, Vol. 15, No. 2.
- 8. PORTER, W. TOWNSEND. The Growth of St. Louis Children. Trans. Acad. Sc., St. Louis, 1894, Vol. 6, 263, q. v. for extended bibliography.
- 9. PORTER, W. TOWNSEND. Physical Basis of Precocity and Dullness. *Ibid.*, 1892-4. Vol. 6, 161.
- SHUTTLEWORTH, G. E. The Health and Physical Development of Idiots as Compared with Mentally Sound Children of the Same Age. Proc.

- Assoc. Med. Off. Amer. Instit. Idiotic and F. M. Persons, 1876–86, pp. 315–322.
- SMEDLEY, FRED W. Child Study in Chicago. Rept. Com. Ed., 1902, Vol. 1, pp. 1095-1138.
- TARBELL, G. G. On the Height, Weight, and Relative Rate of Growth of Normal and Feeble-Minded Children. Proc. Assoc. Med. Off. Amer. Instit. Idiotic and F. M. Persons, 1876–86, pp. 188–189.
- West, Gerald M. Observation of the Relation of Physical Development to Intellectual Ability made on the School Children of Toronto, Canada. A Preliminary Report. Science, N. S., 1896, Vol. 4, pp. 156-159.
- WHIPPLE, GUY M. Manual of Mental and Physical Tests, 1910. Warwick & York, Baltimore.
- WYLIE, A. R. T. Investigation Concerning the Weight and Height of Feeble-Minded Children. Jour. Psycho-Asthenics, 1899, Vol. 4, No. 2, pp. 47-57.
- 2, pp. 47-57.
 16. WYLLE, A. R. T. Contribution to the Study of the Growth of the Feeble-Minded in Height and Weight. *Ibid.*, 1903, Vol. 8, No. 1, pp. 2-7.

CHAPTER IV

STRENGTH OF GRIP AND DEXTRALITY IN RELA-TION TO GENERAL INTELLIGENCE

One of the peculiarities of the feeble-minded is his weak and listless hand grasp. In the gymnasium he fumbles at his piece of apparatus. He is not sure of his hands. As man has progressed in the scale of evolution, the hand as an instrument of the mind has gradually become more deft and skilled. In this organ he is infinitely beyond the animal. "It is perhaps not too much to say that the hand, through which alone (the) embodiment of thought and purpose is mediated is of all bodily members the most human and most noble; and that in its features and capabilities is symbolized all that man has achieved in his long upward march from the primeval ooze." (MacDougall (17), p. 242.)

As a means of mental acquisition, few of the bodily organs are more important than the ability of the hand to lay hold of and grasp things. If a child has not this power developed as it should be, the power to pick up and examine, hold fast to, take apart, and cast away, he is deprived of an avenue of acquisition second only to that of a sense avenue. If the characteristic above of the mentally deficient child is one noticeable in the daily work with him, will he in the registry of his strength of grip or his power of prehension give us an index of his mental caliber? How does he compare with his more fortunate brother and sister? Would the degree of his imbecility be reflected on the average in the reading of the dynamometer?

With these questions in view, 202 mentally defective boys from seven to twenty-five years of age and 129 mentally defective girls from eight to twenty-two years of age of the Indiana School for Feeble-Minded Youth were tested in March, 1910, with the dynamometer for strength of grip. About thirty "adult boys" (defectives) from twenty-five to thirty-five years of age were also tested, but (beyond a mere statement of the

results) these latter are not used as a basis of comparison. Tests were also made with thirty-three graduate students of Columbia University. In May, 1912, 232 boys and 227 girls of the Caldwell, New Jersey, public schools were tested in the same way as the defectives, using the same instrument. Notes were also made concerning "dextrality" or (as used in this study) the preference or superiority of one hand over the other.

Before giving the data collected, results of previous work will be summarized.

PREVIOUS WORK ON STRENGTH OF GRIP AND INTELLIGENCE

Probably the greatest number of children tested in one group for dynamometer strength was by Smedley (20), Director of the Chicago Child-Study Department in 1899-1901, whose report is included in the Report of the United States Commissioner of Education for 1902. His tests were mostly physical, such as height, weight, strength of grip, etc., but included memory and a few other mental traits. The children were largely of American parentage in comfortable circumstances; so they may be said to represent normal city children. The number of boys was 2788; of girls, 3471. The ages varied from four to twentyone inclusive; there being about two hundred fifty boys and two hundred fifty girls at each age six to sixteen, the number at seventeen and eighteen running less. He devised an "adjustable stirrup" grip, as he found that the ordinary two spring dynamometer was difficult for smaller children and that different phalanges would be used by different-sized hands. Each pupil was given "several" trials and the best result was recorded. Averages rather than medians were used as norms. (For the Smedley norms, see Whipple, "Manual of Mental and Physical Tests," p. 76). Some of his results follow:

- 1. Boys surpass girls in strength at all ages; and during the early years of adolescence this differentiation of the sexes is most striking.
- 2. "In the absence of any term corresponding to ambidexterity, and meaning unequal ability in the use of the hands without indication of which hand is the superior, the term 'unidexterity' is suggested." Children on the average are unidextrous, with the right hand superior at the time they enter school,

¹ Some investigators suggest a connection between development of speech and right-handedness. (Wooley, Psy. Bull., 7: 1910.)

and unidexterity increases during the early years of adolescence. Plotting his norms we find a more marked difference in the hands as maturity approaches. A heightened difference at puberty is noticed.

3. The relation between strength of grip and standing in school is shown by a distribution of twelve-year-old pupils by grades, also by comparing the grip of those at and above grade with the grip of those below grade at each age. An example of the first is here given:

Grade	Number of Pupils	Average Grip Kg. R. H.	Average Grip Kg. L. H.
2	4	16.75	16.50
3	19	20.03	18.55
4	84 134	20.22	18.85
5	134	21.06	19.6 4
6	143	21.40	20.12
7	95	22.31	20.41
8	18	23.31	21.07

TWELVE-YEAR-OLD PUPILS BY GRADES

This shows that the more advanced of the twelve-year-old pupils are more decidedly unidextrous than are the retarded pupils. His tables show that this association between decided unidexterity and intellectual power holds good throughout school life.

From Smedley's computations, twelve-year-old pupils of the higher grades are superior also in stature, weight, endurance, and vital capacity to those in the lower grades. He says: "This demonstration of the physical superiority of the more intelligent pupils does not necessarily imply that small or weak men are always less efficient mentally than are large men, but it does seem to show that one is likely to attain to his highest mental development only as he reaches the physical growth and development which nature has marked out for him."

- 4. On the whole, the brightest are more decidedly unidextrous than are the average pupils; the average pupils more unidextrous than are the dull pupils. The John Worthy boys are still more nearly ambidextrous than the dull pupils of the regular schools.
- 5. At every age from nine to seventeen, the John Worthy incorrigibles and truants are with either hand less strong than normal boys, and this discrepancy increases very decidedly with

- age. Also John Worthy boys (incorrigibles) far exceed dull pupils of other schools in the average number of growth abnormalities and the number of motor defects.
- 6. "Training in ambidexterity is training contrary to a law of child life."

MacDonald (16) made an experimental study of 1074 children of the Washington city schools. His measurements are typical of Americans because few foreigners reside there, all the states of the union are represented, and the well-to-do and poorer classes are pretty equally divided. He used the "oval" dynamometer and employed the average as a measure of central tendency. A few of his results follow:

- I. Bright boys are in general taller and heavier than dull boys. (Bright, average, or dull on teacher's classification).
- 2. As to children with abnormalities, defects of speech are much more frequent in boys than in girls.
- 3. "The dynamometer is to some extent a sociological instrument, in distinguishing those who do manual labor from those who do not, by the greater strength of hand in the former. . . There seems to be no relation between strength of hand and mental ability."
- 4. "Children of non-laboring classes show greater ability in their studies than children of the laboring classes." (As a higher percentage of dullness then would be found in the children of the laboring group, in the light of (3) this would tend to account for the indications in his results that dull children have as strong or stronger grip than the average or brighter group. See Whipple (26), p. 76, and MacDonald (17), p. 1004.)

WHITE BOYS

Class	Number of Cases	Average Age		Strength of Grasp—Kilos.					
	Cases	Yrs.	Mos.	R. Total	R. Aver.	L. Total	L. Aver.		
Bright Average Dull	237 142 137	12 12 13	4 1 1	4687 2644 3369.5	19.9 18.6 24.6	4331 2501.5 3161	18.3 17.7 23.1		
Total	516								

48 Relations of Intelligence to Mental and Physical Traits

MacDonald's group of "dull" boys average thirteen years, one month, a year older than the "average" group, and nine months older than the "bright" group. A decided rise at about the thirteenth year is noticeable in the grip of children in general. The "dull" group in short had a year's advantage in age.

Carman (3) tested 1507 children of Saginaw, Michigan, their ages being from ten to nineteen. She used the "Collin" dynamometer. Most of the children were of foreign parentage of the laboring classes. There were 756 boys and 751 girls. The nearest age was used. The average was taken as a measure of central tendency. Results:

1. In comparison to Smedley's table of norms, Miss Carman found with her group, which was principally foreign, that the average age grip was a little less with boys, more markedly less with girls.

AVERAGE STRENGTH OF GRIP IN KILOGRAMS

	Boys	Right Hand	Left Hand
Bright Dull			17 18
	Girls		
Bright		16	13
Dull		13	12

Averages as to "brightness" and "dullness," based on ages 10 to 14 inclusive, number of pupils, 576 boys, 511 girls. Children reported "bright" or "dull" by teacher.

Age	Number of Boys	Number of Girls		
10	96	86		
11	104	102		
12	123	132		
13	152	107		
14	101	84		
		-		
Total	576	511		

- 2. Boys reported by their teachers as "bright" were more sensitive than dull, were stronger in their right hand but weaker in their left hand than the dull, but in general were stronger.
- 3. Boys and girls with light hair and eyes are less sensitive to pain and less strong than boys and girls with dark hair and eyes.
 - 4. Girls are weaker at all ages than boys.
- 5. Girls reported as "bright" were more sensitive and stronger in each hand than those reported as dull.

6. Of 756 boys, five per cent were left handed. Of 751 girls, 3.6 per cent were left handed. These data are based on the statements of the pupils.

Dawson (7) examined juvenile delinquents of the Lyman School for Boys at Westboro, Massachusetts, and the State Industrial School for Girls at Lancaster.

Some of the results with twenty-six boys, average age fifteen, and twenty-six girls, average age sixteen, follow:

- 1. The average height of the boys studied was inferior by 9.9 cms. to the average Boston boy at the same age; that of the girls was 6.1 cms. less.
- 2. The average weight of the boys examined was inferior to the normal average by 5.93 kilograms; the average of the girls examined was superior to the normal average by .55 kilograms.
- 3. In strength of grip, the delinquent boys were inferior to the normal standard by .27 kilograms; the girls, by .87 kilograms. 56 per cent of both sexes was inferior to the normal by from 1.32 to 11.82 kilograms while 44 per cent of both sexes was equal to the normal average, or superior by from 1.18 kilograms to 15.18 kilograms.

Schuyten (18) had at his disposition a large number of dynamometric tests in Holland. Estimating intelligence by school grade in relation to age his tables show:

- I. "Ils demontrent que les intelligents, garçons et filles, sont les plus forts, à toutes les epoques de l'annee."
- 2. He also found that children of well-to-do parents were stronger than children of poor parents.

Cattell and Farrand (4) give the record of 99 students, average age eighteen, with none over twenty-three.

AVERAGE IN KILOGRAMS OF TWO TRIALS

Right hand	38.8	(85.54 pounds)
Left hand	34.6	(76.28 pounds)

This record is low because the average of the two trials was used instead of the best record. They advocate the maximum pressure of the thumb and forefinger.

Binet and Vaschide (2) measured the muscular strength of forty boys from twelve to thirteen years of age. They gave two trials alternately with each hand. The tests were given with

and without the stimulation of praise and encouragement. They found:

1. Dividing the forty children into four groups, the strongest to weakest, "les faibles sont plus souvent ambidextres que les forts, ou plutôt qu'ils ont deux mains gauches."

Group	R. H. Aver. Kg.	L. H. Aver. Kg.	Difference between Hands
1	27.25	22.15	5.1
2	22.25	19	3.25
3	18.5	16	2.5
4	15.75	14.25	1.5

2. The average grip was increased by about 3 Kg. where encouragement was given.

Wissler (27) states that "It has been claimed that strength of hand is a correlate of mental ability, that civilized men are stronger than uncivilized, and professional men than laborers. In these tests we find no correlation between class standing and strength of hand, r = -0.08." The number of cases was 204.

Claviere (5) sought to determine what influence, if any, intellectual effort has on muscular force as tested by the dynamometer. He concludes that:

- 1. In intellectual work intense and prolonged during two hours, there corresponds a notable and proportional diminution in the muscular force as measured by the dynamometer.
- 2. In intellectual work, in a moderate degree, there corresponds no appreciable weakening of the muscular force.
- 3. With no intellectual work there corresponds an augmentation of the muscular force.

In the Faribault, Minnesota, Training School, Dr. Wylie (30) in 1900 made hand-grasp tests on forty-four boys and forty-two girls. He used a Carroll dynamometer and Carman's findings on normal children for comparison. Only twenty-two of each group were used as having ages that could be compared to a measurement of normal children. Comparing each age with that of the normal children, and averaging the differences, he found:

	Right Hand	Left Hand
Boys		- 20.8 pounds
Girls	– 17.0 pounds	- 13.5 pounds

He says: "To such an extent do we find the grasp of our children subnormal." He found them to be about half as strong as normal children of the same age.

Grouping the children according to mental ability he found:

		Boys		Girls				
	Right	Left	Age ¹	Right	Left	Age ¹		
A B C	61.4 60.7 48.3	56.4 53.5 47.3	17 22 20	39.9 36.7 33.6	36.5 34.4 32.7	20 22 20		

¹ The age is the average for each group.

"This shows that the strength of grasp depends upon mental ability." We note also the association between unidexterity and mental ability as found by Smedley in his distribution of twelve-year-old pupils by grades.

METHOD OF ADMINISTERING THE TESTS AT THE INDIANA SCHOOL

A two-spring Narragansett Machine Company dynamometer was used in testing the grip of the children at the Indiana School for Feeble-Minded. They were tested during their gymnasium period. They were seated and called up one at a time. their hands were moist with perspiration they dried them. They were encouraged to "squeeze their very best" and the result was always mentioned in a laudatory way. In fact they vied with one another to make the best score, some of the boys rolling up their sleeves. The dynamometer was placed uniformly in their hands, that is, in the same manner each time, but the pupil was told to "make it fit" or "feel right" before gripping. He was not allowed to lean upon anything or touch his other hand or arm to his body, but could use his arm freely. Characteristic grimaces and poses were of course evident. The instrument was lifted carefully from each hand and read silently by the author. The physical director made her reading and the results compared and entered immediately. Most of the tests were on two separate days, but if on the same day several minutes elapsed before the second effort so there would be no fatigue effect. The best score for each hand from two tests is used for the comparison.

These scores for each child may be seen in Chapter VI. The normal children of the Caldwell public schools were tested by the author with the same instrument under as nearly similar conditions as possible. The individual records of these latter children may be seen in Chapter VI. The accomplishments of these two groups of boys and girls are condensed in Table XIV. This table is pictured in Figs. 15, 16, and 17.

TABLE XIV

Averages and Deviations, Right and Left Hand Grip, Boys and Girls

Normal and Feeble Minded at Age

Normal Children (Caldwell)

			Воув		<u> </u>	Girls				
	Right			Lei	[t		Right	Left		
Age	No.	Average	A.D.	Average	A.D.	No.	Average	A.D.	Average	A.D.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	8 14 32 29 17 19 24 14 25 24 16 6 3 1	19 22.8 28.8 34.4 39.7 46.4 53.9 58.1 69.5 88.3 110.8 116.8 116	5 6.1 6.3 7 9 10.5 12.5 13 11 21 9.8 8.7	18.4 21.9 27.3 30.7 36.3 42.8 49.9 56 64.1 79.8 99.9 101.3 104 125	5.6 4.7 6.4 7 7.8 10.3 10.9 14 12.5 18.3 9.3 7.7 6	9 17 17 27 22 23 19 27 20 25 17 2 2	16.8 17.6 21.4 26.5 31 38 50.3 53.4 65 70.2 73.4 70.5	6 5.4 5.7 6 6.3 11.2 12.7 8 10.7 9.7 7.8 7.5	13.6 17 22.6 24.8 30.8 35.8 47.9 48.3 60.3 65.8 67.2 63.5	3.7 5.6 5.5 7.8 10.4 8.3 11.4 10.6 7.1 9.5

For purposes of charting and comparison the defective children were grouped into various grades of general intelligence previous to any measurements being made. This classification into A, B, C, D, and E grades was on the experience of the principal, teachers, and attendants who had been associated with these children for years. The A and B grades would approximate the "bright" schoolable mentally defective child, sometimes called "moron," while the C grade would class under the general institution case of "imbecile," the better ones of whom were in the institution schools. The D and E grades constituted the

common "idiot." In the graphs, two groups of the mental defectives show, one group constituting the moron class, the other group including all grades of mental defect.

TABLE XIV—Continued. Feeble-Minded Children

			Boys					Girls			
		Right		Le	ſt	Right			Left		
Age	No.	Average	A. D.	Average	A. D.	No.	Average	A. D.	Average	A. D.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 22 23 24 25 26* over	7 12 11 12 18 16 15 20 19 6 6 8 7 5 11 2 5 32	13.7 17.8 27.6 32.3 29.3 39.6 44.5 51.9 61.5 66.3 77 106.5 91.7 99 81.9 85.4 75.5 87.5 80.2 80.2	7.4 8.6 9.4 8.5 9.6 12.8 12.7 124.6 18.2 20.8 15.8 25 23.9 16.8 7.5 41 29.5	10.9 15.8 25.9 33.1 29.5 36.9 52.2 59.5 64.5 74.2 95.8 86.6 75.4 77.3 79 74 82.6	4.1 6.8 7.4 5.3 12 11.4 11.5 6.6 21 17.8 20.7 20.7 24.5 18.3 13.2 29 7 27.6 26.6	26 77 11 8 15 9 14 11 16 13 4 2	16 16 25 27.1 29.1 34 52.1 60.4 58.8 57.7 63.8 53.4 63	1 2.6 8. 6.7 11.1 12.1 9 8.8 15.5 16.3 11.7 26.8 17.2 2	15.5 15.2 23.8 27.3 26 31.7 48.7 53.5 55.3 50.7 55.6 66.5 50.2 57 59.5	1.5 3.4 8.8 6.8 10.5 11 11.8 9.9 13.4 12.4 9.1 21.3 12.5 15	
To- tal	233					129		·			

The lines for the Caldwell boys appear higher at each age, excepting seven, than the lines for Chicago school boys.² The former boys were without doubt a more select group as a whole. No mention is made by Smedley that praise or emulation entered as a factor in raising the individual record of the Chicago school boys. Binet (2) found this added three kilograms. The question of the instrument registering low, thus possibly putting the defective children at a disadvantage in comparison with the Chicago children, does not affect purposes of comparison; for the divergence is more marked between the Caldwell and defective groups, taken with the same instrument, than between the Chicago and defective children.

¹ For the mental classification of such grades of defect, see footnote on p. 31.

² The instrument used by me registered too low. The results for it when placed in a vise, a 32.5 pound weight being used were: Weight placed as "lightly" as possible on top: 28-28-28 pounds. Weight hung below in 13.5 ounce carriage: 24-23-25-25 pounds.

54 Relations of Intelligence to Mental and Physical Traits





Fig. 15



F1G. 16

INTERPRETATION OF CHARTS

Figs. 15 and 16 show the lines for the Caldwell and Chicago normal boys, the lines for all grades of mentally defective boys, and the A and B grades separately. The number at age appears at the bottom. Reading them, we find:

- 1. The normal boys in strength of grip in either hand are decidedly superior at each age to mentally defective boys as a group.
- 2. The "moron" or brighter class (A and B) is superior at each age, excepting eighteen (and this is due to an undue rise of




Fig. 17

one class and fall of the other class), to all defective boys as a group.

- 3. The divergence between the hands increases as a rule with age.
- 4. The irregularity and fall of the lines for all defective boys after eighteen years is due to the greater variability of this group and to the greater percentage of lower grade cases.

Charting the right and left hands of Smedley's Chicago normal boys, and the right and left hands of all defective boys, Fig. 17, we find:

- 1. The hands distinctly apart at each age with normals.
- 2. Much crossing and the hands more nearly alike with the defectives. (This would show more decidedly were the Caldwell normals used for comparison.)
- 3. The left hand of the normal boys superior to the right hand of defective boys.

In fact, were the left hand of normal girls and the right hand of defective boys from six to sixteen charted, we would find the normal girls superior in strength of grip with this hand at each age, with possible exceptions at the ninth or tenth year.

The same general conditions above would be found to exist with girls as with boys were we to chart the girls.

TABLE XV

Average Grip for Each Hand of Age and Grade

Age	7	,	8		9		1	0	1	1
Grade	R.	L.	R.	L.	R.	L.	R.	L.	R.	L.
A B C	21 5 11.5	15.7 6.5 8	18.6 24 3	18.1 19.7 1	28.2 30.6 10	26.6 28 12x	32.5 34.5 27.5	36.5x 31 27.5	37.3 34 20	41.3x 33.9 19
EA to BA to C,DorE	++1	++1	 ++	_ ++	- + +	<u>-</u> ++	- + +	+++++	10 + + +	0 + + +

Age	1:	2	13	3	1	4	1	5	1	6
Grade	R.	L.	R.	L.	R.	L.	R.	L.	R.	L.
A	48.2 37.2 32.3 + +	36.4		51.8 36.6 28.7 + + +	$\frac{51.2}{40.7}$	65.8 52.1x 41.7x 60x 5 + +		68.2	75.4 77 47 — + +	69.6 73.6 51.2x

⁺ Affirms that average grip of group of brighter is higher.

[—] Shows a negative result.

x Left hand or sinistrality.

TABLE XV-Continued

Age	1'	7	1	8	1	9	2	0	2	1
Grade	R.	L.	R.	L.	R.	L.	R.	L.	R.	L.
A B	94	92.5		127x 77.7	122 92	130x 82	130 119	110 102.5	115	74
C	83	76.6		124x 88	140 76	138 86	89	78.7	90	83
E A to B	33.5	34x	+		28 +	33x	68	58	63	69x
A to C. Dor E B to C	+	+	+	+	_	<u>-</u>	+ + +	++	+	-

Age	2	2	2	3	2	4	2	5	
Grade	R.	L.	R.	L.	R.	L.	R.	L.	
A	70	85 99.5x 58	72.5		80 95	72 86	130 80.5 40 +	130 67.5 51.5x	

To 21 yrs. of age.. + — Balance A to B..... 17 9 8+ A to C, D or E 24 4 20+ B to C..... 22 6 16+

The above graphs picture all defective boys as a group and the two highest grades of mentally defective boys. Table XV shows the average strength of grip at age for each of the five grades of boys and for either right or left hand. Table XVI gives the same data "smoothed" by sums of three-year groups. A + affirms that the average grip of the brighter group is higher; A — shows the reverse; an x after the amount means the left hand was dominant, or sinistrality. The general fact shown by the tables is evident. The negative results were in some cases due to exceptionally large or heavy lower-grade children.

TABLE XVI

Average Grip for Each Hand and Grade "Smoothed" by Sums for
Three Year Groups

Age	7-8	3-9	10-1	1-12	13-1	4-15	16-1	7–18	19-	20–21	22-2	3-24
Grade	R.	L.	R.	L.	R.	L.	R.	L.	.R.	L.	R.	L.
ABCD		60.4 54.2 21	the second to see	121.6 101.3 76	162.2		264.7	294.9 243.8 251.8	316 345	276.8 331.5		257.3
A to B A to C, D or E B to C	+++	++++	+++	+++	+++	+++	++++	++	++	+-		

+ — Balance

A to B.... 10 0 10+ A to C, Dor E 9 1 8+ B to C. 7

Percentages of Defective Boys Reaching or Exceeding the Average Normal Boy in Strength of Grip

When groups are compared by means of averages, the variability of the individuals in either group is neglected, and the overlapping is not shown. A useful common method of additional comparison is to ascertain the percentage of one group reaching or exceeding the median or average accomplishment of the other group. Table XVII below gives these data for the defective boys in comparison with both the Chicago and Caldwell normals. If defective boys did on the whole as well as normal boys, fifty per cent would reach the median or average of the normal group. A larger per cent is seen to reach the average result of the Smedley measures than those of the Caldwell boys. The Chicago group of school boys was very probably a much more miscellaneous group, including a greater percentage of inferior boys. The dominance of the left hand of the feeble-minded is noticed in 22.3 per cent reaching the average left hand score of the Caldwell boys as against 17.8 reaching the right hand score.

GRADUATE MEN AND DEFECTIVES COMPARED

The author wished to compare strength of grip in a group of "bright," heavy, working "boys," who constituted the most intelligent class of the Indiana Institution, and the strength of

TABLE XVII

			Chie	cago		Caldwell				
Age	Cases	Right		Left		Right		Left		
		No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent	No.	Per cent	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	7 12 11 12 18 16 15 20 19 12 9 6	1 3 4 5 4 6 6 6 5 2 3 4	14.3 25 36.4 41.7 22.2 37.5 40 30 26.3 16.6 33.3 66.6	1 2 5 3 6 5 6 9 5 2 2 3 2	14.3 16.6 45.5 25 33.3 31.3 40 45 26.3 16.6 33.3 33.3	1 3 4 3 2 2 3 4 4 0 0 2	14.3 25 36.4 25 11.1 12.5 20 20 21.1 0.0 0.0 33.3	1 2 5 3 5 4 2 5 4 1 1 2	14.3 16.6 45.5 25.7 25 13.3 25 21.1 8.3 11.1 33.3	

adult graduate men students of Teachers College. He selected twenty-five of the largest defectives¹ who ranked either in the A or B grades of defect. Only seven of these boys were below twenty years of age; the others ranged from twenty to thirty-seven years. They were all accustomed to the heaviest daily work, "boiler room boys," or "outside workers." The graduate men were students with a pretty severe mental task daily before them. They were unaccustomed to manual labor. Tests with the dynamometer were made with thirty-three of these men. The ages ran from twenty-three to forty-two. The highest grip with either hand of two trials for each hand was taken.

The averages obtained were:

	No. Age Height Weight Inches	Weight	Gr	ip		
		1 Cars	псцев	Tounds	R. H.	L. H.
DefectivesGraduates	25 33	24.2 33	68.2 69.2	156.1 159	111.4 125.9	101.8 118.9

 $^{^1}$ The following were the individuals: Numbers 250–272–197–273–213–268–284–276–234–177–277–214–215–204–235–222–280–229–274–164–286–176–198–236–199 of the list found in Chapter VI.

60 Relations of Intelligence to Mental and Physical Traits

We see from these averages that graduate students engaged in mental work were stronger with either hand than larger mentally defective boys whose daily occupation was heavy manual work. Table XVIII gives the data for the graduate students from which part of the above table was derived.

TABLE XVIII

STRENGTH OF GRIP IN GRADUATE STUDENTS

No.	Age	Estimated Height	Estimated Weight	G	rip
			,, agni	R. H.	L. H.
1	42	70.5	157	119	127
1 2 3 4 5 6	34	70.3	175	119	112
3	35 26	67.5	132	130	126
4	26	70.5	142	124	112
5	29	68 68	143	107	100
6	34	68	170	144	128
•7	25	71	175	135	144
8	29 31	69	150	111	114
9	31	67.5	155	130	110
*10	28 37	65	138	80	87
11	37	65.5	145	123	109
12	38	70.8	270	152	15 4
13	26	72	160	140	131
14	28	69	165	133	116
15	30 33	70.5	160	140	120
16	33	72	185	136	112
17	27	70	160	132	118
18	24	67.5	138	133	120
19	23	70	163	142 121	130
20	27	71	160	121	111
21	24	70	150	102	116
22	28	72	165	104	89
23	24	67	136	118	114
24	35	70	157	138	121
25	29	68	145	131	129
26 27	34	70.5	143	114	117
27	25	73	185	150	163
28 29	38	66	155	130	135
29	26	67	145	116	107
30	28	67	148	97	92
31	29	69	152	110	77
32	36	68.5	155	112	108
33	30	71	168	181	176

^{*} Numbers 7 and 10 were left-handed.

PERCENTAGE OF "LEFT-HANDEDNESS" IN FEEBLE-MINDED BOYS

The mentally defective children were tested three times in respect to their use of either right or left hand. In a "ball

rolling test," a cardboard box with six three-ounce solid rubber balls was placed on the floor at a line behind which the child sat or knelt, but to his front and left. He picked up the balls from the box with the hand he preferred and rolled them as he wished wholly unconscious that the hand he used in rolling the balls was noted as well as his score. Which hand he used was recorded in two different trials. Following this the teacher of the boy was asked to note carefully which hand he gave preference to in writing or other manual work. Her observation was recorded. This would make three checks on each boy or, if ambidextrous, four checks. Of 148 boys from six to nineteen years of age inclusive, twelve, or 8.1 per cent, had three "left-hand checks" out of a possible three. We might say they were clearly lefthanded. Ten, or 6.8 per cent, had two "left-hand checks." and one right-hand check. Three, or 2 per cent, were ambidex-Gould in his "Right-handedness and Left-handedness" says that 94 per cent of "children" are right-handed. would leave six per cent left-handed. Miss Carman found five per cent of 756 boys of Saginaw, Michigan, left-handed. Of course, in these latter figures we have no means of telling how many were mentally deficient.

The relation of the opposite cerebral hemisphere to "handedness" is a most fascinating one. What is the significance of ambidexterity and sinistrality in the boy of deficient cerebral functioning? Ladd and Woodworth (14, p. 264) say that the left hemisphere has special culture in acts of skill and that "it may well enough be connected, both as cause and effect, with the prevalent right-handedness of the human species." We might say that fifteen per cent of mentally defective boys are left-handed as opposed to six per cent of normal children. There is less differentiation also between the hands of defectives than normals. Will we not have to associate sinistrality with a lower degree of mental and physical development? Juvenile delinquents show this trait. "Criminals are more often left-handed than honest men," Lombroso (15) claims. He says: "As asymmetry always grows in proportion to the development, and as the brain is among the organs which develop the most, it becomes more asymmetric the more it works. Therefore, as man advances in civilization and culture, he shows an always greater rightsidedness as compared to savages."

Conclusions

- I. In strength of grip as shown with the dynamometer, normal boys and girls are, in either hand, stronger than mentally defective boys and girls.
- 2. Separating the brighter moron class from the defectives as a whole, we find the boys of the better group superior in strength of grip at each age than the group for all defective boys.
- 3. Divergence between the hands of defective and normal boys grows with age.
- 4. The hands of normal boys are distinctly apart at each age; the hands of mentally defective boys are not only closer, but they cross at some ages.
- 5. The heightened difference between the hands at early puberty shows in the defective group as in the normal group.
- 6. Not only are normal boys stronger in their left hand than mental defectives with their right, but normal girls are stronger in their left hand than are defective boys with their right hand. (There may be an exception to this at about the ninth or tenth year.)
- 7. Graduate students are stronger in either hand than large defective boys used to hard manual labor.
- 8. There is a higher percentage of left-handedness among mentally defective children than among normal children; we might say fifteen per cent to six per cent.
- 9. The power of sustained mental effort is weaker in the deficient than in the normal child and this general deficiency of brain power is reflected on the average in the strength of grip of the feeble-minded.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. BARR, M. W. Mental Defectives, pp. 162-64.
- BINET AND VASCHIDE. Expériences de force musculaire et de fond ches les jeunes garçons. L'Année Psychologique, 4: 1897, (1898) 15-63.
 Also pp. 173-199, 236-252, 295-302.
- CARMAN, ADA. Pain and Strength Measurements of 1507 School Children in Saginaw, Michigan. Amer. Jour. Psy., 10: 1899, 392–398.
- CATTELL AND FARRAND. Physical and Mental Measurements of the Students of Columbia University. Psy. Rev., Vol. 3, p. 618, 1896.
- CLAVIÈRE, J. Le travail intellectuel dans ses rapports avec la force musculaire mesurée au dynamomètre. L'Année Psychologique, 7: 1900 (1901), 206-230.
- CUNNINGHAM. Right-handedness and Left-handedness. Jour. of Anthropol. Instit., 1902, 32, 273–295.

- DAWSON, GEORGE E. A Study in Youthful Degeneracy. Ped. Sem., 4: 221-258, 1896.
- 8. Gesell, Arnold L. The Normal Child and Primary Education, Ch. 7.
- 9. GODDARD, HENRY H. The Training School, February, 1910.
- GOULD, G. M. Right-handedness and Left-handedness. J. B. Lippincott Company, Phila., 1908.
- 11. HUEY. Backward and Feeble-Minded Children.
- 12. IRELAND, WM. W. Mental Affections of Children. p. 329.
- Katscher, Leopold. Rechts, links, und Doppelhändigkeit; ein wichtiges soziales Problem. Prag (Calve) pp. 109–218, 1910.
- 14. LADD AND WOODWORTH. Elements of Physiological Psychology. p. 264.
- LOMBROSO. Left-handedness and Left-sidedness. N. Amer. Rev., 1903. 177, 440-444.
- MacDonald, Arthur. Experimental Study of School Children. United States Report, 1897-98, 1: ch. 21, 985-1204.
- 17. MacDougall, Robt. The Significance of the Human Hand in the Evolution of Mind. Amer. Jour. Psy. 1905.
- SCHUYTEN, M.-C. Les variations de la force musculaire et le développement intellectual des élèves. Summary by Binet. A. P., 9: 1902, 448-449.
- Seguin, Edward. Idiocy and its Treatment by the Physiological Method. p. 42.
- SMEDLEY, F. W. Report of the Department of Child Study. Chicago. United States Report, 1902, 1: 1095-1115, 1115-1138.
- STEVENS, H. S., AND DUCASSE, C. J. The Retina and Right-handedness. Psy. Rev., Vol. XIX, No. 1, Jan. 1912.
- STIER, EWALD. Untersuchungen über Linkshändigkeit und die funktionellen Differenzen der Hirnhälften. Jena, G. Fischer, 1911.
- 23. THORNDIKE, E. L. Notes on Child Study. (1903)
- Vaschide, N. Essai sur la psychologie de la main. Paris, Marcel Rivière, 1909. (See review of above by Downey p. 162, Psy. Bull. 7, 1910.)
- WHIPPLE, G. M. The Left-handed Child. Jour. of Ed. Psy., 1911, 2, 574-575.
- 26. WHIPPLE, G. M. Manual of Mental and Physical Tests. pp. 74-79.
- WISSLER, C. The Correlation of Mental and Physical Tests, Psy. Rev. Monograph, Vol. 3, No. 6, 1901.
- WOOLEY, HELEN THOMPSON. The Development of Right-handedness in a Normal Infant. Psy. Rev., 1910, Vol. 17, 37-41.
- 29. Wray. Right-handedness and Left-brainedness. Lancet, 1903 (1) 683.
- WYLIE, A. R. T. Motor Ability and Control of the Feeble-Minded. Jour. of Psycho. Asthenics, Dec. 1900.
- WYLIE, A. R. T. Physiology of Right and Left Handedness. Jour. of Amer. Med. Assoc. 1898, 30, 356-358.

CHAPTER V

PERCEPTION AND MEMORY IN RELATION TO GENERAL INTELLIGENCE

The "marking A" test and the memory of related and unrelated words were three of a series of mental tests given to "schoolable" mentally defective children in the Indiana School for Feeble-Minded Youth. These tests were practically identical with those given by Thorndike to normal children and Norsworthy to classes for defectives in New York City and to children of the Waverly (Massachusetts) School for Feeble-Minded. For purposes of this study the above tests were also given by the author to public school children in Caldwell, New Jersey.

The following form for the "A" test was placed face down on the desk of each pupil:

PERCEPTION

GAAQBEMPAZNBIBXGAIBRUSAWZAZWXAMBBDXAJB
BCNABAHGBVBVFTCLAYKUBBWAFRWBTQYYAFAAAOH
UOLJCCAKSBAUAFERFABAFZAWXBAAAVHAMBABAD
KBEBVNAPLILAOXBBJUOVBIVPAAPSBNLKRQAABJLE
AKBAAPBBAAAHYOAEBLBVFABJAEHNPBIBAYAQBK
UBDSHAAQBBHTABZAQGBBTPNBRQNZIJBBWYBRED
TBWAMBEABHAOPXZWCAIRBRZNBOQAQLMDGUSGB
FUOFAAKYFGTBBLBZBJAAVAUAACBBTVDACJSIUBMO
BNZBWAAABHACAXHXQAXTDBBTYGBKGKVLBKIB
JACINBVBGAOBHABBBEJCTQZAPJBEIQWNAHRBBIAS
YBQAQEABJUDFBIMWBSAUBBBAOABMABDYAABJDAB
OBKFIUDBHTAGDAACDIXAMRPAGQZTAABBAOWLYX
WABBTHJJANBBBAAMEAACBSBSKABLPHANBNBKAZF

To ascertain whether each knew a capital A, several capital letters were placed on the board and two or three pupils were called upon to come forward and draw a line under the A's. They were then told that the slip on their desk contained a number of letters "mixed up" and they were to turn (holding the paper) at

the signal and "draw a line under as many A's as they could find, working as fast as they could, but carefully." After exactly sixty seconds the papers were turned downward and names written. The slips were then immediately collected. The next day a similar test was given in marking B's. The above plan was followed with both groups of children.

The scores for each child appear in Chapter VI. An "F" means a failure. The sexes and ages are kept separate. The numbers given are identical with those in the other tables of Chapter VI so that the record of each individual in all tests, physical and mental, can be found. The dropping of a score in the mental tests means that the child did not take such test.

The results are summarized in Tables XIX and XX.

TABLE XIX
"A" Perception Test—Caldwell Children
(362 Cases)1

			Boys		Girls					
Age	Cases	Aver- age	A.D.	Me- dian	P.E.2	Cases	Aver- age	A.D.	Me- dian	P.E.2
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	4	21 22.7 26.3 27.7 34.8 36.7 42.6 45.6 46.3 51.4 48.9 65.5	8.7 5.6 6.3 9.6 10 5.6 6.6 7.2 7.7 9.4	21 21.5 27.5 27.6 31.3 43.3 47 46 51 49 65.5	7.3 4.7 5.3 8.1 8.4 4.7 5.5 6.5 7.9 3.4	1 4 11 22 20 18 18 21 19 21 16 2 2	23 25.5 31.5 31.6 38.9 43.4 46.5 47.6 56.8 57.5 60.0 61 66.5	5 10.2 7.8 6.5 5.3 5.9 5.7 8.7 10.6 8.1 18.5	23 24 32 30.5 40 45.3 47 48 55 54 60.5 61 66.5	4.2 8.6 6.5 5.5 4.4 4.9 4.8 7.3 8.9 6.8 15.5

¹ For results in the same test with nine hundred normal children (Thorndike). See Psychology of Mentally Deficient Children, Norsworthy, p. 46.

² P.E.'s calculated by transmuting the A.D.'s. Same in Table II. (P.E. = .8453 A. D.)

TABLE XX

"A" Perception Test—Feeble-Minded Children

(190 Cases)

			Boys			Girls				
Age	Cases	Aver- age	A.D.	Me- dian	P.E.	Cases	Aver- age	A.D.	Me- dian	P.E.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	2 5 10 12 10 14 16 13 7 5 1	7.0 11.6 16.2 13.5 17.8 19.4 20.1 23.3 30.3 31.6 25 28.5	1.0 3.0 4.0 6.5 9.2 7.9 7.4 8.3 12.8 10.6	7 11 15.5 10.5 17 21.5 20.5 21 22 31 22 28.5	.8 2.5 3.4 5.5 7.7 6.6 6.2 7 10.8 8.9 8.8	3 6 7 1 13 6 11 11 10 5 11 4 2	12.3 21.0 30.7 36.7 19 31.5 25.7 30.8 34.0 25.5 32.6 32.7 33.0 39.5	3.7 2.0 8.7 7.7 4.5 10.7 12.4 6.7 10.2 12.1 7 5.5	14 21 26.5 37 19 33 24.5 30 24.5 29 38 32.5 39.5	3.1 1.7 7.3 6.5 3.8 9 10.4 5.6 8.6 10.2 5.8 4.6
	97					93				

Using the average as a measure of central tendency, Tables XIX and XX become Fig. 18. It is not safe to draw other than the most general conclusions owing to the few cases at age. With this limitation, however, the following is offered:

- 1. Normal children are better at each age than mentally defective.
- 2. Normal children show a more rapid increase in ability with age.
- 3. Mentally defective girls show the same trait as known to exist in normal children: viz., girls are better than boys at each age. (See Table XI, p. 46, of Norsworthy, Psychology of Mentally Deficient Children.)
- 4. There may be less sex difference in the defectives after fourteen years.
 - 5. One sex seems about as variable as the other.

A noticeable point in scoring the papers of both groups was that the Caldwell children were more accurate and consistent in marking the A's; they "skipped about" less.




Fig. 18. "A" Perception Test—Normal and Feeble-Minded Boys and Girls

To show any "overlapping" in accomplishment between normal and feeble-minded children the following frequency tables and surfaces were made:

TABLE XXI
FREQUENCY TABLE—CALDWELL BOYS
"A" Perception Test


10 and 11 Years	12 and 13 Years	14 and 15 Years
Score Frequer	cy Score Frequency	Score Frequency
15-19.99 4 20 1 25 5 30 6 35 1 40 6 45 6 50 2 55 1	25-29.99 4 30 2 35 0 40 14 45 10 50 6 55 1 60 1	25-29.99 1 30 4 35 2 40 4 45 13 50 6 55 4 60 6
Number 32 Average 33.9 A. D. 9.8 Median 32.5 P. E. 7.93	Number 38 Average 41.7 A. D. 5.9 Median 44.8 P. E. 4.9	Number 42 Average 46.9 A. D. 7.6 Median 49.4 P. E. 6.4

TABLE XXII FREQUENCY TABLE—MENTALLY DEFECTIVE BOYS

"A" Perception Test1

10 and 1	1 Years	12 and 1	3 Years	14 and 15 Years			
Score	Frequency	Score	Frequency	Score Frequence			
0-4.99 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50	5 5 6 5 2 0 1 0 0	0-4.99 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50	2 3 7 7 7 6 6 1 2 0	0-4.9 5 10 15 20 25 30 35 40 45 50	9 0 3 8 7 7 3 5 4 2 0		
Number 26 Average 15.4 A. D. 7.3 Median 17.1 P. E. 6.2		Numbe Averag A. D. Mediar P. E.	e 19.6 8.7		Number 40 Average 20.8 A. D. 8.8 Median 21.8 P. E. 7.4		

¹The tables include thirty-three mentally defective boys of Norsworthy's tests—pp. 30-33, Psychology of Mentally Deficient Children.



Marking A's—14 and 15 Year Old Boys

--- Feeble-Minded

---- Caldwell Normal

Figs. 19, 20, and 21 show from one to three feeble-minded boys reaching or exceeding the median normal, with many of the latter doing poorer than the best defectives. In general, the defectives simply occupy the lower end of the distribution curve for normal children. In these three diagrams, 5.5 per cent of defective boys from ten to fifteen years inclusive reach or exceed the median normal in perception. Counting the percentage of all defective boys from ages eight to sixteen inclusive, who reach or exceed the median normal boy at the same age, we have 2.2. With defective girls from nine to eighteen years inclusive, 4 per cent reach or exceed the median normal girl in ability to mark A's.1

MEMORY OF RELATED AND UNRELATED WORDS

The Caldwell children and mentally defective children were tested also in memory. The four lists of related and unrelated words given below were read aloud to a class, about ten seconds time being taken in reading a list. Each of the four lists was given on a different day. The children were told to lay their pencils down and listen carefully to the words read; after the reading, to take their pencils and write all they could remember. They were told to take what time they needed. About three minutes were found sufficient. With those defective children below the "third reader" the lists were read to each child individually and his oral response checked on a blank form. This was done because writing was difficult below this grade. In the oral work the children were usually through in from one to two minutes.

MEMORY TEST

RELATE	o Words	UNRELATED WORDS				
A	В	A	В			
river	school	bed	long			
water	teacher	duy	green			
brook	book	say	arm			
flow	desk	never	inch			
ice	pen	ring	dress			
cold	read	boy	run			

¹ Norsworthy found nine per cent for both boys and girls.

MEMORY TEST-Continued

RELATE	D Words	Unrelated	Words
A	В	A	В
winter	write	sick	true
Bnow	add	tree	knife
sled	spell	dog	break
skate	word	can	friend

For purposes of comparison the scores of words right were combined in each pair of tests. No penalty was made for words added that were wrong. Each child's score can be found in Chapter VI. The possible maximum is twenty for each of the two groups of words. In the case of vowel sounds in words being very similar, the pupil was credited with the word. For example, in the second unrelated list quite a number gave "through" for "true." This was done with both groups of children. The number of cases at each age, together with the Average and A. D., the Median and the P.E. for boys and girls separately, normal and defective, are shown in Tables XXIII to XXVI.

TABLE XXIII

MEMORY OF RELATED WORDS—CALDWELL CHILDREN
(173 CASES)

			Boys		Girls					
Age	Cases	Aver- age	A.D.	Me- dian	P.E.	Cases	Aver-	A.D.	Me- dian	P.E.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	2 8 13 12 16 15 6 14 3	10.0 12.0 11.2 11.8 15.5 15.5 14.8 14.5 14.0 12.0	1.0 2.5 2.4 2.4 1.9 1.4 1.2 2.2	10.0 12.5 11.0 13.3 16.5 15.9 15.3 15.7 15.0 12.0	1.0 2.5 2.0 2.1 1.8 1.0 .4 1.3 1.0	1 6 14 13 10 17 13 3 5	11.0 14.2 12.3 13.8 14.1 15.9 15.5 16.3 16.4 12.0	2.5 3.2 2.4 1.3 1.6 1.9 1.0	11.0 15.5 14.5 13.0 14.5 16.5 16.3 16.0 17.3 12.0	2.0 1.5 3.0 .9 1.4 2.0 1.0
	90					83				

TABLE XXIV MEMORY OF UNRELATED WORDS-CALDWELL CHILDREN (169 CASES)

Boys							Girls				
Ago	Cases	Aver-	A.D.	Me- dian	P.E.	Cases	Aver-	A.D.	Me- dian	P.E.	
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	2 7 13 12 16 14 6 14 3	8.0 10.1 10.0 9.6 12.8 12.4 11.2 11.7 13.7	2.0 1.3 1.2 2.1 1.8 1.9 1.5 1.3	8.0 10.3 10.0 9.5 13.5 13.2 11.5 12.3 14.0 13.0	2.0 1.0 1.5 1.6 1.3 1.0 1.0	1 6 14 12 10 16 13 3 5	10.0 13.3 11.3 12.3 12.0 13.0 13.2 14.3 14.4 12.0	1.7 1.8 1.8 1.6 1.8 1.4 1.7	10.0 12.5 11.5 14.0 13.2 13.5 13.3 15.0 14.6 12.0	1.5 1.0 2.0 1.2 .8 1.5 1.0	
	88					81					

TABLE XXV MEMORY OF RELATED WORDS-MENTALLY DEFECTIVE CHILDREN (223 CASES)

			Воуя	1	Girls					
Ago	Cases	Aver-	A.D.	Me- dian	P.E.	Cases	Aver- age	A.D.	Me- dian	P.E.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	12 9 14	7.8 8.0 9.3 10.0 10.2 10.1 11.6 11.2 11.1 12.9 12.2 11.0	2.3 1.7 2.0 2.2 2.7 1.6 2.3 1.8 1.7 2.6 2.2	7.5 7.5 9.5 10.0 11.8 11.0 11.7 11.6 11.5 13.5 11.0 12.0	2.0 1.5 1.3 2.0 2.5 1.3 1.7 1.5 2.5 1.5	2 4 5 6 11 5 13 8 11 13 9 5 11 4 2	8.0 8.3 9.0 11.3 9.2 8.6 11.2 12.4 12.0 11.8 12.0 11.4 11.4 11.3 15.0	.0 1.3 .8 1.7 2.4 2.2 1.7 2.4 2.7 2.4 2.1 2.8 2.0	8.0 8.0 9.0 12.3 10.0 8.5 11.0 12.5 12.0 11.5 14.5 13.0 15.0	.0 1.0 1.3 1.0 2.5 1.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.5 1.7 2.5 2.0
-	114					109				

TABLE XXVI

Memory of Unrelated Words—Mentally Defective Children
(218 Cases)

			Boys			Girls				
Ago	Cases	Aver- age	A.D.	Me- dian	P.E.	Cases	Aver-	A.D.	Me- dian	P.E.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	4 7 8 10 12 9 14 18 15 8 4	6.8 7.7 6.9 8.4 9.1 7.6 9.2 8.8 8.7 9.6 9.3 12.0	.3 3.1 2.1 1.7 1.9 1.9 2.1 1.8 1.7 2.9 3.3	7.1 7.0 6.5 8.3 9.2 8.3 9.4 9.0 8.0 9.0 12.0	.0 3.0 1.8 1.5 1.0 1.5 2.5 2.0 1.0 2.0 3.0	2 4 5 6 10 5 14 8 10 13 9 5 10 4 2	3.5 5.5 7.2 8.3 7.4 7.2 10.4 10.6 9.4 10.2 11.2 10.8 12.7 10.8	.5 8 1.4 1.7 2.2 2.6 1.4 2.9 2.2 2.3 1.3 1.0 3.1 2.3 2.0	3.5 6.3 8.0 7.8 7.5 7.0 10.5 10.5 11.8 11.5 11.5	.5 .0 1.0 1.5 3.0 1.7 2.0 1.7 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0
	111					107				




FIG. 22. Memory of Related Words
Normal and Feeble-Minded Boys and Girls




Fig. 23. Memory of Unrelated Words Normal and Feeble-Minded Boys and Girls

Tables XXIII-XXVI are pictured in Figs. 22 and 23. The averages are used. As in the perception test, few frequencies at the age permit of only general interpretation. Bearing this in mind the charts interpreted might be read:

- 1. Mentally defective children fall short of the average accomplishment of normal children in memory of related and unrelated words at each age from seven to fifteen.
- 2. Normal girls are better in memory than normal boys with a possible exception at about the eleventh or twelfth year. (The irregular curves might be criticized here; yet Thorndike, using the median as a measure of central tendency in similar tests with 288 children, found one exception at the twelfth year in memory of related words. "Psychology of Mentally Deficient Children," p. 48.)
- 3. Sex differences seem to be less marked with the feeble-minded.
- 4. Growth from year to year appears about the same in both groups.
- 5. "High grade" feeble-minded adults are not much better in memory than eight-year-old normal children.

To show that mentally defective children occupy the lower end, more or less, of a regular distribution of children in general a random sampling of ages was taken. The frequency tables and surfaces follow.

TABLE XXVII

FREQUENCY TABLE—CALDWELL BOYS

Memory of Related Words

10 Y	10 Years		ears	12 Y	ears	13 Y	ears	14 3	ears?
Score	Fre- quency	Score	Fre- quency	Score	Fre- quency	Score	Fre- quency	Score	Fre- quency
8-8.99 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	2 1 2 1 0 2 2 1 1	11-11.99 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	1 0 3 1 2 3 2 4	12-12.99 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	1 1 2 4 3 2 1	13–13.99 14 15 16 17 18	1 2 2 0 0	8-8.99 9 10 111 112 13 14 15 16 17 18	1 1 0 0 1 0 3 2 3 1 1
Number Average A. D. Median	11.8 2.4	Number Average A. D. Median		Number Average A. D. Median		Number Average A. D.		Numb Avera A. D. Media	ge 14.5 2.2

. 23.	2.1	1.19.	1.0	1.19.	1.0

Median 15.25 .44

Median P. E.

TABLE XXVIII

FREQUENCY TABLE—MENTALLY DEFECTIVE BOYS

Memory of Related Words

	Internol y by the threat it blue												
10 Y	ears	11 Y	ears	12 3	ears.	13	Years	14 Y	ears				
Score	Fre- quency	Score	Fre- quency	Score	Fre- quency	Score	Fre- quency	Score	Fre- quency				
6-6.99 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1	6-6.99 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	1 3 1 0 0 2 2 2 2 0 1	7-7.99 8 9 10 11 12 13	1 1 2 1 2 1 0 1	6-6.99 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	2 1 0 2 1 2 3 1 0 2	8-8.99 10 11 12 13 14 15	3 2 2 4 2 1 2 2				
Number 11 Average 10.0 A. D. 2.2 Median 10.0 P. E. 2.0		Number 12 Average 10.2 A. D. 2.7 Median 11.8 P. E. 2.5		Numbe Averag A. D. Median P. E.	ge 10.2 1.6	Numb Averag A. D. Media P. E.	ge 10.6 2.3	Number 18 Average 11.2 A. D. 1.8 Median 11.6 P. E. 1.7					

Fig. 24 shows the surfaces for boys for ages ten to fourteen inclusive. In each case defective boys reach or exceed the median normal, or closely approach it. Much overlapping is noticed. Counting all defective boys from seven to sixteen years inclusive, 8.5 per cent reach or exceed the median normal boy in memory for related words. For defective girls from eight to twenty-two years inclusive the per cent reaching or exceeding the median




Fig. 24. Memory of Related Words—10 to 14 Year Old Boys

--- Feeble Minded

--- Normal

normal girl is 7.3.¹ In memory of unrelated words the per cent of defective boys from seven to sixteen years who reach or exceed the median normal is 12.4. For defective girls from eight to twenty-two years, 13.1 per cent reach or exceed the median for normal girls.² In tabular form this appears:

¹ and ²—From age sixteen to twenty-two the median normal "adult" accomplishment for girls was used for comparison.

PER CENT OF DEFECTIVES REACHING OR EXCEEDING MEDIAN NORMAL IN MEMORY OF RELATED AND UNRELATED WORDS

Age	Related	Unrelated
Boys7 to 16	8.5	12.4
Girls 8 to 22	7.3	13.1

In memory probably more than in the accuracy and quickness of perception defective children seem to occupy the lower end of an extended distribution curve. Two factors operated also in the "A" test with the feeble-minded to keep the score lower than it might otherwise have been. One was the question of motor control in handling the pencil with some of these children; and the other was the direction to do "carefully" the marking. In all their regular school work these children were admonished to take their time and be careful with their work. This no doubt modified the results in the "A" test. These factors of course would not enter in the memory test.

The question arises as to whether or not relations appeal to mentally defective children in memorizing. The above table would tend to the negative interpretation. From another angle we note the per cent of boys and girls of both groups who in remembering unrelated words, did as well as or better than they did in remembering related words:

	Defectives .	Normal
Boys	28 per cent	21.6 per cent
Girls	30 "	23.4 "

The second list of related words pertains to the school room, but the word "pencil" was not included. In addition to this the word "pen" offered the suggestion and the stimulus for the response "pencil." Forty-two out of one hundred and seventy-three Caldwell children, or 24.3 per cent, gave the word pencil in this test. Thirty-six out of two hundred and twenty-three defective children, or 16.1 per cent, gave the word pencil. In the second list of unrelated words "green" appears. Eleven out of one hundred sixty-nine Caldwell children, or 6.5 per cent, included the word "grass" in their responses. Fourteen out of two hundred and eighteen defective children, or 6.4 per cent, also included the word "grass." There is little difference in this last, but a more decided difference in the case of related words. Again, practically all the wrongly added words by the normal children

bore relation to words in the list responded to. This was much less noticeable with the defective children.

As a practical suggestion from the above data, and with a firmer conviction after six years' experience in the education of hundreds of mentally defective children, the author would offer the point that in the ability to perceive and to memorize defective children do better than in any other of the more purely mental traits. It makes less difference with these children whether the material has relationship than it does with normal children. Memory seems to be a characteristic in itself, native perhaps. It is a common occurrence to have defective children call their teacher's attention to any slight change in the latter's dress. The powers of perception and memory then should be used to the utmost in the education of these children. most practical contribution made by Miss Norsworthy in her study is quoted: "To speak of (defectives) then as being equally deficient in all the mental powers is false. . . . From the point of view of the psychologist and the educator it is fully as important to know that the (defective's) perceptive powers are almost two and a half times as strong and accurate as his intellectual powers and almost half as strong again as his powers of memory, as to know that he is weaker than the ordinary child in all of these particulars."

SUMMARY

As a summary to this study on the "Ability of Children in Perception and Memory" the following is offered:

- I. Normal children are better at each age than mentally defective children in the powers of perception and memory.
- 2. Girls are better than boys in perception, whether they be normal or defective. The same is true with normal children in memory. (Note possible exception at eleventh or twelfth year.) With defective children this may not hold.
 - 3. Sexes differ less with the feeble-minded.
- 4. "Schoolable" mentally defective children at sixteen or eighteen years are not much better in these powers than normal children at eight years.
- 5. Defective children occupy the lower end of a larger distribution curve for children in general.
- 6. The best mental powers which defective children are likely to "bring to school" are those of perception and memory.

CHAPTER VI

INDIVIDUAL RECORDS

For purposes of record, data in several other tests are included in Tables XXIX to XXXVI. The number of an individual is the same in both of the tables that concern him. The manner of giving and scoring the tests is also here given.

- 1. Pulse rate for one minute (with the feeble-minded).— Taken at about the same time on two successive days, thirty seconds and doubled. In case of any subnormal result, or any showing more than twenty above the seventy-two mark, the pulse was taken on a third day at the same hour as previously taken. The pulse was taken by the author, or the teacher in physical training, or the resident physician, or the interne.
- 2. Temperature (with the feeble-minded).—At the beginning and close of a half-day of school work, about two hours apart on the same day. One-minute thermometers were left in the mouth from one and a half to two minutes. Each subject was instructed to "hold tight under tongue," as we placed the thermometer. The temperature was taken by the physician or interne, or the author.
- 3. "Muscular memory" (with the feeble-minded).—Three trials seated with first or second finger of each hand on a verified yard stick with weight at the twenty-sixth inch mark. Eyes turned away and closed. At the fourth trial the weight was removed and the subject was told to "try to stop at the same place."
- 4. Maze tracing (with feeble-minded and Caldwell normal children).—At the beginning and the close of a half-day of school. Two minutes for each test. The children were told to draw a line between the two lines of the maze without touching either and to work as fast as they could. A sample was placed on the board to illustrate. The defective children, perhaps because of constant admonitions of their teachers in the course of their regular school work, generally "took more pains" and worked

more carefully in this test than the normal children. In scoring, a "touch" was "where white does not show through." Three grades of touches were weighted:

- I. "Just a touch," as to point in turn, or some overlapping of pencil line and maze line, counted one.
- II. Where pencil line was "lost" in maze line, counted two.
- III. When pencil line "broke out" and again entered maze lines, counted three touches.

The score for amount was the amount inclusive of the last unit completed. An X means finished within the two-minute limit. For the style of maze and the scheme of units of amount marked, see Norsworthy's Psychology of Mentally Deficient Children, pp. 25 and 109.

5. Noun Test (with the feeble-minded).—Ability to form abstract ideas. Two tests. About three minutes given. (No set time). "Mark an X after every word that is the name of 'something.'" The words "book" and "on" were written on the board and children asked which should and should not be marked. This was to make sure that they understood. Slips containing the following words were given out:

Noun Test	Noun Test
1	2
book	black
read	desk
one	good
hat	stone
doll	sweet
tree	dress
if	run
cup	dish
ball	chair
is	going

In scoring, the scores from the two sets were added. A perfect score would be eleven. One was counted off for each wrongly marked word. In case the child marked all in list 2 his score for this list would be "o," but if he did the same in list 1, he would still have a score of two in the first list. In such a case, where it meant the child did not comprehend, he was scored "o."

6. Ability to form associations (with the feeble-minded). The following words were on individual slips:

ASSOCIATION

Tell me something that is:

high	wooden
soft	loud
cold	long
good to eat	fun
smooth	bitter
red	rough
round	sweet
hard	white
clean	heavy
dirty	pretty

These words were read to the pupils, together with the direction. Samples on the board "high" and "black" illustrated the point. Time—ten minutes. No erasures were allowed.

TABLE XXIX

MENTALLY DEFECTIVE BOYS

7		120				G	rip				Memor	y Word	1
'ldu	P	ge	0	# #	Weight Pounds	Por	inds	Handed		Related		Unrelated	
Individual	Yrs.	Mo.	Grade	Height		R.H.	L.H.	R.	L.	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W
1	6	7	C	40.8	39	2	0	1	3		7-1		
2	7 7	0	CC	46.5 48	53 54	15 8	11 5	3 3	2				
23456789	777	0 11	B	39.9 45.1	35 53	9	6 7	3		3	1	4	3
7	7 7 7 7	11 11 0	C A A	46 52.8 44	50 69 47	31	22 11	333		7	5-1	4	3-1
9	7	7	A	47	55	11 21	14	3		3 4	5-1 3	2 4	3
10	8 8 8 8	1 2 3	A	47.4	55	12	12 18	333		5	5	6	6
11	8	2	В	49.5	57	35	18	3		5-1	7	7	6
12	8	3	A	46.8	58	15	16	3		3	3	3	4
13	8	*	A	48.4	56	12	10	3		3	4	3	1-1
14		1	A	50.5	70	30	32	3		4	3	7 3 2 3	2
15	8	0	A	46.6	55	17	15	3		3	3	3	2-1

[•] Blank means month unknown.

82 Relation of Intelligence to Mental and Physical Traits

TABLE XXIX-Continued

la.	A	ze I		77		G	rip	Har	nded		Memor	y Wor	1
Individual	***	50	e	ght	ht	Pot	mds	Har	ided	Related		Unrelated	
	Yrs.	Mo.	Grade	Height	Weight	R.H.	L.H.	R.	L.	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W
16 17 18	8 8 8	11 7 10	C A B	48.9 46.8 51.5	54 58 69	26 30	24 30	3 3		4-1	4	5	4-1
19 20 21 22 23	8 8 8 8	2 1 0 8	C B B E	47 47.5 49.9 47.1	57 61 54	20 11	16	3 3 3 1	2				
100	8		Е	45.5	49								
24 25	9	9	B	52.8 49.3	70 58	10	30 12	3	1				
25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37	9	7 2 4	AB	46.8 53.5	53 65	30	18	3 3 2	1	4	4	4-2	3-2
28 29	9	4	AB	49.8 49.3	62 54	17	20		3	4	3-1	2-3 2 7	3
30	9	1 7 3	A	52.6	69	38	35	3 3 3 3 3 3		6	3 7-1	7	5-1
32	9	10	B	50.4 56.3	59 83	19	44	3		6 5-2	6	3 2-3	2 4
33	9	5 4	A	51 53.6	65 69	36		3	1	5	5 4-1	5	3-1 5
35	9		E	47.8 48	53	"	00	ŭ		,	* *	2	
37	9		E	49.5	50 54						-		
38 39 40	10 10	1	В	51.6	69	24 37	33 44	3		123			
40	10	0 9	A	51.9 49.6	67 65	37		1		5-1	7-1	3	4
41	10 10	15	B	49.9	63 64	30	25	3 3 1 3 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		6-1	6	3	4
42 43	10	2	B	52.5 53.8	71	40		3	2	4 2 8 6-2 3 5-1	6-1	3-1	6 2-1
44	10	2 10	A	50.5 53.6	60	22	26	3	1	8	6		2-1
45 46	10 10	7	A	53.6	74	48		3		6-2	5	6 5 3	6 5-1
40	10	6	B	54.9 53.5	89 68	50 25	33 25	3		3	4		5-1
47 48 •49	10	2	A	52.3	63	30	31	9		5-1	3 4	4 5-1	5
*49	10	3 3	A	52	62	20		3		5-1	8	4-1	5 3 6
*50	10	3	A	52	63	38	37	3		4	4-1	5	6
51	10	11	A	54.6	70	1.3	1	13		100	100	901	100
51 52 53	10	7	\mathbf{E}	10.2	50								
53	10	7	E	51.3	62	1							
54 55	10 10	7	E	48.3	54								
56	10		E	48.6	54 71								
57	10		E	1.55	59					1			
57 58	10	10.0	Ē	49.5	60	1						100	

^{*49} and 50 are twins. Teacher judged 50 the "brighter."

TABLE XXIX—Continued

							rip			1	Memor	y Word	1
dual	A	ge			to 00		nds	Har	ded	Rela	ated	Unre	lated
Individual	Yrs.	Mo.	Grade	Height	Weight	R.H.	L. H.	R.	L.	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W
59 60	11		D	57 40	82					1			
60	11 11	9	E	40	42 67								
60	11	8	A	52.3 56.4	85	14	-			8	7	6	7
62 63	ii	8	AB	55.4	66	31	58 33 15	3	3	0		0	
64	11	6	B	47.9	58	21	15	2	0	5-1	6	5-2	4-3
65	11	7	B	53.9	76	24	20	3 3 3 1		3	4	4-1	5
65 66 67 68 69 70	11	7 8 9 5 8 3 8	C	52 8	63	24	26	3		5	6-2	8-2	4-1
67	11	9	A	53.8 54.3 55.8	72	46	42 28 30	3		6-1	6	4-1	5-2
68	11	5	В	54.3	76	34	28	1	2	3-5	4-1	5-3	3
69	11	8	В	55.8	69	33	30	3	13.1	6	7	5	3-2
70	11	3	В	51	67	para*	56		3	4	3	6	5
71	11	8	В	57.3	92	51 35	56 43		3	4-4	4-2	2-3	0-2 4-3
72	11	11	В	52	68	35	31	3		6-1	7	4-4	4-3
73	11	6	A	53.8	70	22	24	3	luk"	1	-CY		100
74	11	5	В	55.4	81	42	42	169	3	6	6	6	6
75	11	4	B	53.6	73	35	43	3 3	1	2	4	5	3-2
76	11	10	C	50.5	62	14 17	7 21 13	3	1		V + 1		
77	11	10 6	CC	51.5 50.8	63	17	21	3					
78	11 11	0	C	50.8	65	17	13	3					
71 72 73 74 75 76 77 78 79 80	11	4	E	51.4 54.5	69 62	10 28	0 28	2	1				
81	12	0	В	56.3	77	26							
82	12	8	CC	54	67	22	28 22	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3					
82 83	12	10	Č	50	67	19	18	3			100	100	
84	12		B	50.4	67	20	25	3		5-1	4	3	2-2
85	12	3	В	55	74	43	39	3	1	4	4-1	3-1	5 1-3
84 85 86 87 88 89	12	2	В	57.5	80	51	50	3		6	6-1	3-4	1-3
87	12	11	A	58 6	70	34	33	3		4	6	4-1	6
88	12	5	A	57.3 46.3	85 57	45	42	3		4	5	5	3
89	12		E	46.3	57		1			0.50	1253	1.3	
90	12	0	A	55.3	78	52 28	50	3 2		6	8-1	4-1	5-1
91	12	6	C	54	68 52	28	22	2	1				100
92 93	12	1	E	***	52				1				
93	12	11	A A C	58.4	84	52 58	44	3		5-1 5-1	6-2	4-2 4-2	6
94 95	12 12	11	A	61.8	94 65	58	50	3		9-1	0-2	4-2	0
96	12	5 7	č	51.9 64	121	25 70	19 68	1	2		0.00		
97	12	3	CC	55.6	87	70	08		3 3				
98	12	7	č	59.1	92	42	40	9	0				
99	12	10	B	54.5	94	46	40	3		3-1	4-1	5	3
100	13	2	В	50.9	60	21	18	3		5	4	5	2
100 101	13	2 2	A	58.8	91	63	18 55	3		3	3-1	6	2 5-3 4
102	13	4	В	57.5	80	30	35	3 3 1		4-1	3	3-2	4
103	13	6	В	53.8	67	33	35 58	1	2	3 7-1	3-1	4-3	3
104	13	6	A	61.8	103	1 66	58	3	1	7-1	5-1	2-1	4

^{* &}quot;Para" means "paralytic."

84 Relation of Intelligence to Mental and Physical Traits

TABLE XXIX-Continued

		go.				G	rip	T.	nded	1	Memor	y Word	
dual	A	ge		+2 10	ls it	Pot	inds	Har	ided	Re	lated	Unrelated	
Individual	Yrs.	Mo.	Grade	Height Inches	Weight	R.H.	L. H.	R.	L.	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-V
105	13		ВССС	57.1	82	53	35 22 38 37	3		5-3	5-2	5-5	4-3
106	13 13	10	č	53 59.8	66 81	33 35	22	3 2 3	1	5	6 3-1	4-1 5-3	5
107 108	13	5	č	56	79	48	37	3	1	6-3 5-2	6-3	6-4	3-1
109	13	11	C	60.5	94	100	0.		117	3-2	0-3	0-4	3-1
110	13	0	C	59.1	189	20	18	1				1440	
111	13	5	В	59.5	87	60	60	1 2 3 3 3		5	7	2-4	3
112	13	1	A	60.6	93	56	50	3		5 7 6	7 8 7	6 7-1	6
113 114	13	8	A	64.1 53.4	103 76	45 56	50 50	3		6		7-1	5
115	13	6	A	62.5	104	48	48	3		6 8-1	6-3 7-2	8 5-4	6-1 7-3
116	13		Ē	49.3	54	-	-			0-1	1-2	0-4	1-0
117	14	2	E	50.5	58								
118 119	14	1	E	56 55	91						1		
120	14	0	B	55.3	55 73	48	48		3	3			1-2
121	14	ŏ	Č	54	69	18	48 20 42	3	0	5-3	5 3	3	4
122	14	6	В	53.1	65	31	42	3		4-1	7-5	3-3	4
123	14	3	C	58.8	89	40	52	1.01	3	6-3	7-5 5-3 5-1 6	3-3 3-2	2-1
124	14	4	В	61.9	122	75	66	1 3 3 3 1 3 1	2	6 5-2	5-1	5 6 3 6 5	4-1
125 126	14	10	В	56.1	85	50	40	3		5-2	6	6	4-1
120	14	10	AB	58.5 57.6	97 77	54 31	57 31	3		7	6	3	4
128	14	5	A	56.9	70	41	37	3	11.7	6	4	5	5-1 3-1
128 129	14	9	Ċ	61	109	67	62	ĭ	2	7-2	7-1	5-3	6
130	14	7	В	64.3	97	63	62	3	-	7	8-1	5-2	4-5
131	14	4	C	56	86	38	33	3		5-1	7-1	5	4-3
132	14	1	В	63.8	111	70	80	1	2	5	4-1	4-2	3-3
133 134	14	1 2	A	65.4	106 93	60 58	90 52	9	3	4-1	5	4	4
135	14	9	A	64.3	131	97	81	3		6	6 7-3	5-4 7-2	6-3 5-2
136	14	3	B	57.5	83	42	81 48	3		4	4-1	4	5
137	14	10	A	57.5 65.3	123	90	78	3		6	8	5	5
138	14		E	53.8	79	22	5		N.	1	E N		13.
139	14		D	66.8	129	42	60			N Y			
$\frac{140}{141}$	14	1 1	E	53.8	65 48								
142			E	44	48							8	
143	15		E	59.4	101								
144	15		E	65.9	100	T ST				8.4.1			
145	15	1	В	62.5	103	61	52	3		6-2	3 6–3	4	4
$\frac{146}{147}$	15 15	1 10	AB	63.1 64.6	93 139	43 98	para	3		6-2	6-3	4-1	4-2
148	15	9	č	66.8	122	59 59	101 58	3333		6-4	5 5-4	5	3-1
149		10	B	62.8	115	52	55	3		6-4	7	6-1	4

TABLE XXIX—Continued
Mentally Defective Boys

	MENTALLY DEFECTIVE BOYS												
_		ge		·			dp.	Har	ded]	Memor	y Word	
dus				رو چا	異異	Pot	ınds			Rela	ted	Unre	lated
Individual	Yrs.	Mo.	Grade	Height Inches	Weight Pounds	R.H.	L. H.	R.	L.	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W
150 151 152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164	15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	3 6 3 2 8 2 2 6 5 9 9 11	EECBBBBBBAABAEBC	49.3 48 60.5 66 57.9 62.3 64 67.1 60.4 56.5 67.6 56.5	55 57 86 123 99 96 113 98 93 85 150 109 65 178 104	48 94 28 55 64 25 50 42 41 125 87 4 136 56	50 84 25 47 63 32 59 53 50 122 68 0 110 43	33131333333	2 2 1 3	4 8-1 6-3 2-4 7-4 4 6 5 7	4 3-4 4-2 7-2 6-9 5 6 7-1 9-4	3 5-5 4-3 6-2 5-6 3-1 5-2 3-1 6-3	3 5-1 5-2 5-1 5 2-2 4 6-1 6-2
166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174 175 176 177 180 181 182 183	16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16	0 2 10 9 8 0 4 1 8	CCBCBACAABAAEEEEEE	60.9 61.5 64.9 59 66 57.5 62.8 64.5 68.3 68.8 67 52.4 59.5 61.3 56.3	96 109 128 94 123 81 111 120 141 130 127 152 55 62 2103 68 102	20 62 80 42 73 51 64 78 100 78 50 98	25 75 71 34 75 46 71 73 81 75 47 101	თ თ ოთოთოთთ	3	5-1 5 4-1 5 8-1 10-1 10 7-2	5-1 5-1 4-1 8 7-1 7-1 6 7-1	4-1 2-3 3-2 3-2 6-3 6-3 6-3 8-3	5-1 4-2 4 4-3 8-1 7-2 8-2
184 185 186 187	17 17 17 17	7 0	B B C E	62.9 66.6 61.4 49.3	155 139 106 52	103 90 70	105 88 62	3 3 3		4–1 10 5–1	6 7-2 5	2-1 6 5	4 6–1 1–1
184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194	17 17 17 17 17 17	6	EMMECEC	60.6 65.5 68.1 72.6 65.3 66.9	88 124 141 84 154 111 134	82 101 30 80 37 99	85 92 32 75 36 93	3 3 2		7–4 5	6-7 6	7–5	6–7
195 196 197 198	18 18 18 18		B A B	63.9 66.4 70 67.8	123 162 136	80 125 86	71 127 70	3		6	5–5	7–3	5-4

TABLE XXIX—Continued
MENTALLY DEFECTIVE BOYS

7						G	rip				Memor	y Wor	1
Individual	A	ge	de	es	tht	Pot	inds	Hai	nded	Rel	ated	Unre	lated
Indi	Yrs.	Mo.	Grade	Height	Weight	R.H.	L.H.	R.	L.	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W
199 200 201	18 18 18		B C D	66.9 64 68.4	140 127 137	115 123 110	92 124 88					N	
202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210	19 19 19 19 19 19 19 19	1 0	B B E C D E E E	66.4 65.5 69.3 59.3 68 66 51 63.4 64.6	130 150 151 100 204 134 58 106 110	122 117 28 140 76	62 130 102 33 138 86	3 3		3-1 7-1	8-1 6-4	6	4-3
211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20		E A B B D D D D E	66 71.6 64.9 67.4 65.5 68.8 64 65.9 64.8	127 108 172 152 114 124 146 125 150 115	130 120 118 30 115 103 108 68	115 112 93 24 110 100 81 58		4				
221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228	21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21		DACE CE CE	64.8 65.1 63.1 66.3 68 67 69.6 65.8	112 131 124 124 131 148 134 132	115 84 50 88 108 98 30	74 87 52 83 118 78 37						
229 230 231 232 233	22 22 22 22 22 22		C C D E D	71.1 66.3 68.6 63.5 64.4	169 156 125 114 133	72 100 72 70 113	88 82 83 58 116						
234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243 244 245 246 247	23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 2		BABEECECBEEEEE	70 69.3 64.1 68 65.5 65.3 66.6 67.3 66 67.5 64 62.4 63.3	165 143 134 142 133 123 119 133 143 109 109 109	120 107 103 22 52 60 28 85 83 100	152 98 100 15 45 70 29 81 92 100 68						
248 249	24 24		D E	62.4 69.3	143 116	80 95	72 86						

TABLE XXIX—Concluded

MENTALLY DEFECTIVE BOYS

	MENTALLY DEFECTIVE BOYS													
3	A	ge				Gr	- 1	Han	ded		1emory	Word		
Aldt			ą	ght	g g	Pou	nds			Rela	sted	Unrel	ated	
Individual	Yrs.	Mo.	Grade	Height Inches	-	R.H.	L.H.	R.	L.	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W	
250 251 252 253 254	25 25 25 25 25 25 25		BCEEC	70.4 62 66.8 65.4 66	172 100 104 118 125	58 42 38	130 50 51 52 87							
255 256	26 26		E D	71 67	173 144		83 104							
257 258	27 27		E	64.8 70.5	122 151		43							
259 260 261 262 263	28 28 28 28 28 28		CDCEE	69.4 67.5 64.5 67.6 65.9	145 137 124 170 130	106 95 22	118 112 120 26 60							
264 265 266 267 268	29 29 29 29 29 29		DED DB	61.8 65.4 67.3 64.3 70.9	100 109 154 137 176	120 60	para 65 110 58 68							
269 270 271 272 273 274	30 30 30 30 30 30		D D B B B	62.8 64.5 63 70.1 71.1 65.5	134 127 209 193 188 158	48 90 117 120	96 72 76 115 110 112							
275 276 277	31 31 31		E B B	68.5 66.5 65.5	120 158 138	110	58 81 120							
27 8 27 9	32 32		D E	62.8 67	145 122		42 20							
280	33		В	64.1	158	116	111			}	<u> </u>			
281	34		E	68	143	52	para							
282	35		E	67	132	55								
283 284	36 36		E B	65.5 68.5	135 192		50 131							
285 286	37 37		D B	62.3 70.9	138 144		95 87							
287	43		E	67.9	150	68	52							
288	48		E	68.3	133								ļ	

TABLE XXX

MENTALLY DEFECTIVE BOYS

_	10			T		Mus	cular		M	aze		Per			Asso	cia-
dua	10	Pulse	В	Temp	erature	Mer	nory		1	2	3	Let			tio	n
Individual	1	2	3	1	2	R	L.	Amt.	Touch	Amt.	Touch	1 A's	2 B's	Noun	R.	w
1	96	92		97.8	98										-	- 10
3	100 84	100 82	90	97.4 98.2	98.4 98.6	- 1									0.1	
4	120	92	126	98.6	98.6							7. 1	1	18		
5	76	88	120	98.8	98.6	24.5	26									
7	84	84		98.4	99.2	26	30	Ш			1					
8	92	92	1	98.6	98.4		23.5									
9	94	111	90	99.2	99.2		23.5			- 1	**		10	2.		
10	90	92	0.4	99	98.8		24.8	9	14	7	10	6	16	11	F 2	1
11 12	92 80	96 88	94	97.6 98.8	99.8 99.2		25 22.5				3.1		1773		r	
13	90	84	100	98.8	99.4		29									
14	60	78	88	98	99.4		27.5						03			X.
15	82	80	-	98	98.6		25							1		
16	86	86		97.2	98.6		24							1		
17	114		112	99	99							_				
18	90		102	98.6	99.2	26	27	13	60	15	94	8	8			
19 20	132 80	120 96	120 96	98.8	97.8									1		
21	84	88	90	98.4	98.6	- 1										
24	98	98	92	99	98.8									- 13		
25	80	80		98.8	98.8	200	34 7							10	0 1	
26 27	86	86		99	100.6	26.3	24.5									
27	116	112	122	98.4		~ ~						1				
28 29	84	84		98		27.8	26.3					//				
20	84 80	80 88		98.6 99	98.8 99	27 26	24.5 26.5	11	25	23	117	19	24			
30 31	90	80		99	99	20	27.8	5		12	23	10	14			
32	98	76	94	-	99	25.5	27.8			13	41	6	25	0	4	2
33	70	74	79	98.2	98	27.8	26.5	17	42	26	108	11	11	11	20	1
34	76	78		98.8	98.8	25.3	23	15	34	17	51	12	14	7	3	
38 39	74 96	76 86	92	97.8 99.8		21.5	29.5	18	83	30x	184	25	25			
1.7	84	(1)	100	98.4			1000	10		330	Mar.	4	13			
41	78	100 76	100	99.4		23.5	18.5 26	17		19 30x	121 140	20	21			
43		94	90	98.2			24	19		14	60	15	14	3	F	
44	98	78	78	99	98.6		25	17		27	116	15	17		104	
45		96	78	97	99	24.5	25	12	5	8	3	24	24	11	18	2
46	80	62	72	99		28.8	26.5			16	54	12	14	5	17	2
47	00	100	00	98.4		29	27.8			20	150	F	F	2	**	2
48 49	92 86	100 84	92	98.6 99	97.6 98.6	25 0	28 23	19 19	72	30x 30x	139 185	15 16	17 21	11	18	2
50		96	92	97.6			27.8		-	30x 19	60	16	14	8 11	6 19	
62 63	80 72	76 90		98.2 98.8		26.8	25	18	17	27	65	29	33	11	20	

TABLE XXX-Continued

=				l_		Mus	cular		M	8.2 0		Per	cep-		Asso	ocia-
idus		Puls	8	Temp	erature	Me	mory	_	1	1	2	tic Let	n ter		tio	n
Individual	1	2	8	1	2	R	L.	Amt.	Touch	Amt.	Touch	1 A's	2 B's	Noun	R.	w.
64 65 66	84 96 72	82 92 72	82	99.2 97.6 98.2	99.2 98.8 98.6	24	26 25.5 30.8		25 109 53		12 151 86	8 21	18 23	F	10	
67 68	76 79	86 82		98.2 98.6	98.4	26 29	27	9 14		11	11 15	8 20 6	6 32 10	6 1	F 17	3
69 70 71	80 94 74	94 96 72	90 88	99 98.8	99.4		24.8 23	12		16	45 88	14 6	19 18	9	9	2
72 73	90 96	82 84	105	97.2 100.2 98.6	98.4 99.8 99.6	27.5 26.3 32.5	29 24.3 32	18 8	64 17		99 55	23 11	18 14	0	F 6	3
74 75 76	84 88 86	84 92 106	94	98.4 99.2 98.2	99 99.2	23 23.5	27	7 14	8 36	8 23	20 7 9	6 10	7 15			
77	82 114	96	92 100	97.2 96.4	98.6 98.2 98.2			11	5 5	6	19					
80 81 82	76 112	80 92	100	98.8 99.2	98.4 98.6	14	19.8									-
83 84 85	86 87 72	82 86 72		98 98.8 98.4	98.6 99.4			12 15	29 34	12 13	19 27	3 2 0	9 31	8	5	4
86 87 88	74 80 87	70 84 90	82	101.8 98.6 97.6		31 26	29 28 26.5	26 21 8	158 68		166 138 18	10 20 18	24 25 28	4 7 5	F 17 11	2
90 91	88 74	84 78		97.6		24 28.5	26 28	10	16	16	5 0	16 3	24 9	7	16	
93 94	78 80	98 70	80	98.4 97.8	97.8 96.6			28 30x	170 108		186 93	34 43	29 41	9 11	19 19	1
96	80			98.2	ŀ	28	31									
98 99 100 101	90 78 84 80	90 84 78		98.6 98.2 98.8 98.6	98.4 98.6 98.8	26	30 25 26 28	12 8 21	16 14 84	13	18 33 82	11 13 10	19 19	3	6	
102 103	94 92	80 86	72	98.6 99	98.2 98.6	27 26.5	24.8 25	10 18	37 100	7 17	6 88	5 14	30 9 17	3 1 0	9 3 F	2
104 105 106 107	78 90 66 78	80 90 86 82	92	97 99.2 98.8 99	99.8 97.6 99	23.5 28		19 7 23	8 137	30x 11 23	14 183 36 124	24 19 27 10	43 39 38 14	7 8 7 3	12 19 10 F	1
108 109 110	86 92	96 90	96	98.2 98.4	98.2 98.2	26	27	11	38	11	47	9	11	0	F	
111 112 113 114	96 78 86 84	94 76 86 84	78	97.2 98 98.6 97.8	96.2 98.4 98.6 98.8	$25.3 \\ 28.5$	26.5 25 25.8 25	11	0	24 20 14 12	100 19 5 11	24 33 27 30	48 33 50 20	7 11 10 11	8 19 20 19	3 1 1

TABLE XXX—Continued

MENTALLY DEFECTIVE BOYS

ual	1	Pulse	,	Temp	erature		nory	1	_	aze 2	_	Pero tio Let	n		Asso	
Individual	1	2	3	1	2	R	L.	Amt.	Touch	Amt.	Touch	1 A's	2 B's	Noun	R.	w
15	54	56	58	99		25	26	13		17	10	26	29	8	20	
	104 104	90	88 96	98.6 97.6	98.6 98.8	29 24	30 26.5	F		19	116	F		0	F	
122	74	78	00	98.2		26.8	27	13	48	20	86	12	16	0	F	
123	92	76	- 1	97	97.6	27.5	28	11	58		62	_8	7	0	F	
124 125	82	82		98.6	98.6		26.5 25.5	22	121		105 24	25 13	28 29	5 8	10 14	
126	88 78	92 88		98.2 97	98 98.2	$\frac{27}{28.5}$	26	9 8		13 11	21	12	22	10	16	
127	94	84	82	98.4	98.6	24	25	11	20		59	16	15	7	14	
128	86	94	86	98.6		27.3	26.5	6	1	4	0	21	34	10	12	
129	84	84		99.8		23	28	16		14	64	22	16	0	2	
130 131	84 78	80		98 97.6	98.2 98	$\frac{28.3}{28.5}$	27 28	30x		30x 14	180 82	27 6	28 15	10 F	19 F	
132	90	80		98.6	98	24.5	26	9		11	35	20	22	3	10	
133	84	94	94	98.6	98.2	28.5	28.5	18	83	24	82	30	18	10	20	
134	70	68	82	98.4	98.6		25	15		17	32	21	15	10	20	
135 136	78 86	70 86	62	98.6 98.4		$\frac{26.8}{28.3}$	24 26.3	22 15	47 77	30x 20	84 107	35 14	29 15	11 10	19 18	
137	62	60	54	98.4		24.3	25	12	7		14	39	48	10	19	-
145		72	-	99.6	100.2	25	26.5			17	114	12	11	0	F	
$\frac{146}{147}$		86 64	87 70	99.4 97.6	99.2	26 24.3	26 26.5	12	102	17	127 38	11 12	24 43	10	12	
$\frac{147}{148}$		74	10	98.2	98.2		23.5		3		0	F	13	3	0	1
149		80		97.8	97.8		26	20	65		150	33	40	1	16	l.
152		80	-	97.2	97.2	27	24.5		75		79	18		F	F	
$\frac{153}{154}$	80 86	66 84	86	98.4 98.2	98 97.6	27.5 27.5	24.3 26.8		28		3 29	22 31		5	3	
155	94	96	106	98.6			28	18	51		64	21	25	1		
156		70	68				25.8		29		15	17		6		
157				98.4	99	25	25.5	14	30	24	121	32	24	4	1	
159				98	98.2	21 27.5	26 27.8	30x	229	30x	179	19	26	10 10		1
161				98.4	98.8		26	9	0	29	35	35	49	11		
162				98.4				11		19	17	40		10		
165	78		19	98.6		27	28									
166 167				98.2		26.3 26	25.5 24.5									
168		88	94		98.6		25	9	1	13	6	38	38	6	6	
169	84	72		99	98.4	29.5	28.	7	18	12	38	21	24	1	4	
170	70	64	96					3		12	29		19			
17				99	99.2	22.5	26.3		100		145		37	10		1
$\frac{172}{173}$				97.8		25.5	25.8	30x 12	198	29	17					
174				98.8			27.3		23		66					
17				98.6					1		1					
184	88	62	94		98	29	25	10	9	18	67	18	22	(6	

TABLE XXX—Concluded Mentally Defective Boys

				-			cular	71	M	aze		Per			Asso	cia-
dua		Pulse	В	Temp	erature	Mer	nory	1		2		Let			tio	n
Individual	.1	2	3	1	2	R	L.	Amt.	Touch	Amt.	Touch	1 A's	2 B's	Noun	R.	w.
185 186	96) 80	88 84	90	98.8 98.4		24 29	27 27	29 17		30x 25	118 119	50 19	45 23	3 5	5	2
189 190	74	84		97.8		28 23	27.5 25.5	6		12 11	25 6	40 31	39 36	11 10	19	1
194 195	88 54	62	58	99 98.6		30 28	30 27	12	11	23	77	25	48	5	17	3
202 203	68	74	72	98.4		28.5 23.3	26.8 24	12 7	12	18 19	57 13	18 39	17 38	6 10		2

TABLE XXXI

7						Gi	rip	W.	nded	-	Memor	y Word	1
ridu	A	ge	9	bt es	th sp	Pou	inds	пал	lueu	Rela	ated	Unre	lated
Individual	Yrs.	Mo.	Grade	Height Inches	Weight	R.H.	L.H.	R.	L.	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W
301 302 303 304 305	8 8 8 8	1 9 6 9 10	C B E E E	51.9 49 45 51 42.3	66 52 50 68 43	17	14 17	3	2	4 2	6	3-2 2-2	1-2
306 307 308 309 310 311 312 313	9999999	5 10 7 11 9 10 4 8	ABCBCCEE	52.5 52.4 49 47.5 49.4 54.9 50.3 44.9	62 70 49 47 75 63 57 61	17 8 19 17 17	12 17	1 1 2 3 3 3	3 2 1	3 3 4–1 4	4 7 3–1 5	2 3 5 3	4 3 1-1 1-2
314 315 316 317 318 319 320	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	6 8 8 2 6 5	CCCCCAA	54 55.3 50.1 56 53 50.3 50.9	67 81 67 73 61	18 43 20 18	37 5 20	3333233		5 5 4 5 4	5 3–1 5 5–1 4	2 3-1 3 4-2	2 4-1 5 4 6
321 322 323 324	10 11 11 11	3 8 11	A A B B	55.6 57.1 54.8 55.3	59 78 91 60 92	30 23	28 24	3 3	2	6 4 6	7-1 4-2 6	4-1 4-2 4-1	4-1 3-1 3-1

TABLE XXXI-Continued MENTALLY DEFECTIVE GIRLS

-	1					G	rip		Ü		Memor	y Wor	d
rldus	A	ge	9	ht	the	Pou	inds	Hai	ided	Rela	ated	Unre	lated
Individual	Yrs.	Mo.	Grade	Height Inches	Weight	R.H.	L.H.	R.	L.	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W
325 326 327 328	11 11 11 11	9 8 8 3	B A B C	51.4 55.1 53 56.5	54 73 74 79	31 27	30 30	3 3 3		5 7-1	6 7 6	6 4-1 7	3 2-2 6
329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341	12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	8 1 11 5 7 8 9 11 10 4 10 0 9	CBAAABCCBCBEE	53.4 53.1 50 60.9 58.4 55.9 51.1 52.1 52.8 55.6 58.8 57.6	96 70 77 65 93 80 69 63 64 71 74 90 72	16 34 23 46 44 33 10 18 18 32	17 27 21 38 42 22 5 12 21	1 3 3 3 2 3 1 1	1 2 1 2 2	3-3 7 4 6 4-1 5 4 1 6-1 3-2 5	5 6 5 7 7 5-1 1-2 5-2 2 5	5 5-2 4-1 4-1 5 2-1 3-1 3	7-1 4 5-1 4-1 5-1 1-3 2 3-1 0-2 4
342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350	13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13	3 3 9 5 8 0 0 8	CCCBBCCCE	57 57.3 58.9 54 52 59.3 50.9 61.4 53.1	79 93 93 69 61 64 113 84	42 51 22 30 42 17 49	32 51 26 22 38 17	333323333		4 6 1 5 6	4 6 3 3–1 5	2 5 3-1 5-1 3-4	2 6-1 1-2 5 4-2
351 352 353 354 355 356 357 358 359	14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	1 3 3 11 1 1 6 8	B B B B A A A	61.4 58.1 59 61.8 63 56.9 56.6 58.9	110 81 94 99 118 84 94 85	42 54 48 43 47 33 51 56	33 57 44 33 35 34 43 49	3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		7-1 5 4-2 6-1 5 5-1 5 4-1 9	6 6 6 5 6 7 5–1 7	5 5 6-1 4-2 5-1 7-1 6 6-2	6 4-1 2 4-2 4-1 4 7-1 5-3
360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367	14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	8 2 1 11 5 1 5	AACBCBEE E	63.9 62.1 56.9 56.8 61.3 63.1 58.6 57.3	128 98 105 82 121 123 94 76	60 60 38 47 72	62 72 32 48	3 3 3 3	3	4-1 6 7 4	6-1 6 6 4-1	8-1 6-1 5-1 6 5-1	7 4-1 5-3 5-1 5

TABLE XXXI-Continued

181	A	ge				Gr	ip	Har	ded		Memor	y Word	1
vidi			e Je	cht	gh	Pou	nds			Rela	ated	Unre	lated
Individual	Yrs.	Mo.	Grade	Height	Weight	R.H.	L.H.	R.	L.	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W
368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376	15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15 15	3 11 6 10 10 7	B B B A A B C B C	58 57.3 58.6 58.4 67.9 65 63.9 62.9 57.6	96 99 96 84 172 112 109 116 89	63 57 66 60 77 69 67	54 58 49 61 62 77 54	3 3 3 3		4 7 7 7 8-1 6-5 4 4-1	5 8 7 4 8-1 8-2 6-1 6	5 7-1 6 4-2 8 2-3 6-1 5	5 6 3-1 10-1 6-1 6
377 378	16 16	0 10	B	65.5 58.9	142 110	40	38	3 3 3 3		7-3 5-1	6-2 5-1	4-5 5	4-1 4
379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386	16 16 16 16 16 16 16	5 4 7 11	BBBBBAAB	61.5 61.4 59.4 63.9 57.9 62.3 65.3 58.4	106 97 119 114 110 109 137	68 44 70 67 70 104	62 49 65 55 62 98	33 3333233133	3	5 7 9-2 5 6 9	9 8-1 8-2 4 5 7-1	6-1 6 6-1 6 6-1 7-2	5-3 8 3-3 4 3-3 7-2
387 388 389	16 16 16	7 11 10	BBCCC	58.6 56.3 57.8	188 104 87 97	46 45 25	41 45 24	3 1	•	6 3	6 3	5-1 2-2 3-2	2 3-5
390 391*	16 16	7	B	57.5 37.8	95 40		45	3		6–2	3-5	3-2	3-0
392 393 394 395	17 17 17 17	5 11 6	B B B	64.3 63.8 58.9 60.5	151 117 118 113	36	43 70	3	3	8 5 5	6-1 7 7	4-3 6-1 4	3-3 5-1 5
396 397 398 399 400 401 402	17 17 17 17 17 17	4 8 6 2 2 11	CB AB A A	59.5 58.4 60.8 59.9 61.5 64.6	142 121 103 116 117 107	2 41 27 3 76 5 50 6 69 6 68	44 25 68 45 57 48	33323	1	4 5 4 7 8 6-1 8	5 6 4 6 7-1 9 9-1	5-4 5 6-1 8 7 6 6-1	5-1 5 4-1 8-1 9-1 6-4
402 403 404 405	17 17 17 17	9 10 6	C B B	66.1 58.5 59.9 60.3	141 91 101 129	61	26 39	3	2 3	3 5-1	3 5 7-1	4-1 3-2 4-4	4-1 2-2 3-7

[•] Number 391 is a cretin dwarf—not counted.

94 Relation of Intelligence to Mental and Physical Traits

TABLE XXXI—Concluded

Mentally Defective Girls

7						G	rip 1			11 63	Memor	y Word	1
Individual	A	ge		e pt	pt ds	-	inds	Har	ded	Rel	ated	Unre	lated
Indi	Yrs.	Mo.	Grade	Height	Weight	R.H.	L.H.	R.	L.	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W
406	18		C	62	114	41	37	3		4	5-1	7	6
407	18	1	C	59	94	51	42		3	2	5	5-1	3
408 409	18	8	C	62.4	124	73	67	1	2			- 0	
410	18	9	В	62.8	148	78		333333333		8	6	5-2	6-1
411	18 18	8	В	58.9	119	62		3		5	6	8 7	3 7
412	18	4	A	63.1	109	68	60	3		5	7		6-4
413	18	0	AB	60.8	116	81	62	3	1	8 5	9-1	5-5 7-5	5
414	18	6	В	65.1	119	57	64	3	1	0 0	8 7-1		6-2
415	18	0	Č	66.8	116 164	45		3	1	8-3 5-1	5-2	6-2	5-2
416	18	4	č	60		62	62	0	1	9-1	0-2	4-4	0-2
110	10	*	C	00	113	83	63	3					
417	19	4	В	64	138	80	68	3		4-1	7	3-4	5-2
418	19	0	В	64.5	183	95	80	3 3 3 3 3	1	6	7	8	4
419	19	0	B	58.8	130	51	67	3	1	6-1	5-2	7-1	5
420	19	1	B	63.5	162	111	115	3		6	5	5	6-1
421	19	4	B	58.9	103	25	26	3	1	5	6	7	4
422	19	4	C	62.1	106	51	43	3			17.7		
423	20		C	50.5	57	10	14	2	1	100		6	1
424	20		C	63.6	119	45	42	3		5	6-1	5	6-1
425	20	3	В	58.8	98	48	48	3		5	4-1	5-2	5-1
426	20	2	A	62.3	154	72	63	3	1	5 5 7	7	6-1	8
427	20	2	A	67	126	83	70	2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3		9	7	5-3	5-4
428	20	1	A	62.1	96	77	61	3	1	8-1	8-1	10	9-1
429	20		В	61.4	112	40		3		7	5-1	5	7
430	20	100	A	65.8	130	71	61	3	1	9	8-1	8	8-2
431	20	2	В	61.9	146	52	65	3	1	6-1	7	4-2	6-1
432	20	6.50	В	61.5	115	46		3	1	7	8-1	5	3-4
433	20	9	В	60.9	100	57	52	3		7-1	7	15-51	1500
434	20	9	A	63.5	123	70	61	3		9	9	8-1	9
435	20	3	C	54.4	96	23	24	3	100			150	1
436	21		C	61.6	98	57	66	1	3	5	6-1	3	4
437	21		В	58.3	99	43	41		3	5	5	6	4-1
438	21	11	A	65.8	124	97	78	3		8	7-1	7-1	8-2
439	21	11	A	56.8	118	54	43	3		7-1	10	6	5-1
440	22	121	A	58.6	114	54	57	3		8	9	7-2	8
441	23	2	A	63.3	108	58	62	3		6	7-1	5	6-4

TABLE XXXII

7	Ι,	Puls		Tomr	onetuno		cular		M	aze			сер-		Asso	cia-
idu		Puis	9	Temp	erature	Mei	mory		1	2	2	Let		B	tio	n
Individual	1	2	3	1	2	R	L.	Amt.	Touch	Amt.	Touch	1 A's	2 B's	Noun	R.	w.
301 302	72 96	72 86	70 90	97.2 98.6	98 98	29 23.3	$\frac{26.5}{23.8}$									
306 307 308 309	98 120	88 128	90 120	98.6 99 99.6 98	98.6 99.4 98.4 101.2		22.5 26.5	6 9 5 6	19 12	24 17 5 14	133 67 16 74	16 7 F 14	14 15 F 17			
314 315 316 317				97.6 97.6 98.8 98.6	96.2 98.4 98.6 99.2			F 26 12 1		F 30x 14 2	175 92 5	F 18 F F	F 21 F F			
319 320	102 98	86 90	84 96	98.8 98.6	99.4 98.6		28.5 23.5	9 18		11 21	14 43	24 21	18 20			
322 323 324 325 326 327	90 106 106 84 86	92 106 90 84	92 104	96.8 97.6 97.4 97.4 98.8 97.4	98 99	25.5 24.5 26	24 24 25 27 27	19 20 6 3 11 8	95 6 6 14	10 27 11 5 16 11	8 14 40 12 38 47	39 25 24 20 28 48	26 24 20 15 52	10 3 2 11 5	20 8 6 13 5	2 2 1
329 330 331 332 333 334 335	88 70	82 84 96 86 86		98.8 97.6 98.4 98.6 98.2	98.6	24 26.3	27 26 24.8 23 25 25.5	4 17 11 11 19 6	31 7 55	25 20	26 157 72 26 132	F 37 36 41 57 39 21	15 37 26 31 39 33 10	3 6 9 6 5 5	9 11 20 7 4	8 1 3
	118 100			98 98 97	98.4 98.4 97.2	29.5	23 25	26	133	30x	142	26	33			
342 343 344 345 346 347	94 96		104	96.6 98.4 97.8 99.6 98 97.8	97.6 99.2 99.4 98.6	26 25.8	27 31 25.8	3 4 18 4	9 21 62 6	7 20	19 41 73	F F 19	14 15 20	5 6	4 9	1 6
351 352 353 354 355 356	74 66 72	98 72 76 88	116 78	96.4 98.6 98.2 96.6 97.8 96.8	99.6 98.4 98.4 98	26 25 21 25	26.5 25 29.5 24.5 27.3 26	21 11 12	85 15	20	150 118 69 102 5	25 31 34 37 35 33	24 43 30 35	4 6 3 7 11 5		3 2 1 1

TABLE XXXII-Continued

7		D1-		m		Mu	scular		M	Iaze			cep-		Ass	ocia-
de de	_	Puls	10	Tem	perature	Me	mory		1		2	Let	ter		tic	n
Individual	1	2	3	1	2	R	L.	Amt.	Touch	Amt.	Touch	1 A's	2 B's	Noun	R.	w.
357 358 359 360 361			110 110	98 98.8		22 25 24	26.3 26.5 23	25 7 3 11	83 0 0 5	14 4 4 9	29 1 0 1	38 34 29 38	36 33 29 34	11 11 10	20 17 19	3
362 363 364 365	80 98 80 66	98 80		99.2 100 98.2 97.6	98.6 98.8 98.2	31.5 25.5 28	25.3 29 25 29 23.8	2 16 12 30x	24	2 22 16 30x	11 82 37 134	28 16 32	27 20 40	F 4 6 8	20 F 5 F 2	2 2
368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375	90 72	94 96 78	76 106 90 90	96.2 98.6 97.2 97 98 98.8 97.6	99 98.2 98.2 97.6 99	26.5 25 25.8	25 26.5 25.5 20.5 27 24.5 25.5 27	11 13 12	13	12 13 14	0 141 16 2 25 47 27	39 30 40 11 15 19	31 4 22 42 F 10 15	7 11 5 9 11 2 4 8	15 9 3 17 20 2 F 11	1 2 1
377 378 379	86 66	80 60	60	97.4 98.2 98.4	97.6	27 27	27.3 25	17 12 6	20 43	30x 18	77 100	35 25	49 23	3 4	4 2	5
380 381 382 383 384 385	78 72 80 80 76	76 72 82 80 76		97.6 98 98.2 97.8 98.6	98.4 98.2 98 98.4 98.6	21 23 24	26 26.8 28.8 23 24.5 24	16 11	1 45 12 9 23 0	24 7	68 125 70 0 3 0	47 30 55 23 44 32	34 21 51 17 45 33	4 6 5 10 11 8	13 13 8 20 16	3 7 1 1
386 387 388 389 390	70 64 88	64	74 72 100	98.2 97.8 96.4	98.2 98 98	31 F	23.5 28 27 F 26.8	6 4 F 9	13 4 29	9 7 F 17	39 19 80	10 18 20	11 12 19	0 1 7	F F 19	1
391 392 393 394	76 66 72	68	66	99.4 97.2 98 98.2	99 97.2 98.4 96.8	29	28.8 27 26	9 16 24	19 45 40	23	88 83 80	23 42	F 25 40	2 11 9	3 11 4	1
396 397 398 399 400	74 82 82 108	78 82	64 92	98.8 98.2 98.2 99.6	98.6 98.6 99.2	24.5 21	21.8 25.5 24 25 27	3 14 10 9		15 11 9 15	69 72 0 21 6	22 11 43 30 42	27 10 40 38 43	2 4 7 11 10	3 12 10 17 19	1 5 2 3
401 402 403	98	90	86	98.8		25 23	27 26 31.5	30x 3 1		30x 4 2	78 0 8	51 60	50 47 3	11 11 1	19 20 F	1

TABLE XXXII-Concluded

7	1	Puls		Tamen	-	Mus	cular		M	aze			сер-		Asso	ocia-
idu	100	Puis	θ.	Tempe	erature	Mer	nory		1	_ 2		Let			tic	n
Individual	1	2	3	1	2	R	L.	Amt.	Touch	Amt.	Touch	1 A's	2 B's	Noun	R.	w.
404		72		98		25.5	25.8	6	9		25	21	38	2	3	1
405	86	86	1	98		26	28	29	127	30x	134	29	46	10	10	
406	78	90	122	97	97.6		26.5	9	23		51	20	21	3	F	
407	96	90	86	97	97.2		21	8		12	51	17	24	5	F	
408		1		1000	4,444	25	23	11	40		48	33	31		- 1	
409	72	82		97.8	98.6		29	9	11	7	1	27	32	8	13	
410	86	84		98	98.2			11	29	4	5	20	25	0	14	4
411				77.71		28.5	26.5		12	12	21	15	15	10	19	1
412		1	5 1	200		26	27	10	6	13	18	30	24	11	20	h Cd
413	74	72	(12)	99	98.4	21.5	30				100	36	48	5	7	1
414	90	102	100	98	97.6		26	12	17	21	68	35	32	9	16	1
415	58	62	72	97	97.8		26	7	9		69	22	16	5	F	
417	68	72	70	97.6	97.6		26	25		12	19	24	35	5	4	3
418	100	102	98	98.4		23	25.3			15	35	29	41	10	19	1
419	74	68	70	98.8		26	27	10	17	10	16	19	19	8	14	1
420	88	78		98.8	98.6		24.8		7	9	1	42	44	10	12	1
421				- 1	97.2	23.5	27.5	9	11	8	13	49	46	11	15	
423				98.6	99.4			7	1	13	10	F	21	110		
424	70	60	64	98	98	27.5	30	19	73	22	99	22	42	3	4	2
425	68	80	74	98.8	98.8	24	25	6	0	5	0	20	34	11	8	1
426	76	78		97.6	97.8	24.8	23.8	13	12	9	8	42	38	9	17	1
427	11 222				7000	26	25	15	13	20	24	49	49	8	16	4
428						26	26.5	3	0	7	0	42	42	8	20	
429	100	124		98.8	98.6	24	29.3	15	39	13	29	14	16	11	10	
430	13	1	6.		0.00	24.5	24	7	0	22	20	38	53	11	20	1.
431	70	74	74	97.4	98.8	27.5	25	28	98	30x	103	19	23	5	15	5
432	76	70	76	97.6	98.8	27	25	14	7	20	28	22	37	8	19	1
433		111		98.2		25	27	25	97	25	102	42	30	3		
434				TY		24	25	8	0	8	0	50	65	11	20	
436	76	74		96.8	97.8	28.5	26	13	15	12	10	35	27	1	F	
437	88	100	90	97	98.8	24.5	23	9		20	53	30	33	5	8	
438	00				30.0	25.5	26	8	ő		0	45	53	11	16	2
439						26	25	5	8	7	10	22	27	11	20	1
440						23	24	8	ŏ		1	45	52	11	19	1
441	200					30		15	6		1	34	48	11	19	-

TABLE XXXIII

NORMAL BOYS

				NORMAL	2015				
	A	ge				Grip (I	Pounds)	Han	ded
Indi- vidual	Yrs.	Mo.	School Grade	Height Inches	Weight Pounds	R. H.	L. H.	R.	L.
501	6	6	1	44.3	42	7	8		1
502	6	9	1	48.8	56	17	20	1	
503	6	11	1	46.8	46	19	12	1	
504	6	10	1	45.5	42.5	17	28	1	
505	6	10	1	50.6	58	28	23	1	
506 507	6 6	10 9	1	51.5 47.1	59 46	28 21	25 18	1 1	
507 508	6	6	2 1	46	43.5	15	13	i	
509	7	1	1	48.8	52	20	18	1	
510	7	7	1	48.8	50	20	17	1	
511	777777777777777777777777777777777777777	.7	1	47.5	46	19	25	1	ł
512	7	11	1 1	49.4	55	23	22	1 1	İ
513 514	4	1	li	49.8 46.3	56 45	23 17	25 19	li	l
515	7	3	i	46.8	46	9	8	l i	l
516	7	3	i	46	48	13	15	l î	
517	7	9	2	52.5	66	44	34	l ī	
518	7	3 1 3 3 9 7	2 2 2 3 3	50.6	59	28	32	1	
519	7		2	47.8	53	26	22	1	
520	7	10	3	50	51	28	23	1	1
521	7	10		49.9	52.5	19	21	1	ļ
522	7	11	4	52	60	30	25	1	
523	8	3	1	48	51	18	20	1	
52 4 525	8	6	1 1	49	54	30 23	32 22	1 1	
526		6	9	49.1 53.6	54 67	43	29	1	l
527	8	7	2	50.4	54	24	18	l î	ŀ
528	8	l i	1 2	50.8	54	23	24	l î	1
529	8	11	2	51	60	27	32	-	1
530	8	9	2	51	64	28	23	1	
531	8	4	2	50.6	59	32	33	1	
532	8	0	2	46.9	45	23	22	1	į
533	8	0	2	51.8	62	38	32	1	l
534 535	8	11 8	2	50.9 50.1	54 57	23 25	24 25	1	l
536	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	111	222222222222233333333333333333333333333	52.1	58.5	38	35	i	
537	8	5	1 2	53.4	62.5	34	35	î	
538	8	1 2	2	48	47	20	17	1	
539	8	7	3	48.5	59	34	28	1	
540	8	9	3	52	59	35	30	1	
541	8	11	3	54.1	62.3	28	26	1	
542	8	2	3	48.9	49	20	16	1	
543 544	8	8	3	49.8	59	36	37 11	1	1
544 545	0	10	3	46 51.9	43 66	10 32	30	1	1
546	8	9	3	50.6	61.5	22	18	l i	1
547	8	10	3	51.5	60	34	32	î	
548	8	5	3	52.3	58.5	26	25	1	
549	8	3	4	53	71	38	38	1	<u> </u>

TABLE XXXIII—Continued

NORMAL BOYS

	A	ge		_		Grip (I	ounds)	Han	ded
Indi- vidual	Yrs.	Mo.	School Grade	Height Inches	Weight Pounds	R. H.	L. H.	R.	L.
550	8	1	4	49.3	49	22	21	1	Ī
551	8	9 10	4	49.1	58	27	23	ī	ł
552	8 8 8	10	4	57.6	66	40	44	ī	ł
553	8	5	4	53	64.5	31	31	1	
554	8	7	4	56	68.5	38	41	1	
555	9	11	1	52 .6	57	38	39	1	
556	9	4	2	45.3	42	20	20		1
557	9	1	2	48.4	49	30	20	1	١.
5 58 5 59	9	0	2	49.9 49.5	57 45.5	25 27	22 23	1	1
5 60	9	5	3	50.5	54	25	$\frac{23}{22}$	1	
561	9	7	3	53.1	61	28 28	29	1	
562	ğ	5	3	52.1	64.5	28	24	1	1
563	9	5	3	52.9	62	38	34	1 1	
564	9	57 5 5 9 6 4	3	57	75.8	43	35	1	
565	9	6	3	57.3	69.5	47	42	1	
566	9 9 9		2222333333333333333333344	57.1	76	43	41		1
567	9	10	3	58.3	77	47	43	1	
568 569	9	0	3	53.1	62	30	30	1	١.
570	9	4	ာ	$\begin{array}{c} 50.5 \\ 51.5 \end{array}$	54.5 60.5	25 32	28 32		1
571	9	7	3	51.5	55	32 30	32 25		1 1
572	9	7 9 1	3	51.5	59.5	40	29	1	1
573	9 9 9	ĭ	3	54.5	96	55	43	ī	
574	9	3 4	4	56.8	66	42	39	1	
575	9	4	4	50.3	52	28	25	1	
576	9	9	4	52.3	51	24	15	1	1
577	9	0	4	53.3	50	32	22	1	ł
578	9	8 6 1	4	52.6	68.5	39	30	1 1	ŀ
579 580	9	0	5	53.8 50.9	69 64	40 38	37 41	1	l
581	9	9	5	51.8	59	30	26	1	ł
582	9	11	5	54.5	59	34	38	1 1	
583	9	3	4 5 5 5 5 5 5	55.6	64	40	35	î	
584	10	0	1	56.9	72	34	31	1	
585	10	5 7	2	50.5	55	30	30	1	
586	10	7	3	52.8	58	27	28	1	
587	10	4	1 2 3 3 3 3 4 4	53.8	70.3	41	40	1	
588	10	0	3	56.9	88.5	58	5 8	1	
589 590	10 10	9	၂ ၂	51.4 49.5	51 52	para 34	3 5	1	
590 591	10	8	3	49.5 53.1	63.5	3 4 32	35 27	i	
592	10	4	1 4	52.9	66.5	38	31	i	
593	10	8 4 0	4	54.3	65.5	28	20	1	
594	10	3	4	56	84.5	57	54	1	
59 5	10	9	5	50.9	61	33	28	1	
596	10	9 8 9	5	54	66	28	30	1	
597	10	9	4 5 5 5 5	53.3	68 73	37	37	1	
598	10	10	5	55.3	73	44	42	1	

TABLE XXXIII—Continued

NORMAL BOYS

	_ A	ge				Grip (1	Pounds)	Har	ded
Indi- vidual	Yrs.	Mo.	School Grade	Height Inches	Weight Pounds	R. H.	L. H.	R.	L.
599	10	8	1 5	55.5	71	40	37	1	i
600	10	8	5	59.9	78.5	57	47	1	
601	10	11	6	57.9	89	57	42	1	1
602	11	2	2	50.1	63	30	29	1	
603	ii	10	2 2 3	59.3	114	43	40	î	l
604	11	8	3	56.3	77	31	32	ī	l
605	11	0	4	53.3	65.5	50	54	ī	
606	11	0	4	55.5	95	60	43	1	1
607	11	4	5555556	50.3	54	32	33	1	į .
608	11	0	5	52.9	65	34	30	1	
609	11	5 4	5	55	72	42	38	1	
610	11	4	5	55	70	42	31	1	
611	11	10	5	56 .5	80	41	40	1	
612	11	4	5	59 .9	95	60	61	1	İ
613	11	5 2 5 9 3	5	54	59	38	34		1
614	11	2	6	55.5	62.5	38	35	1	ł
615	11	5	6	55.9	71.5	53	53	1	
616	11	ă	6	58.9	77	68	58	1	
617	11	3	6	55.8	70.5	44	32	1	i
618	11	7	6	55.6	71	41	42	1	l
619 620	111	10 6	6	61	89	56	55	1	ĺ
020	**	0	6	63	99	78	73	1	
621	12	0	3	60	79	45	44	1	
622	12	1	455555556	57.1	79	45	43	1	
623	12	2 0	5	54	63	33	31	1	
624	12	0	5	54.8	69	43	41	1	
625	12	9 3 9	5	55.8	79	43	45	1	
626	12	3	5	56 .8	68	42	33	1	
627	12	9	5	60.5	94	70	58	1	
628	12	8 7	5	57.9	98	83	72	1	
629	12	1 .7	5	58	85	55	44	1	
630	12	10	l g	54.6	73	50	43	1	
631 632	12	2	6	58.4	88.5	62	63	1	
632 633	12 12	9 6	6	55.8	71.3	38	33	1	
634	12	1	0	54	66	30	32	1	
635	12	å	6	56.3	71	40	40	1	
636	12	6 6	l e	59.9 61	90 103.5	64 69	66 64	1 1	
637	12	8	6 6	64	103.5	80	68	1	
638	12	11	A	55.5	71	50 51	08 44	li	
639	12	16	7	54.5	66	37	45	i	
640	12	6	6 7 7 7	59.5	96	56	51	i	
641	12	Š	7	58.4	93	69	62	i	
642	12	3 7	7	59.4	94	72	70	i	
643	12	8	7	63.5	98.5	62	56	i	
644	12	6	7	60.5	106	55	50	i	
645 646	13 13	1 0	5 5	56 54.1	78 57	59 39	55 33	1 1	

. . .

TABLE XXXIII—Continued

NORMAL BOYS

	A	ge				Grip (I	Pounds)	Har	ded
Indi- vidual	Yrs.	Mo.	School Grade	Height Inches	Weight Pounds	R. H.	L. H.	R.	L.
647	13	0	6	53.5	68.5	33	32	1 1	
648	13	4	6	60.5	100	53	48	1	
649	13	7	6	57.5	96	50	57	1	
650	13	4	6	58.4	94	63	64	1	
651	13	11	6	67	125	79	64		
652	13	1	7	60.3	89	60	54		
653	13	1	7	59.1	93	49	49	1	
654	13	5	6 7 7 7 8 9	59	84	48	43	1	
655 656	13 13	4 10	6	62.9	160	84	80	1	
657	13	5	8	62	91	67	81	1	
658	13	9	9	63	125	86	88	1	
000	10	ש	9	57.9	77	43	36	1	
659	14	10	3	60.3	109.8	74	71	1	
660	14	2	4	57.8	80	50	41	1	
661	14	11	5 5 5 5 5	56.8	81	57	46	1	
662	14	1	5	66.5	105	81	70	1	
663	14	4	5	66.5	124	96	98	1	
664	14	10	5	66.9	125	94	82	1	
665	14	3	5	60.8	94.5	65	64	1	
666	14	8	5 5 6	64	109.5	91	86	1	
667 668	14 14	0	5	60.4	86	70	59	1	
669	14	5	6	59.3	89	58	60	1	
670	14	á	6	55.4 59.4	74 80.5	45	44	1	
671	14	2 0 2 2 0	6	59.4	80.5	53 60	51 56	1	1
672	14	2	6	68.9	161.5	84	78	1	1
673	14	l õ	6	59.5	88	68	53	i	
674	14	7	ĕ	67.5	113	92	95	l î l	
675	14	4	6 6 7 7 7	61.3	99	69	68	î	
676	14	5	7	60	90	62	51	ī	
677	14	0	7	63.5	92	76	80	ī	
678	14	5 0 7 0	7	65.4	104	64	64	1	
679	14	0	7	60.4	89	68	52	1	
680	14	7 5 4	8	59	86.5	48	49	1	
681	14	5	8	63.5	104.5	68	70	1	
682	14		9	63.6	106.3	75	52	1	
683	14	7	10	65	117.3	70	62	1	ĺ
684	15	5	5	60	79	42	33	1	
685	15	4	5	56.5	59	30	30	î	
686	15	1	6	66.3	112.5	79	80	î	
687	15	3	6 7	55.3	105.5	102	93	î	
688	15	3	7	64.3	108.5	73	74	ī	
689	15	3	7	65	122.5	114	92	1	
690	15	10	7	66.8	121.5		·	1	
691	15	11	8	60.5	87	65	57	1	
692	15	9	8	60.6	84	66	57	1	
693	15	Ō	7 8 8 8 8	69.3	128	91	90	1	
694	15	5	8	65	110	105	84	1	
695	15	4	8	69.3	141.5	102	90	1	

TABLE XXXIII—Concluded

NORMAL BOYS

	A	ge				Grip (1	Pounds)	Нап	ded
Indi- vidual	Yrs.	Mo.	School Grade	Height Inches	Weight Pounds	R. H.	L, H.	R.	L.
696	15	3	9	64.9	104	88	78	1	1
697	15	9	9	65.3	112.3	85	71	1	l
698	15	11	9	69.8	137.3	99	90	1	l
699	15	6	9	70.5	127.5	99	92	1	١.
700	15	8	9	69.5	142	115	117		1
701	15	10	9	64.4	114.5	75	75	1	1
702	15	11	10	60.4	84.5	48	50	1	l
703	15	10	10	64	128.3	133	128	1	l
704	15	8	10	64	111.5	87	73	1	
705	15	0	10	64.9	103.8	69	72	1	l
706	15	7	10	66.3	111	92	68	1	
707	15	10	10	67.5	127.5	110	104	1	
708	15	5	10	70.4	164.5	150	118	1	l
709	15	8	11	69	126.8			1	
710	16	1	6	65	123.5	122	102	1	
711	16	5	7	67.3	129.5	102	86	1	l
712	16	4	7	71.9	140	111	95	1	ı
713	16	4	7	67.6	129	103	88	1	1
714	16	4	7	68.1	146.5	123	111	1	
715	16	1	8	67.3	117	80	83	1	1
716	16	1	7 8 8 8 8 8	65	112.5	85	91		1
717	16	6	8	67.3	135.5			1	
718	16	9	8	69.1	137	114	101	1	1
719	16	11	8	70.4	152	132	109	1	
720	16	2	8	71	139	130	111	1	
721	16	1	9	72	168.3	125	120	1	l
722	16	7	9	66.3	137	122	102	1	•
723	16	11	9	68.3	126	120	117	1	1
724	16	2	10	64.8	109.3	91	92		1
725	16	Ō	10	68.6	124.8	92	90	1	`
726	16	2	11	69	160	121	100	1	
727	17	4	9	66.8	137.8	114	108	1	
728	17	3	9	65.6	124	111	107	1	l
729	17	6	10	67.1	118.5	103	88	1	l
730	17	5	10	69.6	125	110	101	1	l
731	17	6	12	70.1	148	140	92	1	1
732	17	11	12	72	150	123	112	1	
733	18	0	9	69	132.5	121	113	1	
734	18	6	11	71.8	159	124	101	1	l
735	18	5	11	69.8	138	103	98	1	l
736	19		12	693	140	133	125	1	

TABLE XXXIV

NORMAL BOYS

41		Memory Word Related Unrelated		1	Perce	ption		Ma	aze	
Indi- vidual	Rel	ated	Unre	elated	Let	ter		ı		2
viduai	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W	1 A's	2 B's	Amount	Touches	Amount	Touches
507					21	23	14	45	21	103
517 518 519					15	23 17	23 14	87 34	30x 22	155 94
520 521	5-1	4-1	6	4	28 13	22	14	13	17	21
522	7	4	3-1	3	35	38	23	44	30x	81
526 527 528 529 530 531 532 533 534 535 536 537 538 539 540 541	8 8 5-1	7 7–2 7	4 6	5 8–1	23 29 31 26 38 26 17 15 31 21 10 22 23 17 28 29	16 29 22 19 32 16 18 18 24 29 13 15 22 23 24 29	4 21 13 14 17 8 13 18 10 20 8 21 7 6	1 50 18 73 46 2 22 38 10 66 0 67 2	4 30x 21 25 30x 19 8 24 30x 20 26 14 30x 12 12	0 167 94 131 147 67 4 83 151 70 113 11 146 14
542 543 544 545 546 547 548 549 550 551 552 553 554	8 7 3-1 6 4-1	7 6 3 6 4–1	7 6 4 7-2 6	4-2 4-1 3 3 4-2	28 27 33 28 25 32 26 36 33 32 38	18 24 16 23 28 29 23 26 26 26 39 29 33 23	115 113 112 20 30x 19 30x 23 17 27 18 23 16	36 20 32 85 192 72 177 53 18 107 37 61 23	10 12 20 14 30x 27 19 24 30x 26 30x 25 30x 21	22 38 36 146 118 50 107 92 64 120 70 103 50
556 557 558 559 560 561 562	6-1 6	3 2	5 6	5 3-1	22 12 12 20 28 29 27	37 15 15 32 19 41 31	16 7 12 23 7 12 11	36 8 19 78 8 5 21	19 11 14 30x 8 14 15	81 8 14 167 8 12 28

TABLE XXXIV—Continued

NORMAL BOYS

1.7	1	Memor	y Word	1		ption		Ma	aze	
Indi- vidual	Rel	ated	Unre	elated	Let	ter			2	
yau uaa	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W	1 A's	2 B's	Amount	Touches	Amount	Touches
563	7	6	16	1 5	34	31	1 17	64	30x	145
564	6	6-1	7-1	6-1	27	31	18	40	30x	100
565	6	8-1	5-1	7-3	34	28	16	32	30x	120
566	4-1	5	4-4	4	22	31	12	33	17	74
567	5-1	6	7	3	F	33	14	17	18	41
568	3-1	3-1	4	3	29	34	15	17	24	64
569	00.14	100			17	21	19	79	30x	112
570					26	33	25	109	30x	124
571					24	47	22	86	16	39
572					27	33	21	102	20	69
573		. 0			31	30	30x	154	30x	167
574	6	8-1	6	5-1	MOC.	32	10000	0.055	79.5	15.00
575		12.3	1	2.3	20	25	17	19	20	42
576	6-1	6	5-1	5-1	34	42	21	24	30x	76
577	6	4	6-1	3-1	32	39	24	65	30x	120
578	5	4	5	4	27	23	12	4	11	3
579	8-1	10-2	5-4	6-1	47	34	18	12	12	2
580		72 0		-	32	28	11	4	15	15
581		11110			24	16	26	75	30x	93
582			1		44	35	30x	60	30x	75
583					40	32	16	7	26	41
584					17		12	22	15	31
585						23				
586	4	5-1	7	4		20				
587	6	5	5	4	30	35			7	1
588	5	3-1	6-1	3-1		24	1	0.00		1
589	5	5	4-2	5	26	31	19	54	30x	134
590			1.5		25	28	27	139	20	83
591					48	46	19	36	30x	95
592	4	4	3	1-1	24		23	69	30x	129
593	6	8	6-2	4-1	27	33	7	1	12	5
594	6-1	4	4	2-3	39	45	18	48	28	130
595	7-1	6-2	5-3	6	32	34	17	14	11	3
596	8-1	7	7-3	6-1	55	53	20	21	12	8
597	6	7	4	4	40	36	20	75	15	15
598	8-1	8	8	6-1	45	44	30x	71	19	8
599		15	-	2.5		37	10	0	21	21
600					30	29	22	46	6	0
601	8	6	5	6	49	50	13	3	15	4
602	7	7			16	22	18	72	30x	125
603					16	27	12	22	13	32
604	6	8-2	5	6	19	23	13	11	16	15
605	5	6-1	7	3	33	36	27	54	30x	75
606	5-1	8	6-2	3-2	26	30	29	86	30x	141
607	9-1	9-1	8	9	43	42	28	47	19	12
608	8-1	10	8	7-1	32	27	12	3	12	5

TABLE XXXIV—Continued

NORMAL BOYS

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			Memory Word		1	Perce	ption		Ma	sze	
R-W R-W R-W R-W R-W A's B's Amount Touches Amount		Rel	ated	Unre	elated	Let	ter	1		5	3
610	viduai	Jr. 27.21			A			Amount	Touches	Amount	Touche
611 9 8 6-1 6 27 21 9 0 7 612 6 9 7-1 6 44 46 15 8 11 613 614 8 9-1 6 8 34 25 13 7 12 615 9 7-1 8-1 7 51 53 9 0 11 616 17-1 9 6-1 6-2 48 34 19 5 19 617 0 8 6 5 45 36 8 0 9 9 7 6 618 9 7-2 7 8 45 56 10 0 19 619 9 9 7 6 620 7-1 6-3 5-1 5 50 36 17 7 27 621 622 7-1 9 4-2 3 42 49 30x 50 30x 623 8 10 7-2 7-1 42 57 17 8 11 625 8-2 9-1 8-2 8-2 28 26 10 1 10 626 7-1 6 6 6-1 46 41 16 4 15 627 7 8-1 8-2 5-4 43 33 15 16 12 628 630 6-1 8 5 6 64 634 28 68 30x 630 6-1 8 5 6-1 31 23 18 5 16 633 7 8 4-1 5 50 48 12 14 24 634 9 10 7 6-1 47 37 20 38 21 635 8 7-1 5-1 6 64 44 46 30x 101 30x 636 7-2 9 9 8-1 46 35 6 1 14 24 634 644 644 644 645 646 6-1 8 7 6-1 47 37 20 38 21 638 9-1 8 7 5-1 42 44 46 30x 101 30x 636 7-2 9 9 8-1 46 35 6 1 14 24 634 644	609	8	17	7	7-2	44	35	14	5	18	3
612		6	7	6-1	7	43		19	14	12	3
613			8	6-1	6	27	21	9	0	7	0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6	9	7-1	6	44				11	2
615 9	613					44		19	30	30x	99
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	614	8	9-1	6	8	34	25	13	7	12	2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	615	9	7-1	8-1	7	51	53	9	0	11	0
618 9		17-1	9	6-1	6-2	48	34	19	5	19	14
619 9 9 7 6 20 36 17 7 27 621 262 27 19 4 - 2 3 42 49 30	617	0				45	36	8	0	9	0
619 9 9 7 6 5 50 36 17 7 27 26 22 63 16 622 7-1 9 4-2 3 42 49 30x 50 30x 623 8 10 7-2 7-1 42 57 17 8 11 624 635 8 7 5 6-1 46 41 15 8 646 641 8 645 7 6-1 8 8 6-1 47 40 12 1 12 638 9-1 8 7 5-1 42 48 30x 108 26 648 8 7-1 5 5 5 42 50 50 30x 108 26 648 8 7-1 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	618	9	7-2	7	8	45	56	10	0	19	15
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	619	9	9	7		VI-531	40	13	0	15	7
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	620	7-1	6-3	5-1	5	50	36	17	7	27	58
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	621	100	100	100	(C.)	26	24	22	63	16	25
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	622	7-1	9	4-2	3	42	49	30x		30x	68
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	623	8	10	7-2	7-1	42	57	17	8	11	1
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		9-1		6-1	7-1	47	35	7	0	8	0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		8-2	9-1	8-2	8-2	28		10	1	10	2
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	626	7-1	6			46	41				0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	627		8-1								2
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	628				-					30x	129
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											70
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		6-1	8	5	6						38
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		5-1	7	-		42	41	15		30x	132
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	632	8	7	5	6-1						4
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	633	7									63
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$				7						21	47
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	635		7-1	5-1	6			30x		30x	109
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					8-1	46		6		14	7
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	637		8	8	6-1	47	40	12		12	6
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	638			7							27
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	639	100	100		1	40	43	16		15	1
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					1	50	50	13	3	18	5
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						60	49	13	2	17	4
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$						51	45			19	12
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			1			43	32			18	20
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	644				100		25			18	8
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		7	6-1	6	5-3						29
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		127		1	1						22
648 8 7-1 5 5 42 50 23 18 19 649 6-1 8-1 4-2 4-2 53 55 15 650 9 9-1 9 5 44 42 18 29 20		8	6	5	7						58
649 6-1 8-1 4-2 4-2 53 55 565 650 9 9-1 9 5 44 42 18 29 20			-								8
650 9 9-1 9 5 44 42 18 29 20									10 .		4
								18	29		36
											3
652 47 45 11 0 16		0 1	0.1	1							ĭ
653 43 39 29 53 30x											50
654 49 47 27 49 30x											83

TABLE XXXIV-Continued

NORMAL BOYS

	1	Memor	y Word	1		ption		Ma	ze	
Indi- vidual	Rela	ated	Unre	elated	Let	ter	- 1		2	
7.0.0	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W	1 A's	2 B's	Amount	Touches	Amount	Touche
655					40	29	10	1	10	0
656					53	41	27	28	18	7
657					49	45	20	24	13	7
658	100			100	53	46	15	5	15	8
659	4	4	6-1	4-1	46	46	15	11	29	82
660	7	8	6	5	48	44	30x	91	30x	112
661	7	8-1	7	4	38		16	19	12	8
662	7	7	6-1	5	40	42	8	2	7	0
663	4-2	5-1	6-2	5-1	46	39	17	6	23	28
664	8	8	6-1	7	40	42	11	2	8	0
665				- 1	39	33	12	7	14	14
666			100		165	37	15	11	30x	101
667	5.9	5.04		3.1	64	62	30x	75	16	4
668	8-1	8-1	8	7-1	46	100	30x	53	21	31
669	7-1	7	6-1	7	58	44	21	22	21	24
670	5-1	7-2	6	6-2	50	45	11	4	8	0
671	7-1	9-1	7	6-1	56	54	30x	114	26	59
672	7	7-1	4	3	29	34	11	3	11	2
673	8	9-1	6	6	32	37	12	2	12	0
674	9	9	6	7	50	49	17	9	16	11
675	10	9	5-3	7	49	36	20	8	18	11
676		100	1-1-3	13	47	50	18	11	16	5
677					32	49	13	3	20	15
678 679					45	42 39	23	35	12	0
680 681					53	49	30x	95	24	48
682					04	43	20-	00	8	0
683					64	60	30x	60	30x	67
684	9	6-4	7-3	8-1	30	47	8	0	9	0
685	9	0-4	1-0	0-1	33	39 48	21	22	17	7
686	8	8	7	7	44	47	12 29	2	6	0
687	5-1	6	6	6-1	45	39	12	75	27	82
688	3-1	0	0	0-1	48	43	14	0		0
689					43	33	17	8	18 17	6
691					47	44	15	2	18	2
692					48	48	25	57	23	41
693					50	45	12	0	14	0
694					62	49	6	0	12	2
695					49	47	12	3	9	0
696		-			1	48	17	12	19	12
697					1	48	13	3	10	3
698					57	46	19	3	17	3
699					63	44	17	10	13	2
700						42	30x	150	30x	114

TABLE XXXIV-Concluded

NORMAL BOYS

	1	Memor	y Word	l	Perce	ption		Ma	.ze	
Indi- vidual	Rela	ated_	Unre	lated	Let	ter	1	L I	2	3
7.0.00	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W	1 A's	2 B's	Amount	Touches	Amount	Touches
701	ı	1		1	63	38	8	1	11	1
702	f	l	ł		51	42	19	2 6	22	5 4
703	ł				55	54	20	6	22	4
704	l				67	74	25	8	17	1
705		l			52	54	28	39	19	4
706	ŀ	ļ	ŀ		60	57	17	3	29	21
707	ł				65	56	27	21	24	24
708		1		l	47	42	21	13	21	10
710	6–2	6-3	6–1	7	22	45	24	59	21	32
711					28	21	25	78	26	84
713		İ			45	47	16	5	28	43
714		ł	1		56	75	16	4	12	0
715	ŀ		1	ŀ	53	60	27	34	27	40
716		l			58	43	9	0	10	0
718				l	49	50	13	0	14	0
719	1	ŀ	l	l	48	50	19	23	15	5
720	i	1	l		45	49	30x	62	18	14
721		l	l	1	62	46	30x	118	30x	135
722	İ	l	l	l	49	49	14	0	16	2
723	l	1	1	l	l	61	21	16	21	9 2 5
724				l	l	l	j	l	14	2
725					72	69	19	3	19	5
727		1			69	74	28	46	19	4
728	i	l	1	l	62	55	16	5	21	13
729		1	1	l	61	54	24	11	21	13 3 3
730					70	66	30x	41	16	3
733						72	15	2	21	18

TABLE XXXV

				NORMAL	GIRLS				
	A	.ge				Grip (Pounds)	Har	ded
Indi- vidual	Yrs.	Mo.	School Grade	Height Inches	Weight Pounds	R. H.	L. H.	R.	L
801	6	11	1	47	41	9	9	1	·
802	6	7	1	49.5	56	18	18	1	
803 804	6 6	9	1 1	45.8	45.5	10	10	1	
805	6	9	i	46.8 47.8	42.5 47	24 13	20 10	1 1	
806	6	5	lil	47	45	15	10	i	
807	6	7	l i l	43.8	42	12	15	i	
808	6	11	2	50.1	51	35	18	i	
809	6	10	ī	50.6	61.5	15	10	i	
810	7	4	1	48	44	16	12	1	
811	7	4	1	49.8	54	19	21	1	
812	7	2	1	49.1	53	28	20	1	
813	7 7	7	1 1	48.3	44	11	10	1	
814	7	8 11		48.5 53.3	46 62.5	17	15	1	
815 81 6	7	11	i	58.8	45	30 11	23 9	1 1	
817	7	5	i	45	43	9	12	i	
818	7	2	i	50.5	51	15	18	li	
819	7	9	1 2 1	52.3	60	21	24	l î	
820	7 7	4	<u>-</u>	48.3	55	18	15	l i	
821	77	11	2	48.4	51	27	27	ì	
822	7	10	2	45 .3	46	13	11	1	
823	7	4	2	45.5	41	8	17	1	
824	7	7	3	50.4	65	24	28	1	
825	7	11	2 2 2 2 2 3 3	50.3	49	20	15	1	
826	7	10	3	50.3	62.5	12	12	1	
827	8	1	1	46.8	46	14	13	1	
828 829	8 8	8 2	1 1	52.6 47.3	52 60.5	27 12	28 16	1	
830	8	ő	5	46.3	43	10	10 12	1	1
831	8	3	2	49 49	51	22	23	i	
832	8	4	2	48	48	20	20	i	
833	8	4	2	46.5	43	22	17	l i	
834	8	7	3	51.3	54	15	20	līi	
835	8	2	2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3	53.4	70	28	25	1	
836	8	10	3	47	46	17	16	1	
837	8	10	3	49 .3	53	22	28	1	
838	8	.8	3	50	52	18	20	1	
839	8	11	3	54.3	62	29	21	1	
840 841	8	9	4	52.3 52	56 55	18	22	1	
842	8 8	5 5	4	55	59	24 28	26 28	1	
843	8	11	4	57.3	74	38	25 39	i	
			- 1						
844 845	9	1 4	2 2	52.9 46.3	59 46	24 14	22 13	1	

TABLE XXXV—Continued

	A	ge		i.		Grip (I	Pounds)	Han	ded
Indi- vidual	Yrs.	Mo.	School Grade	Height Inches	Weight Pounds	R. H.	L, H.	R.	L.
846	9	8	2	52.3	67	35	30	1	
847	9	9	2 2 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	52.9	57	29	34	1	
848	9	5 10	2	52.3	57	30	27		1
849	9	10	2	52.5	5 4	20	20	1	
850	9	1	3	46.8	51	. 11	11	1	
851	9	0	3	49.5	59	28	24	1	
852	9	9	3	50.4	52	18	18	1	
853	9	3	3	51.5	60	24	20	1	
854	9	4	3	52.1	68	38	34	1	
855	9	10	3	51.9	64	30	28	1	
856	9	5	3	53.5	64	31	28	1	
857	9	2	3	52.8	80	33	35	1	
858	9	4	3	57	78	38	28	1 1	
859	9	5 2 4 2 2 3 6 2 5	3	53.5	61.5	22	25 10	1	
860	של	2	3	46	91	21	28	1 1	
861	9	3	3	48.8	55	22		1	
862	9	0	3	50.3	46	27	18	1	
863 864	9	Z	3	50 54.3	59 54	32 30	31 24	1	
865	9	0	4		63	28	28	1	1
866	9	0	4	55 54.8	60	20 22	28 27	1	1
867	9	3	4	54.6 54.1	63.5	30	26	l i	
868	, a	8	1 2	53.3	58	14	18	i	
869	9	10	5	56.5	71	31	31	l i	
870	9	10	5 5 5	53.4	62	34	31	î	
871	10	2 2 2 7 5 2 0	1	54.5	65.5	22	27	1	
872	10	2	3	50.3	59	30	25	1	
873	10	2	3 3 3 3 3 4 4	49 .8	52	18	13	1	
874	10	7	3	52	63	25	24	1	
875	10	5	3	52.3	65	32	26	1	
876	10	2	3	51.5	53	28	26	1	
877	10	Ŏ	3	52.1	55	22	26	١.	1
878	10	0	4	54	62	28	28	1	
879	10	8	4	57.5	83	41	40	1	
880	10	Ü	4 4	54.4	63.5	30	28	1	
881	10	2 8	4	58.3	76	40	40	1	
882	10	10	4	55.5	68	25	30	1	
883 884	10 10	10 6	2	53.4	62 63	39 26	27 25	1	
884 885	10	0	2	56.1	78	20 43	25 45	1	
666 999	10	0	2	57.5	70	43 42	50	i	
886 887	10	8 2 7	0	55.5 55.4	61.5	30	29	i	
888 888	10	11	0	58.4	86	38	29 31	i	
889	10	3	0	56.4 56	68	21	25	i	
890	10	11	5	58.5	73	33	25 35	i	
891	10	3	4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 6	57	81	40	50	î	
892	10	3 7	6	56.5	69.5	28	27	i	

TABLE XXXV-Continued

	A	ge				Grip (1	Pounds)	Har	ded
Indi- vidual	Yrs.	Mo.	School Grade	Height Inches	Weight Pounds	R. H.	L. H.	R.	L.
893	11	8	1	51.3	58.5	30	28	1	
894*	11	5	1	49 .8	50	19	24	1	
895*	11	5	1	48.9	49	22	22	1	
896	11	4	4	60.5	77	40	42	1	1
897	11	0	4	56	71	38	33	1	l
898	11	4	4	56 .9	67	28	30	1	
899	11	1	5	53	63	28	30	1	ĺ
900	11	7	5	54	63.5	36	34	1	ł
901	11	10	5	57.9	78	53	45	1	1
902	11	11	5	60.9	89	57	47	1	l
903	11	3	555555555	54.4	63.5	18	34	1	•
904 905	11	3 2	5	59.1	110.8	61	60	1	1
905 906	11	9	0	55	67	38	28	1	Į .
900 907	11		5	64	151	78	65	1	ł
908	111	10 6	ا و ا	52.6	62 67	22 37	23	li	ŀ
909	111	8	6	54.5			32	1 1	ŀ
910	ii	11	6	54.3 58.3	71 78	57	42 38	1 1	l
911	ii	7	6	58.5	73	33 33	38 32	i	l
912	ii	8	6	59.6	80	50	33	i	
913	l ii l	0	6	56.3	69	40	37	i	ļ
914	ii	8	6	54.3	63	27	30	li	ł
915	ii	8	6	59	78	40	35	i	
916	12	11	3	56.9	85	58	52	1	
917	12	5	5	57.4	77	4 0	50	1	l
918	12	5	5 5	59	95	47	47	1	ł
919	12	0	6	55.9	63	32	30	1	Ì
920	12	3	6	58.6	105.3	45	48	1	ł
921	12	10	6	60.9	106.8	65	65		1
922	12	7	6	60.8	108.3	80	72		1
923	12	1	6	61.3	73.5	51	42	1	1
924	12	6	6	61.3	89.5	60	55	1	
925	12	8	6	56.3	66	30	25	1	ł
926	12	11	6	56.4	77.5	32	39	1	ł
927	12	1	6	54.5	70	21	22	1	l
928	12	2	6	60.6	83	54	45	1	١.
929	12	2 3 5 5	6	58	79.5	42	44	1	1
930	12	3	6	60	90	52	58	1	l
931 932	12	Đ	6	60.3	94.5	70	58	1	Ī
932 933	12 12	8	6	62.5	110.3	73	69	1	1
934	12	5	6	63.6 57.4	102 103.5	60 43	51 38	1	1
935	13	6	4	61.8	104.5	67	73	1	
936	13	2	5	60.5	78	48	43	1	
937	13	$\bar{2}$	5	60.4	86	42	49	1	l

^{*} Numbers 894 and 895 are twins.

TABLE XXXV—Continued

	A	.ge	1		Ī	Grip (I	Pounds)	Har	ıded
Indi-		-	School	Height	Weight		,		
vidual	Yrs.	Mo.	Grade	Inches	Pounds	R. H.	L. H.	R.	L.
938	13	2	6	54.8	61.5	45	41	1	<u> </u>
939	13	1	6	61.5	90.5	52	50	1	
940	13	10	6	58.8	85	55	48	1	
941	13	3 3 5 1	6	62.4	97.5	60	47	1	l
942	13	3	6	62.6	96	58	63	1	1
943	13	3	6	62	88	48	47	1	l
944	13	5	6	57.8	64.5	30	29	1	
945	13	1	6	59.3	101.5	58	60	1	1
946	13	10	6	59.6	72.5	54	44	1	l
947	13	9	6	65.8	104.3	78	69	1	i
948	13	9	6	63.8	100	68	69	1	l
949	13	(7	58.4	88	58	50	1	1
950	13	ŭ	7 7	58.3	82.5	44	34	1	l
951 952	13 13	2	1 4	60.5	93	60	50	1	i
952 953	13	ျ	7	59.3	80	51	49	1	l
953 954	13	9 7 0 2 5 2	7	59	76	40	37	1	1
95 4 955	13	9	7 7 7	60	98	52	51	1	l
956	13	10	4	62.8	82	38	38	1	Į.
957	13	10	6	61.4 61.3	92	62	40	1	ļ
958	13	10	0	59.5	89	53	42	ī	İ
959	13	8 6			79.5	44	38	1	l
960	13	9	0	64 64.6	93 115	52	35	1	1
961	13	2 8 4 2 2 8 3 11	8 8 8 9	62.5		67	56	1	١.
962	14	1 4	6	61.4	90 85	58	51	1	1
963	14	3	6	61.1	117.5	65 80	52	i	Ì
964	14	5	0	62.6	100	58	75	i	l
965	14	ő.	6 7	56.3	78.5	32	50 22		1
966	14	3	7	60.5	106.3	79	70	1	
967	14	11	8	59.6	101.3	71	62	i	I
968	14	Ô	0	60.5	88	48	49	i	ŀ
969	14	4	9	59 59	86.5	60	57	i	l
970	14	i	8	60.6	82.5	50	40	i	ł
971	14	5	888888	61	100	72	74	li	İ
972	14	ĭ	8	62.3	97	62	69	1 1	1
973	14	5	l š	66.9	102	83	86	1	1 -
974	14	ŏ	8	64.1	107.3	60	62	l î	l
975	14	i	8	65.9	117.8	70	60	l î	i
976	14	ē	8	65.8	110	79	73	Î	l
977	14	4	8	70.3	106.5	73	70	li	1
978	14	11	8 8 8	67.8	116.5	75	72	î	l
979	14	$\tilde{2}$	š	65.3	146.5	71	64	î	1
980	14	11	9	63.3	90	43	48	l î	1
981	14	īī	9	66.1	123.5	69	51	î	
982	15	0	3 5	62.8	102.3	72	67	1	
983	15	3	5	61.8	98	53	58	1	l
984	15	6	6	59 .3	94			1	J

TABLE XXXV-Continued

	Δ	ge				Grip (I	Pounds)	Har	ded
Indi- vidual	Yrs.	Mo.	School Grade	Height Inches	Weight Pounds	R. H.	L. H.	R.	L.
985	15	1	6	62.5	105.8	60	70	1	
986	15	9	6	62.4	102.3	83	77	1	
987	15	8	6	61.3	98	55	60	ł .	1
988	15	4	6	66.3	102.8	64	63	1	l
989	15	0	7 7	57 .8	79	38	40	1	
990	15	10	7	64.5	93	65	57	1	
991	15	4	7	6 5.8	104.5	65	57	1	l
992	15	7	7	62.4	126.8	93	89	1	
993	15	0	7	59.5	96.5	68	48	1	i
994	15	3	8	59 .8	94	67	57	1	
995	15	1	1 8	63.5	101.5	58	48	1	
996	15	1	8	63.4	105	75	68	1	ł
997	15	4	8	64.8	122.3	82	80	1	
998	15	11	8	65.1	102	69	62	1	i
999	15	2	8 9	62.9	137	72	85	1	
1000	15	9	9	65	109	61	62	!	1
1001	15	10	9	65.8	120.3	69	58	1	l
1002	15	5	9	63.4	117.3	81	75	1	
1003	15	10	9	62	112.8	82	68	ī	1
1004	15	9	9	66.6	120.5	82	92	Ī	
1005	15	6	10	61.1	117	81	71	Ī	l
1006	15	9	10	69.1	120.5	71	55	Ī	
1007	15	5	10	69.8	140	90	82	Ī	
1008	15	9	111	64.5	94		-	l ī	
1009	15	10	12	63	104.3			ī	
1010	16	4	6	61.4	113.8	50	58		1
1011	16	0	8	67.5	112.5	71	65	1	
1012	16	0	8	66.1	120	84	80		1
1013*	16	7 6	8	61.8	97	61	60	1	
1014	16	6	18	67.3	114	94	84	1	1
1015	16	3	9	62.3	173.8	62	62	1	1
1016	16	3 1	9	66.8	114	74	70	1	1
1017	16	1	9	67.6	130	73	71	1	Ì
1018	16	4	9	65.4	107.5	72	62	1	
1019*	16	7 2 3	9	61.5	99	68	53	1	
1020	16	2	10	59	106.5	78	53	1	1
1021	16	3	10	65	124	68	64	1	
1022	16	4	10	61.8	118.5	93	80	1	
1023	16	0	10	63.3	113.5	74	68	1	1
1024	16	11	10	65	129	72	68	1	l
1025	16	0	10	64.8	105	70	69	l ī	
1026	16	3	10	68.6	136	84	75	Ĩ	l
1027	16	5	l ii	64.3	95			lī	l
1028	16	4	l ii l	63.5	111.5			ī	i
1029	16	10	ii	63.8	119.5			ī	
1030	17	4	6	62	123.5			1	

^{*}Numbers 1013 and 1019 are twins.

TABLE XXXV—Concluded

NORMAL GIRLS

	A	ge				Grip (I	Pounds)	Har	ded
Indi- vidual	Yrs.	Mo.	School Grade	Inches Pound	Weight Pounds	R. H.	L. H.	R.	L.
1031	17	4	<u>i 9 i</u>	64.6	115.8	78	73	1	.
1032	17	1	9	64.4	112	63	54	1	
1033	17	11	11	64	115			1	
1034	17	7	11	58.6	93	1	Į	1	
1035	17	8	12	62.6	108.3	į.		1	
1036	17	11	12	66	121.3	- {	- 1	1	
1037	17	8	12	65	138.8	1		1	
1038	17	11	12	68	148	1		1	
1039	17	0	12	65.3	121			1	
1040	18	4	8	65	112	72	68	1	
1041	18	1	9	66.5	125.8	74	82	1	
1042	18	10	12	65.8	121.5			1	
1043	18	9	12	65.5	101.8	ŀ		1	
1044	19	0	12	62.3	120.5			1	
1045	19	2	12	68.5	136.8	j		1	

TABLE XXXVI

NORMAL GIRLS

	1	Memor	y Word	1	Perce	ption	Maze				
Indi- vidual	Related		Unrelated		Letter		1		2		
Vaccuum	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W	1 A's	2 B's	Amount	Touches	Amount	Touches	
808				i	23	26	14	43	14	35	
819 820					22	25 28	11	15	12	14	
821 822 823					19	15 23 17	4	0	8	6	
824 825	4	7	6–1	4-6	35	41 33	30x 30x	98 144	30x 30x	135 161	
826					26	25	21	78	17	42	
830 831 832					27 16	31 14 30	17 9	36 8	20 16	46 23	
833 834 835 836	8 6–1 7	9 2–1 6	7 6–2 5	6 6 6	16 38 32	21 32 21 20	5 16 12	8 43 20	15 27 12	37 113 17	

TABLE XXXVI—Continued

NORMAL GIRLS

837 838 839	A R-W	B R-W	_	elated	Let	tor				
837 838 839	-					, CCI	1	1	2	
838 839		20-11	A R-W	B R-W	1 A's	2 B's	Amount	Touches	Amount	Touche
839					22	27	21	45	14	8
					25,1	21	12	10	11	11
	341)	5.10	15.34	4.5	21	16	12	18	9	7
840	8	8	8-1	7-2	48	47	19	8	30x	41
841	7	8	6	6	32	35	17	10	30x	87
842	8	8	9-1	8	49	53	30x	80	30x	87
843		110		7	46	45	17	18	30x	89
844					37	34	13	8	30x	92
845					20	27	12	19	18	47
846					30	28	19	48	19	30
847		0.17			18	23	10	8	23	74
848					21	24	17	48	25	108
849	2	1.54		100	100	F	8	6	18	49
850	2-2	5-1	4	4-2		16			10	
851	9-1	6-1	7	7-1	32	43	14	30	25	89
852	4	7-1	7	4-1	28	26	17	24	24	90
853	4-1	2-1	5	5	44	36	ii	3	18	35
854	8-1	7	5	7-1	25	27	5	ő	6	0
855	4-1	4	6	6	22	25	5	3	10	10
856	8	6-1	5-1	7-1	20	30	20	32	30x	89
857	0	7	0.1		26	30	11	14	13	
858	9-1	5	7-1	9	50	45	12	14	14	19 29
859	4-1		4	3	50	12	12	14	14	29
860	4-1		*	0	43	46	30x	170	30x	170
861					27	34	25	172		173
862					21		25	105	30x	154
863			-		25	36		96	30x	130
864	8	7	5	0		28	16	25	16	21
865	7	8	8	6	31	25	7	3	13	9
866	6	5-1	6	6 3		32		16.0		144
000	0	9-1	0	3	36	33	23	34	30x	129
867	77	8	5		38	34	27	80	30x	105
868 869	7 8			5	34	23	15	19	15	24
809	8	8	6	6	45	33	11	0	12	3
870	51	0.7	3.0		43	41	19	19	20	28
872	7	6	4-1	6	39	47	9	3	19	24
873	7	5-1	4	4	39	39	14	29	21	58
874	9-1	7-1	7-1	5	28	34	14	20	19	50
875	8	7-3	8-1	6-2	42	48	9	3	12	12
876			- all		39	33	18	46	15	11
877			(mark)		23	32	18	52	17	40
878	8	8	6	7	46	37	30x	118	30x	133
879	7	6	6	7	38	42	30x	78	30x	96
880	8	7-1	5-1	6-2	44	43	30x	88	30x	144
881	3	3-1	5-2	F	27	34	19	32	30x	74
882	4	6	5-1	5	32	O.	15	13	30x	130

TABLE XXXVI-Continued

NORMAL GIRLS

		Memor	y Word	1	Perce	ption	Maze				
Indi- vidual	Rel	ated	Unre	elated	Let	ter			2		
730 400	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W	1 A's	2 B's	Amount	Touches	Amount	Touche	
883	8-1	9-1	8	6		35	15	8	19	26	
884	9-1	8-1	8-1	8-1	38	35	30x	87	19	10	
885	8	8-1	7-1	7-1	48	36	14	11	12	11	
886	- "				49	36	30x	59	26	55	
887					45	44	24	63	30x	112	
888 889					21 45	25	12	3	10	0	
890					51	42	30x	104	30x	164	
891					43	45	18	21	29	96	
892					41	41	7	1	5	0	
896 897	8	7	8	7	44	33 33	14	14	23	55	
898	7		5-1	5-2	39	- 00	28	77	30x	125	
899	5	8	3-1	5	40	36	17	14	12	3	
900	6	6	7-1	4-2	47	29	19	31	21	58	
901	7	7-2	4-3	7-1	46	40	14	2	15	2	
902	6-1	8-1	8	6-1	47	43	22	13	25	23	
903	(5.0)	100		1.3	30	26	24	17	30x	76	
904				0.00	50	49	20	32	27	66	
905					41	42	28	52	30x	60	
906					45	40	27	56	28	67	
907					36	33	5	0	11	4	
908					28	31	16	17	19	18	
909					1500	49	135231	-73	100		
910	140	100	- 1	dec.	49	42	28	36	14	2	
911	9	8-1	6	7	51	50	12	3	10	0	
912	6-2	6-1	6	7	46	32	18	35	16	21	
913	6	8-2	6-1		43	34	19	15	19	21	
914	8	9	6	7	49	54	13	4	17	5	
915	7	6-1	7	5-2	51	50	13	2	19	9	
916	6-1	9	3	6	21	27	12	15	14	28 47	
917	100		70.00		43	45	21	31	25	47	
918				200	39			MARY!	140.11		
919	6	7	6	5	43	38	17	12	15	4	
920	9	8	9	6	49	47	10	0	8	0	
921	8-1	8-1	9-1	7-1	55	53	14	13	11	5	
922	6	8	7-3	7-2	3.54		10	0	12	0	
923	8	9-1	8	7	47	41	17	17	14	10	
924	6	8	7	5	52	56	16	4	16	11	
925	10	9-1	8	6-2	52	45	7	0	7	0	
926	8	9-1	6	12.5	59	61	21	23	21	12	
927	5	8	5	7	47	37	24	25	15	7	
928	9-1	9-1	9-1	8	49	50	8	0	12	0	
929	9-1	10-1	6	6	43	49	17	6	18	22	
930	8	8	6	7	50	49	17	9	19	13	
931	7-1	8-1	6	7 5 7	41	34	16	10	18	13	
932	8	9	7	7	57	49	9	0	12	2	

TABLE XXXVI—Continued NORMAL GIRLS

	1	Memor	y Word	1	Perce	ption	Maze				
Indi- vidual	Rela	ated	Unre	elated	Let	ter	T. U		2		
Vidual	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W	1 A's	2 B's	Amount	Touches	Amount	Touche	
933 934 935	8-2 7-1 8	9-1 7-1 6-1	5 6 6–1	6-1 6 6	47 43 38	47 45 26	13 19 14	5 17 8	13 28 27	4 37 69	
937 938 939	5 7-1 8	7 6-1 9-1	6 5 7-1	5-1 6-1 6-3	45 46	39 36	7 11	0 2	8 5	0	
940 941 942 943	9 9 5 9	6-1 7-1 7-1 9	7 8 6-1 7	8 7-1 5-1 6-1	45 32	45 49 44 46	17 17 6 17	1 8 0 21	11 13 11 30x	0 0 0 70	
944 945 946 947 948	7 8 7-1 9	9-1 8-1 9	6-1 6-1 6-1 7	7-1 6-1 7 8 10	54 40 47	62 50 36 47	7 9 12 9	0 0 4 0	13 11 12 18	3 1 4 4	
949 950 951 952 953	9	10		10	52 34 48 43 46	46 45 32 48 30 41	13 29 14 19 20 21	9 94 6 7 26 7	16 28 15 17 19 26	91 4 8 14 22	
954 955 956 957 958 959					49 57 52 49 49 58	40 54 46 44 48 60	23 15 26 24 15 12	28 8 51 26 5 0	10 11 30x 15 12 12	2 2 83 5 2 0	
960 961 962 963 964	8-1 8 10	7-3 8-3 8-1	9 9-1 6-1	6 7-1 6-2	60 55 43 62 48	45 48 45 49 53	16 19 12 11 25	18 4 2 0 23	19 18 12 14 18	28 5 0 0 11	
965 966 967 968 969 970					54 49 66 45 68	51 50 50 45 61	13 29 10 25 14	7 64 1 70 6	16 19 13 26 12	16 16 4 69 0	
971 972 973 974 975					45 48 64 61 65	66 52 46 60 57 62	30x 19 30x 30x 30x 13	64 16 46 69 72 3	28 21 26 30x 26 14	63 8 18 39 34	
976 977 978 979 980					46 55 52 78 61	46 44 49 52 45	9 20 21 11 26	0 15 12 0 40	10 18 19 13 12	0 0 5 16 2 1	
981 982					69	56 56	23 20	42 75	23 19	31 61	

TABLE XXXVI—Concluded

NORMAL GIRLS

	1	Memor	y Word	ı	Perce	ption		Ma	ze	
Indi- vidual	Rela	ated	Unre	elated	Let	ter	-		2	2
viduai	A R-W	B R-W	A R-W	B R-W	1 A's	2 B's	Amount	Touches	Amount	Touche
983	8-1	9-1	8-2	6	49	65	22	8	20	12
985	1	1217	200				8	0	14	0
984	8	9	9-3	6-1	50	53	27	52	25	54
986	9	8-2	7	8-2	48	54	10	0	12	5
987 988	8 6-1	9 8-1	7 7	7-1	34 59	35 55	24	41	23 27	29 66
989	0-1	0-1			47	42	7	0	ii	0
990				1	54	50	11	ŏ	18	4
991					48	35	12	Ö	12	4
992 993					49	43 46	25	16	26	36
994			1 3		45	33	18	17	14	5
995			1 3		64	55	19	14	21	15
996					50	48	13	0	14	1
997					75	50	17	4	16 14	0
998 999					63	55 57	17 25	2 30	24	11
1000					49	46	13	6	18	18
1001					91	66	28	60	20	25
1002					73	55	17	4	21	22
1003					69	50	18	12	21	3
1004					78	61	21	2	7.500	
1005					57	52	23	20	29	36
1006			100	1	55	49	17	11	19	9
1007	100		L. U	(A)		45	23	25	30x	98
1010	6-1	6	4-1	8	49	48	13	12	15	12
1011		1	1		52	44	22	33	30x	60 12
1012					53	45	26	20	24	
1013 1014	h 13		1		47 48	38 47	9 21	3 22	10 19	26
1014					78	57	19	10	24	16
1016					63	50	17	7	14	2
1017		10.7	A		60	47	9	Ó	**	1.5
1018					53	1.50		* E4	19	9
1019					84	53	27	59	19	7
1020		l s			70	50	17	10	28	28 10
1021					62	51	17	7	17	10
$\frac{1022}{1023}$	81				53	70	11	2	19	•
1023					65	47 48	13 16	0 3	13 19	0 2
1025					61	53	26	30	19	9
1026				1	62	53	18	8	15	9
1030							20	23	24	39
1031					53	45	16	1	13	3
1032					69	49	12	9	12	
1040 1041				i n	48 85	45	16	4	9	0

VITA

CYRUS DEWITT MEAD, born November 4, 1875, at Spencer, Indiana.

Academic training and teaching experience: Spencer, Indiana, High School, 1889–1893; DePauw University, Ph. B. with Phi Beta Kappa, 1898; Teachers College, 1912, M. A. Teacher of Grades and Principal of High School, 1899–1904; Principal, Indiana School for Feeble-Minded Youth, 1904–1910; Teachers College, Scholar and Fellow, 1910–1912; Assistant Professor of Elementary Education, College for Teachers, University of Cincinnati, 1912.

AUG 1 1020



